

ALGEBRAIC COMBINATORICS


Francesco Brenti & Angela Carnevale

Odd length in Weyl groups

Volume 2, issue 6 (2019), p. 1125-1147.

[<http://alco.centre-mersenne.org/item/ALCO_2019__2_6_1125_0>](http://alco.centre-mersenne.org/item/ALCO_2019__2_6_1125_0)

© The journal and the authors, 2019.
Some rights reserved.

 This article is licensed under the
CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION 4.0 INTERNATIONAL LICENSE.
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Access to articles published by the journal *Algebraic Combinatorics* on
the website <http://alco.centre-mersenne.org/> implies agreement with the
Terms of Use (<http://alco.centre-mersenne.org/legal/>).



Algebraic Combinatorics is member of the
Centre Mersenne for Open Scientific Publishing
www.centre-mersenne.org



Odd length in Weyl groups

Francesco Brenti & Angela Carnevale

ABSTRACT We define for any crystallographic root system a new statistic on the corresponding Weyl group which we call the odd length. This statistic reduces, for Weyl groups of types A , B , and D , to the each of the statistics by the same name that have already been defined and studied in [8], [12], [13], and [3]. We show that the sign-twisted generating function of the odd length always factors completely, and we obtain multivariate analogues of these factorizations in types B and D .

1. INTRODUCTION

A new statistic on the symmetric group was introduced in [8] in relation to formed spaces. This statistic combines combinatorial and parity conditions and is now known as the odd length. Similar statistics were introduced and studied in [13] and [14] in type B in relation to local factors of representation zeta functions of certain groups and in type D in [3]. The sign-twisted distribution of the odd length on certain quotients of the hyperoctahedral group of rank n is closely related to the number of $n \times n$ symmetric matrices of given rank over finite fields (see [13] for the conjecture relating these quantities and [4] for a proof). In [8] and [14] closed product formulas were conjectured for the signed generating function of the odd length over all quotients of the symmetric and hyperoctahedral groups, respectively. These conjectures were proved in [4] in types A and B and independently in [9] in type B .

In this paper we define, for any crystallographic root system, a new statistic on the corresponding Weyl group. We compute this statistic combinatorially for the classical root systems of types A , B , C , and D . As a consequence we verify that this statistic coincides, in types A , B , and D , with each of the odd length statistics defined and studied in these types in [3, 8, 9, 13, 14]. Our combinatorial computation of the odd length in the classical types shows that it is the sum of some more fundamental statistics and we compute the sign-twisted multivariate generating functions of these statistics in types B and D . These results reduce to results in [8], [14], and [4] when all the variables are equal. We also show that the signed generating function of the odd length factors completely for any crystallographic root system. In type E_8 this result is due to John Stembridge, who in [15] also provides a unified description of these factorizations. More precisely, in [15] Stembridge gives a, different, case by case proof of the factorization result, and shows that the factors themselves can be described in

Manuscript received 19th August 2018, revised 28th February 2019, accepted 2nd March 2019.

KEYWORDS. Root system, Weyl group, Coxeter group, odd length, enumeration.

terms of the degrees of the free generators of the polynomial invariants of a canonically associated reflection group.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In the next section we recall some definitions, notation and results that are used in the sequel. In § 3 we define a new statistic on any Weyl group, which we call the odd length. This statistic depends on the choice of a simple system in the root system of the Weyl group and we show that its generating function over the Weyl group only depends on the root system. Using a convenient choice of simple systems we compute combinatorially the odd length of any element of any Weyl group of classical type and verify that it coincides, in types A , B , and D , with the odd length statistics already defined in [8, 13, 14, 3] in these types. In § 5 and § 6 we compute, motivated by the results in § 3, the signed multivariate distributions of certain statistics over the Weyl groups of types B and D and show that they factor as products of binomials in almost all cases. In § 7 we show, using previous results and computer calculations, that the signed generating function of the odd length factors completely for all irreducible crystallographic root systems. Finally, in § 8, we study the signed generating function of another statistic, defined in terms of reflections. Its definition is suggested by the results in § 5, it is defined on any Coxeter system, and we prove product formulas for its signed generating function on all Weyl groups.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In the following V is a real vector space endowed with a symmetric bilinear form (\cdot, \cdot) . A reflection is a linear operator s on V which sends some nonzero vector α to its negative and fixes pointwise the hyperplane H_α orthogonal to it. For $v \in V$ the action of $s = s_\alpha$ is given by:

$$s_\alpha v = v - 2 \frac{(\alpha, v)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \alpha.$$

It is easy to see that s_α is an involution in $O(V)$, the group of orthogonal transformations of V . Finite reflection groups are finite subgroups of $O(V)$ generated by reflections. We are interested in Coxeter groups of type A , B and D , which arise as reflection groups of crystallographic root systems.

DEFINITION 2.1. *Let V , (\cdot, \cdot) be as before. A finite subset $\Phi \subset V$ of nonzero vectors is a crystallographic root system if it spans V and satisfies:*

- (1) $\Phi \cap \mathbb{R}\alpha = \{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi$
- (2) $s_\alpha \Phi = \Phi$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi$
- (3) $2 \frac{(\alpha, \beta)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$.

Vectors in Φ are called roots.

The group W generated by the reflections $\{s_\alpha, \alpha \in \Phi\}$, is the *Weyl group* of Φ .

We call a subset $\Delta \subseteq \Phi$ a *simple system* if it is a basis of the \mathbb{R} -span of Φ in V and if moreover each $\alpha \in \Phi$ is a linear combination of elements of Δ with all nonnegative or all nonpositive coefficients. It is well known that simple systems exist (for details see [7]) and that for crystallographic root systems all the roots are integer linear combinations of simple roots. The group W is indeed generated by $S = \{s_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta\}$, the set of simple reflections. Moreover (W, S) is a Coxeter system. For an element $w = s_{\alpha_1} \cdots s_{\alpha_r} \in W$ and a root α we let $w(\alpha)$ denote the action of w on α as composition of the reflections $s_{\alpha_1}, \dots, s_{\alpha_r}$.

We follow [1] for notation and terminology about Coxeter groups. In particular, for a Coxeter system (W, S) associated with a root system as above, we let ℓ_Δ be the

Coxeter length and T be the set of reflections

$$T = \{w^{-1}sw : w \in W, s \in S\}.$$

For $I \subseteq S$ we define the quotient

$${}^I W := \{w \in W : D_L(w) \subseteq S \setminus I\},$$

where $D_L(w) = \{s \in S : \ell_\Delta(sw) < \ell_\Delta(w)\}$. We define similarly $D_R(w)$. The parabolic subgroup W_I is the subgroup generated by I . The following result is well known (see, e.g. [1, Proposition 2.4.4]).

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system, $J \subseteq S$, and $w \in W$. Then there exist unique elements ${}^J w \in {}^J W$ and ${}_J w \in W_J$ such that $w = {}_J w {}^J w$. Furthermore $\ell_\Delta(w) = \ell_\Delta({}_J w) + \ell_\Delta({}^J w)$.*

For $\Delta = \{\alpha_s, s \in S\}$, we let Φ_Δ^+ denote the set of roots that are nonnegative linear combinations of simple roots, and $\Phi_\Delta^- = -\Phi_\Delta^+$, so $\Phi_\Delta = \Phi_\Delta^+ \cup \Phi_\Delta^-$. For $\alpha \in \Phi$, $\alpha = \sum_{s \in S} c_s \alpha_s$, we call *height* of α (with respect to Δ) the sum of the coefficients of the linear combination:

$$(2.1) \quad \text{ht}_\Delta(\alpha) := \sum_{s \in S} c_s.$$

For a Coxeter system (W, S) as above, the Coxeter length has an interpretation in terms of the action of W on Φ :

$$(2.2) \quad \ell_\Delta(w) = |\{\alpha \in \Phi^+ : w(\alpha) \in \Phi^-\}|,$$

that is, for any element $w \in W$ it counts the number of positive roots sent to negative roots by its action as a composition of reflections.

Let now Φ be a crystallographic irreducible root system of type A, B, C , or D . We consider, in particular, for each of these types the following root systems:

- (1) $\Phi = \{\pm(e_i - e_j), 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$, for type A_{n-1} ,
- (2) $\Phi = \{\pm(e_i \pm e_j), 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \cup \{\pm e_i, i \in [n]\}$, for type B_n ,
- (3) $\Phi = \{\pm(e_i \pm e_j), 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \cup \{\pm 2e_i, i \in [n]\}$, for type C_n ,
- (4) $\Phi = \{\pm(e_i \pm e_j), 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$, for type D_n .

For these systems, we will consider in the sequel the following convenient choices of simple systems:

- (1) $\Delta = \{(e_{i+1} - e_i), i \in [n-1]\}$, for type A_{n-1} ,
- (2) $\Delta = \{(e_{i+1} - e_i), i \in [n-1]\} \cup \{e_1\}$, for type B_n ,
- (3) $\Delta = \{(e_{i+1} - e_i), i \in [n-1]\} \cup \{2e_1\}$, for type C_n ,
- (4) $\Delta = \{(e_{i+1} - e_i), i \in [n-1]\} \cup \{e_1 + e_2\}$, for type D_n .

We recall here that for suitable sets of generators, the groups $W(\Phi)$ are not only Coxeter groups, but they have very nice combinatorial descriptions as permutation groups. We employ here, for these groups, notation from [1, Chapter 8]. In particular, we write S_n^B for the group of signed permutations of degree n , namely permutations σ of $[-n, n]$ satisfying $\sigma(i) = -\sigma(-i)$ for all $i \in [-n, n]$. We write S_n^D for the group of even signed permutations of degree n , subgroup of S_n^B consisting of signed permutations with an even number of negative entries in their restriction to $[n]$. Given $\sigma \in S_n^B$ we write $\sigma = [a_1, \dots, a_n]$ to mean that $\sigma(i) = a_i$ for all $i \in [n]$ (called *window notation* of the signed permutation σ). We also use, for (even) signed permutations, the disjoint cycle notation.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let $\Delta \subseteq \Phi$ and S be as above. Then $(W(\Phi), S)$ is isomorphic to:*

- (1) *the symmetric group S_n , with Coxeter generators the simple transpositions $(i, i + 1)$, for $i = 1 \dots n - 1$, if Φ is of type A_{n-1} ;*

- (2) the group of signed permutations S_n^B , with Coxeter generators the simple transpositions $(i, i + 1)(-i, -i - 1)$, for $i = 1 \dots n - 1$, and $s_0^B = [-1, 2, \dots, n]$, if Φ is of type B_n or C_n ;
- (3) the group of even signed permutations S_n^D , with Coxeter generators the simple transpositions $(i, i + 1)(-i, -i - 1)$, for $i = 1 \dots n - 1$, and $s_0^D = [-2, -1, 3, \dots, n]$, if Φ is of type D_n .

Moreover, with the above choices of simple systems, the Coxeter length has combinatorial interpretations in terms of statistics on the window notation. In particular, for $\sigma \in W(\Phi)$

$$\ell_\Delta(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \text{inv}(\sigma), & \text{if } \Phi \text{ is of type } A, \\ \text{inv}(\sigma) + \text{neg}(\sigma) + \text{nsp}(\sigma), & \text{if } \Phi \text{ is of type } B \text{ or } C, \\ \text{inv}(\sigma) + \text{nsp}(\sigma), & \text{if } \Phi \text{ is of type } D, \end{cases}$$

where $\text{inv}(\sigma) = |\{(i, j) \in [n]^2 : i < j, \sigma(i) > \sigma(j)\}|$, $\text{neg}(\sigma) = |\{i \in [n] : \sigma(i) < 0\}|$ and $\text{nsp}(\sigma) = |\{(i, j) \in [n]^2 : i < j, \sigma(i) + \sigma(j) < 0\}|$.

In the sequel, we write ℓ for ℓ_Δ when using the above combinatorial interpretations of the Coxeter length.

3. ODD LENGTH

In this section we define, for any crystallographic root system Φ , a new statistic on the corresponding Weyl group $W(\Phi)$. While this statistic, which we call the odd length, depends on the choice of a simple system $\Delta \subseteq \Phi$, we show that its generating function over the corresponding Weyl group does not. We then compute combinatorially this new statistic for the classical Weyl groups, for a natural choice of simple system, and show that it coincides with each of the statistics by the same name that have already been defined and studied in [4, 3, 8, 13, 14].

DEFINITION 3.1. Let Φ be a crystallographic root system and $W = W(\Phi)$ be the corresponding Weyl group. Let $\Delta \subseteq \Phi$ be a simple system for Φ , and let Φ_Δ^+ and Φ_Δ^- be the corresponding sets of positive and negative roots. Given a positive root $\alpha \in \Phi_\Delta^+$, we let $\text{ht}_\Delta(\alpha)$ be its height, relative to Δ . For any $w \in W$, we let

$$(3.1) \quad L_\Delta(w) := |\{\alpha \in \Phi_\Delta^+ : \text{ht}_\Delta(\alpha) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, w(\alpha) \in \Phi_\Delta^-\}|.$$

We call $L_\Delta(w)$ the odd length of σ , and we call odd roots the positive roots of odd height.

Our object of interest in this work is the sign-twisted generating function of the odd length over the Weyl group. We now show that this generating function does not depend on the simple system used to compute L_Δ and ℓ_Δ .

PROPOSITION 3.2. Let Φ be a crystallographic root system and $\Delta, \Delta' \subseteq \Phi$ be simple systems for Φ . Then there exists $u \in W$ such that

$$L_{\Delta'}(w) = L_\Delta(u^{-1}wu)$$

for all $w \in W$.

Proof. It is well known (see, e.g. [7, § 1.4]) that under our hypotheses there exists $u \in W$ such that $u(\Delta) = \Delta'$, and so $u(\Phi_\Delta^+) = \Phi_{\Delta'}^+$. From this it follows easily that

for any $\alpha \in \Phi_{\Delta}^+$ we have that $\text{ht}_{\Delta}(\alpha) = \text{ht}_{\Delta'}(u(\alpha))$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\Delta'}(w) &= |\{\alpha \in \Phi_{\Delta'}^+ : \text{ht}_{\Delta'}(\alpha) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, w(\alpha) \in \Phi_{\Delta'}^-\}| \\ &= |\{\beta \in \Phi_{\Delta}^+ : \text{ht}_{\Delta'}(u(\beta)) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, w(u(\beta)) \in \Phi_{\Delta'}^-\}| \\ &= |\{\beta \in \Phi_{\Delta}^+ : \text{ht}_{\Delta}(\beta) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, w(u(\beta)) \in u(\Phi_{\Delta}^-)\}| \\ &= L_{\Delta}(u^{-1}wu) \end{aligned}$$

for all $w \in W$. □

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let Φ, Δ, Δ' be as before. Then*

$$\sum_{w \in W} x^{\ell_{\Delta}(w)} y^{L_{\Delta}(w)} = \sum_{w \in W} x^{\ell_{\Delta'}(w)} y^{L_{\Delta'}(w)}.$$

In particular, $\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell_{\Delta}(w)} y^{L_{\Delta}(w)} = \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell_{\Delta'}(w)} y^{L_{\Delta'}(w)}$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.2, there exists $u \in W$ with $L_{\Delta'}(w) = L_{\Delta}(u^{-1}wu)$ for all $w \in W$. Similarly, $\ell_{\Delta'}(w) = \ell_{\Delta}(u^{-1}wu)$ for all $w \in W$. Hence

$$\sum_{w \in W} x^{\ell_{\Delta'}(w)} y^{L_{\Delta'}(w)} = \sum_{w \in W} x^{\ell_{\Delta}(u^{-1}wu)} y^{L_{\Delta}(u^{-1}wu)} = \sum_{v \in W} x^{\ell_{\Delta}(v)} y^{L_{\Delta}(v)}. \quad \square$$

We now derive combinatorial descriptions of L_{Δ} for the root systems of types $A, B, C,$ and D , for the same choice of simple systems used in Proposition 2.3. For $\sigma \in S_n^B$ we let, following [4],

$$\begin{aligned} \text{oneg}(\sigma) &:= |\{i \in [n] : \sigma(i) < 0, i \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\}| \\ \text{onsp}(\sigma) &:= |\{(i, j) \in [n] \times [n] : i < j, \sigma(i) + \sigma(j) < 0, j - i \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\}|, \\ \text{oinv}(\sigma) &:= |\{(i, j) \in [n] \times [n] : i < j, \sigma(i) > \sigma(j), j - i \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\}|, \end{aligned}$$

and define similarly their “even” analogues $\text{eneg}, \text{ensp},$ and einv .

PROPOSITION 3.4. *Let Φ be a crystallographic root system of type $A, B, C,$ or D , and $\Delta \subseteq \Phi$ be the simple system considered in Proposition 2.3. Then*

$$L_{\Delta}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \text{oinv}(\sigma), & \text{if } \Phi \text{ is of type } A \\ \text{oneg}(\sigma) + \text{oinv}(\sigma) + \text{onsp}(\sigma), & \text{if } \Phi \text{ is of type } B, \\ \text{neg}(\sigma) + \text{oinv}(\sigma) + \text{ensp}(\sigma), & \text{if } \Phi \text{ is of type } C, \\ \text{oinv}(\sigma) + \text{onsp}(\sigma), & \text{if } \Phi \text{ is of type } D, \end{cases}$$

for all σ in the Weyl group of Φ .

Proof. In all types a simple computation shows that $\text{ht}_{\Delta}(-e_i + e_j) = j - i$, for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. Furthermore, if Φ is of type B then one obtains that $\text{ht}_{\Delta}(e_i) = i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\text{ht}_{\Delta}(e_i + e_j) = i + j$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. If Φ is of type C then $\text{ht}_{\Delta}(e_i + e_j) = i + j - 1$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. Finally, $\text{ht}_{\Delta}(e_i + e_j) = i + j - 2$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ if Φ is of type D . □

So, for example, if $n = 5$, and $\sigma = [3, -1, -4, -2, 5] \in S_5^B$, then $L_{\Delta}(\sigma) = 6$, if Φ is of type B , while $L_{\Delta}(\sigma) = 8$ if Φ is of type C .

Proposition 3.4 shows that in types $A, B,$ and D , with the choice of simple system made there, L_{Δ} coincides with the odd length L defined and studied in [4, 3, 8, 13, 14].

We note the following simple consequence of Proposition 3.4.

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Let Φ be a crystallographic root system of type $A, B, C,$ or $D,$ let $\Delta \subseteq \Phi$ be the simple system considered in Proposition 2.3, let W be the corresponding Weyl group, and let w_0 be the longest element of $W.$ Then*

$$L_\Delta(w_0) = \begin{cases} \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil, & \text{if } \Phi \text{ is of type } A_{n-1}, \\ \binom{n+1}{2}, & \text{if } \Phi \text{ is of type } B_n, \\ \binom{n+1}{2}, & \text{if } \Phi \text{ is of type } C_n, \\ 2\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil, & \text{if } \Phi \text{ is of type } D_n. \end{cases}$$

4. TYPE A

We showed in the previous section that the odd length combinatorially defined for type A coincides with L_Δ for a very natural choice of simple system of type $A_{n-1}.$ Product formulas for the sign-twisted distribution of this statistic over all quotients of the symmetric groups were proved in [4]. For later use (see Section 6), we prove here that the signed generating function of $L_\Delta (= \text{oinv})$ over S_n is the same as the one over the set of unimodal permutations, whose definition we now recall.

DEFINITION 4.1. *Let $\sigma \in S_n.$ We say that $i \in [2, n - 1]$ is a peak if $\sigma(i - 1) < \sigma(i) > \sigma(i + 1).$*

DEFINITION 4.2. *We say that a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ is unimodal if it has no peaks. We denote by U_n the set of unimodal permutations.*

It is easy to see that peaks (and valleys) allow the definition of involutions that preserve the number of odd inversions and change the length by $\pm 1.$ In the following lemma we use in particular “the highest peak” to define an involution of this type.

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}.$ Then*

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} x^{\text{oinv}(\sigma)} = \sum_{\sigma \in U_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} x^{\text{oinv}(\sigma)}.$$

Proof. Let $\sigma \in S_n \setminus U_n.$ Let $R_\sigma := \{\sigma(i) : i \text{ peak}\}$ be the set of the values of the images of the peaks of $\sigma.$ By hypothesis R_σ is non-empty. Let r be such that $\sigma(r) = \max R_\sigma$ and define the involution $\sigma^r := \sigma(r - 1, r + 1).$ Then $\ell(\sigma^r) = \ell(\sigma) \pm 1$ while $\text{oinv}(\sigma^r) = \text{oinv}(\sigma).$ Thus

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} x^{\text{oinv}(\sigma)} = \sum_{\sigma \in U_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} x^{\text{oinv}(\sigma)},$$

as desired. □

In fact, a finer result holds: the signed generating function is the same when restricted to chessboard elements, defined in [13], whose definition we recall here.

DEFINITION 4.4. *We say that a permutation $\sigma \in S_n,$ resp. a signed permutation $\sigma \in S_n^B,$ is chessboard if $\sigma(i) \equiv i \pmod{2}$ for all $i \in [n]$ or if $\sigma(i) \equiv i + 1 \pmod{2}$ for all $i \in [n].$ We write $C(S_n),$ resp. $C(S_n^B),$ for the subgroup of the chessboard elements of the relative group and for $X \subset S_n,$ resp. $X \subset S_n^B,$ we denote $C(X) = X \cap C(S_n),$ resp. $C(X) = X \cap C(S_n^B).$*

REMARK 4.5. As the involution defined in the proof of Proposition 4.3 preserves the parity of the entries in all positions, the same equality holds true when restricting the supports of the sums on both sides to chessboard elements,

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{\sigma \in C(S_n)} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} x^{\text{oinv}(\sigma)} = \sum_{\sigma \in C(U_n)} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} x^{\text{oinv}(\sigma)}.$$

5. TYPES B AND C

In this section we study the signed multivariate distributions of the statistics oneg , eneg , oinv , onsp , and ensp over the groups of signed permutations S_n^B . In almost all cases we show that these factor as product of binomials. In particular, we obtain the signed generating function of L_Δ for root systems of types (B and) C.

We begin with the following lemmas. Their proofs are straightforward verifications from the definitions and are therefore omitted. We first fix some notation used for the rest of this section.

DEFINITION 5.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$. For $\sigma \in B_{n-1}$ we let $\tilde{\sigma} := [\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(n-1), -n]$, $\hat{\sigma} := [n, \sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(n-1)]$ and $\check{\sigma} := [-n, \sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(n-1)]$.

LEMMA 5.2. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$ and keep notation from Definition 5.1. Let $\delta := n - 1 \pmod{2}$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{oneg}(\tilde{\sigma}) &= \text{oneg}(\sigma) + 1 - \delta & \text{eneg}(\tilde{\sigma}) &= \text{eneg}(\sigma) + \delta \\ \text{oinv}(\tilde{\sigma}) &= \text{oinv}(\sigma) + \lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil & \text{einv}(\tilde{\sigma}) &= \text{einv}(\sigma) + \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor \\ \text{onsp}(\tilde{\sigma}) &= \text{onsp}(\sigma) + \lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil & \text{ensp}(\tilde{\sigma}) &= \text{ensp}(\sigma) + \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 5.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$ and keep notation from Definition 5.1. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{oneg}(\hat{\sigma}) &= \text{oneg}(\sigma) & \text{eneg}(\hat{\sigma}) &= \text{eneg}(\sigma) \\ \text{oinv}(\hat{\sigma}) &= \text{oinv}(\sigma) + \lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil & \text{einv}(\hat{\sigma}) &= \text{einv}(\sigma) + \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor \\ \text{onsp}(\hat{\sigma}) &= \text{onsp}(\sigma) & \text{ensp}(\hat{\sigma}) &= \text{ensp}(\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 5.4. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$ and keep notation from Definition 5.1. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{oneg}(\check{\sigma}) &= \text{eneg}(\sigma) + 1 & \text{eneg}(\check{\sigma}) &= \text{oneg}(\sigma) \\ \text{oinv}(\check{\sigma}) &= \text{oinv}(\sigma) & \text{einv}(\check{\sigma}) &= \text{einv}(\sigma) \\ \text{onsp}(\check{\sigma}) &= \text{onsp}(\sigma) + \lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil & \text{ensp}(\check{\sigma}) &= \text{ensp}(\sigma) + \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor. \end{aligned}$$

The key observation to prove the formulas for the signed multivariate distributions is the following, which is analogous to [3, Lemma 3.3].

LEMMA 5.5. Let $\sigma \in S_n^B$, $s \in \{\text{oneg}, \text{eneg}, \text{onsp}, \text{ensp}\}$, and $a \in [\pm n] \setminus \{\pm 1, \pm n\}$. Then, if $\sigma^* := \sigma(a-1, a+1)(-a+1, -a-1)$, one has:

$$s(\sigma^*) = s(\sigma), \quad \ell(\sigma^*) = \ell(\sigma) \pm 1.$$

Furthermore, if $a = \sigma^{-1}(n)$, then $\text{oinv}(\sigma^*) = \text{oinv}(\sigma)$.

In the following we let, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$F_n(x_1, x_2, y, z_1, z_2) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_n^B} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} x_1^{\text{oneg}(\sigma)} x_2^{\text{eneg}(\sigma)} y^{\text{oinv}(\sigma)} z_1^{\text{onsp}(\sigma)} z_2^{\text{ensp}(\sigma)}.$$

The signed multivariate distributions that we study in this section are specializations of the polynomials F_n .

THEOREM 5.6. Let $n \in \mathbb{P}$. Then

$$F_n(x_1, x_2, y, 1, z) = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 + (-1)^i y^{\lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil}) \prod_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor} (1 - x_1 x_2 z^{2i}), & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ (1 - x_1 z^{\frac{n-1}{2}}) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 + (-1)^i y^{\lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil}) \prod_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor} (1 - x_1 x_2 z^{2i}), & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \end{cases}$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on $n \in \mathbb{P}$, the result being easy to check if $n \leq 2$. Assume $n \geq 3$. Let $f(\sigma) = (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} x_1^{\text{oneg}(\sigma)} x_2^{\text{eneg}(\sigma)} y^{\text{oinv}(\sigma)} z^{\text{ensp}(\sigma)}$ for all $\sigma \in S_n^B$. By Lemma 5.5 we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_n(x_1, x_2, y, 1, z) &= \sum_{\{\sigma \in S_n^B: \sigma(n)=n\}} f(\sigma) + \sum_{\{\sigma \in S_n^B: \sigma(-n)=n\}} f(\sigma) + \sum_{\{\sigma \in S_n^B: \sigma(1)=n\}} f(\sigma) + \sum_{\{\sigma \in S_n^B: \sigma(-1)=n\}} f(\sigma) \\
 (5.1) \qquad &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n-1}^B} (f(\sigma) + f(\tilde{\sigma}) + f(\hat{\sigma}) + f(\check{\sigma}))
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}, \hat{\sigma}, \check{\sigma}$ are as in 5.1. But, by Lemmas 5.2, 5.3, and 5.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(\tilde{\sigma}) &= x_1^{1-\delta(n)} x_2^{\delta(n)} y^{\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil} z^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{n-1} f(\sigma), \\
 f(\hat{\sigma}) &= (-1)^{n-1} y^{\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} x_1^{\text{eneg}(\sigma)} x_2^{\text{oneg}(\sigma)} y^{\text{oinv}(\sigma)} z^{\text{ensp}(\sigma)}, \\
 f(\check{\sigma}) &= x_1 z^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} x_1^{\text{eneg}(\sigma)} x_2^{\text{oneg}(\sigma)} y^{\text{oinv}(\sigma)} z^{\text{ensp}(\sigma)},
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\sigma \in S_{n-1}^B$.

Suppose now that $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Then by our induction hypotheses we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{\sigma \in S_n^B} f(\sigma) &= (1 - x_2 y^{\frac{n}{2}} z^{\frac{n-2}{2}}) F_{n-1}(x_1, x_2, y, 1, z) + (x_1 z^{\frac{n-2}{2}} - y^{\frac{n}{2}}) F_{n-1}(x_2, x_1, y, 1, z) \\
 &= (1 - x_2 y^{\frac{n}{2}} z^{\frac{n-2}{2}}) (1 - x_1 z^{\frac{n-2}{2}}) \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} (1 + (-1)^i y^{\lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil}) \prod_{i=0}^{\frac{n-4}{2}} (1 - x_1 x_2 z^{2i}) \\
 &\quad + (x_1 z^{\frac{n-2}{2}} - y^{\frac{n}{2}}) (1 - x_2 z^{\frac{n-2}{2}}) \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} (1 + (-1)^i y^{\lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil}) \prod_{i=0}^{\frac{n-4}{2}} (1 - x_1 x_2 z^{2i}) \\
 &= (1 + x_1 x_2 y^{\frac{n}{2}} z^{n-2} - y^{\frac{n}{2}} - x_1 x_2 z^{n-2}) \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} (1 + (-1)^i y^{\lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil}) \prod_{i=0}^{\frac{n-4}{2}} (1 - x_1 x_2 z^{2i})
 \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows. Similarly, if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{\sigma \in S_n^B} f(\sigma) &= (1 + x_1 y^{\frac{n-1}{2}} z^{\frac{n-1}{2}}) F_{n-1}(x_1, x_2, y, 1, z) + (x_1 z^{\frac{n-1}{2}} + y^{\frac{n-1}{2}}) F_{n-1}(x_2, x_1, y, 1, z) \\
 &= (1 + x_1 y^{\frac{n-1}{2}} z^{\frac{n-1}{2}}) \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} (1 + (-1)^i y^{\lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil}) \prod_{i=0}^{\frac{n-3}{2}} (1 - x_1 x_2 z^{2i}) \\
 &\quad + (x_1 z^{\frac{n-1}{2}} + y^{\frac{n-1}{2}}) \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} (1 + (-1)^i y^{\lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil}) \prod_{i=0}^{\frac{n-3}{2}} (1 - x_1 x_2 z^{2i}) \\
 &= (1 + x_1 y^{\frac{n-1}{2}} z^{\frac{n-1}{2}} + y^{\frac{n-1}{2}} + x_1 z^{\frac{n-1}{2}}) \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} (1 + (-1)^i y^{\lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil}) \prod_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor} (1 - x_1 x_2 z^{2i}) \\
 &= (1 + x_1 z^{\frac{n-1}{2}}) (1 + y^{\frac{n-1}{2}}) \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} (1 + (-1)^i y^{\lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil}) \prod_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor} (1 - x_1 x_2 z^{2i}),
 \end{aligned}$$

and the result again follows. □

As an immediate corollary of the previous result we obtain the generating function for the signed distribution of L_Δ for root systems of type C .

COROLLARY 5.7. *Let $n \in \mathbb{P}$. Then*

$$\sum_{w \in W(\Phi(C_n))} (-1)^{\ell_{\Delta}(w)} x^{L_{\Delta}(w)} = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(1 + x^{\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor}\right) \left(1 - x^{\lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil}\right).$$

Proof. This follows easily from the combinatorial description of L_{Δ} in Proposition 3.4 and Theorem 5.6 by letting $x_1 = x_2 = y = z = x$. \square

The following result gives the signed multivariate generating functions of (oneg, oinv, onsp) and (eneg, oinv, onsp) over the hyperoctahedral group S_n^B . The proof is analogous to that of Theorem 5.6 and is therefore omitted.

THEOREM 5.8. *Let $n \in \mathbb{P}$. Then*

$$(5.2) \quad F_n(x, 1, y, z, 1) = \begin{cases} (1-x)(1-y^{\frac{n}{2}}z^{\frac{n}{2}}) \prod_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} (1-xz^{2i})(1-y^{2i}), & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ (1-x) \prod_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} (1-xz^{2i})(1-y^{2i}), & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(5.3) \quad F_n(1, x, y, z, 1) = \begin{cases} (1-x)(z^{\frac{n}{2}} - y^{\frac{n}{2}}) \prod_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} (1-xz^{2i})(1-y^{2i}), & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ 0, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

Note that the sign-twisted joint distribution of the statistics (oneg, eneg, oinv, onsp) over S_n^B does not, instead, factor nicely in general. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} F_4(x_1, x_2, y, z, 1) &= (1-y^2)(1-x_1x_2z^2)(1+x_1x_2y^2z^2 - x_1x_2z^2 - x_2y^2z^2 + x_1z^2 + x_2y^2 - x_1 - y^2). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the sign-twisted joint distributions of the statistics (oneg, oinv, onsp, ensp) and (eneg, oinv, onsp, ensp) also do not factor nicely in general. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} F_4(x, 1, y, z_1, z_2) &= (1-x)(1-y^2)(xy^2z_1^4z_2^2 + xz_1^3z_2 - xz_1^3z_2^2 - xz_1^2z_2 - y^2z_1 - y^2z_1^2z_2 + y^2z_1z_2 + 1) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_4(1, x, y, z_1, z_2) &= (1-x)(1-y^2)(xy^2z_1^3z_2^2 - xy^2z_1^3z_2 + xy^2z_1^2z_2 - xz_1^4z_2^2 + z_1^2z_2 - y^2 + z_1 - z_1z_2). \end{aligned}$$

Analogous observations can be made for the polynomials $F_n(x_1, x_2, y, z_1, z_2)$. More precisely, our computations suggest that

$$F_n(x_1, x_2, y, z_1, z_2) = H_n(x_1, x_2, y, z_1, z_2) \prod_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} (1 - y^{2i})$$

where $H_n(x_1, x_2, y, z_1, z_2)$ is an irreducible polynomial. We have checked that this holds for $n \leq 6$.

As an immediate corollary of Theorem 5.8 we obtain the following result, which also follows from [4, Theorem 5.4].

COROLLARY 5.9. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$. Then*

$$\sum_{w \in W(\Phi(B_n))} (-1)^{\ell_{\Delta}(w)} x^{L_{\Delta}(w)} = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x^i).$$

Proof. This follows easily from the combinatorial description of L_{Δ} in Proposition 3.4 and Theorem 5.8 by letting $y = z = x$ in (5.2). □

REMARK 5.10. In all our formulas, the twist is always given by the sign character of the relevant Weyl group. There are three other linear characters on groups of type B : the trivial character, the negative character and the product of negative and sign character. Character-twisted generating functions for various choices of the characters have been studied, for instance, in [2, 11, 10]. Note that formulas for the joint distribution of $(\text{oneg}, \text{eneg}, \text{oinv}, \text{ensp})$ twisted by the character $(-1)^{\ell + \text{neg}}$ are given by $F_n(-x_1, -x_2, y, 1, z)$ in Theorem 5.6. We did not investigate, instead, negative-twisted generating functions involving our statistics.

REMARK 5.11. Univariate and multivariate signed generating functions over the groups of signed permutations and their quotients—analogueous to those proved in this section—proved to have remarkable enumerative applications. As we mentioned in the Introduction, formulas for the sign-twisted distribution of the odd length over quotients of signed permutations have applications in the enumeration of symmetric matrices of fixed rank over finite fields. The coincidence of analogueous formulas relating character-twisted distributions of length-like statistics on quotients of the hyperoctahedral groups and rank enumeration of matrices is an intriguing phenomenon also observed for generic and antisymmetric matrices, cf. [13], and for traceless matrices, cf. [5]. Factorizations relating (possibly signed) joint distributions of statistics over the groups of signed permutations and their restrictions over the symmetric groups also have applications in this context; see, for instance, [5, Proposition 3.4 and Conjecture 3.7]. It would be interesting to have similar applications for the product formulas involving the statistics studied here.

We conclude by noting the following univariate natural special cases of the multivariate results in this section. For $n \in \mathbb{P}$ and $\sigma \in S_n^B$ we let

$$\begin{aligned} (5.4) \quad L_{ooe}(\sigma) &:= \text{oneg}(\sigma) + \text{oinv}(\sigma) + \text{ensp}(\sigma) \\ L_{eoe}(\sigma) &:= \text{eneg}(\sigma) + \text{oinv}(\sigma) + \text{ensp}(\sigma) \\ L_{eoo}(\sigma) &:= \text{eneg}(\sigma) + \text{oinv}(\sigma) + \text{onsp}(\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 5.12. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 3$. Then*

$$(5.5) \quad \sum_{\sigma \in S_n^B} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} x^{L_{ooe}(\sigma)} = (1 - x^{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 - x^i),$$

$$(5.6) \quad \sum_{\sigma \in S_n^B} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} x^{L_{eoe}(\sigma)} = (1 - x^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 - x^i),$$

and

$$(5.7) \quad \sum_{\sigma \in S_n^B} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} x^{L_{eoo}(\sigma)} = 0.$$

We will show in § 8 that the statistic L_{ooe} has a type-independent description in terms of reflections.

6. TYPE D

As for type B , we derive in this section signed multivariate generating functions for the statistics oinv , onsp , ensp over the even hyperoctahedral groups. Product formulas for the sign-twisted distribution of the odd length over the even hyperoctahedral groups (and some of their quotients) were proved in [3]. There it was also observed that they coincide with the squares of the analogous formulas for S_n , cf. [3, Corollary 4.2]. The proof of the result giving some of the signed multivariate generating functions for the groups S_n^D also explains the mentioned coincidence. We prove formulas for various specializations of the polynomial defined, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, as follows

$$G_n(x, y_1, y_2) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_n^D} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} x^{\text{oinv}(\sigma)} y_1^{\text{onsp}(\sigma)} y_2^{\text{ensp}(\sigma)}.$$

Our first result shows that the signed joint distribution of oinv and ensp is zero.

PROPOSITION 6.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{P}$. Then*

$$(6.1) \quad G_n(x, 1, y) = 0.$$

Proof. We define, for $\sigma \in S_n^D$, the following involution:

$$\bar{\sigma} = \begin{cases} \sigma s_1, & \text{if } \|\sigma^{-1}(1) - \sigma^{-1}(2)\| \equiv 2 \pmod{2} \\ \sigma s_0^D, & \text{if } \|\sigma^{-1}(1) - \sigma^{-1}(2)\| \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that in both cases $\ell_D(\bar{\sigma}) = \ell_D(\sigma) \pm 1$. We now show that, for all $\sigma \in S_n^D$, $\text{ensp}(\sigma) = \text{ensp}(\bar{\sigma})$ and $\text{oinv}(\sigma) = \text{oinv}(\bar{\sigma})$.

Consider σ for which the entries of absolute values 1 and 2 appear at an even distance. In this case the involution is defined by right multiplication by s_1 , that is it exchanges these values. As the involution involves no sign changes, $\text{ensp}(\sigma) = \text{ensp}(\bar{\sigma})$. The only inversion involved is between positions at even distance, thus $\text{oinv}(\sigma) = \text{oinv}(\bar{\sigma})$. Similar reasoning shows that these equalities hold also in the other case. This implies the result. \square

Similarly to Corollary 5.12, the previous result implies the following result about the univariate signed generating function of the statistic

$$L_{oe}(\sigma) := \text{oinv}(\sigma) + \text{ensp}(\sigma).$$

COROLLARY 6.2. *Let $n \geq 2$. Then*

$$(6.2) \quad \sum_{\sigma \in S_n^D} (-1)^{\ell_D(\sigma)} x^{L_{oe}(\sigma)} = 0.$$

We now study the signed bivariate generating function of $(\text{oinv}, \text{onsp})$, that refines the one of the odd length L_Δ in type D . We will need some preliminary results.

The next lemma shows that, as in the case of the symmetric and hyperoctahedral groups, the signed generating function of the odd length over S_n^D is the same when restricted to chessboard elements. We prove a finer result, namely that this holds also for the signed bivariate generating function of odd inversions and odd negative sum pairs.

LEMMA 6.3. *Let $n \geq 2$. Then*

$$G_n(x, y, 1) = \sum_{\sigma \in C(S_n^D)} (-1)^{\ell_D(\sigma)} x^{\text{oinv}(\sigma)} y^{\text{oneg}(\sigma)}.$$

Proof. Let $\sigma \in S_n^D \setminus C(S_n^D)$. Then there exists $i \in [n-1]$ such that $\sigma^{-1}(i) \equiv \sigma^{-1}(i+1) \pmod{2}$. Let i be minimal with this property and define $\sigma^* = s_i\sigma$. It is a well defined involution on $S_n^D \setminus C(S_n^D)$. Clearly $\text{oinv}(\sigma^*) = \text{oinv}(\sigma)$ and $\text{onsp}(\sigma^*) = \text{onsp}(\sigma)$, while $\ell_D(\sigma^*) = \ell_D(\sigma) \pm 1$. This implies the thesis. \square

Recall that for $\sigma \in S_n^D$ the descent set is $\text{Des}(\sigma) = \{i \in [0, n-1] : \sigma(i) > \sigma(i+1)\}$, where we set $\sigma(0) := -\sigma(2)$. Also, recall that S_n is naturally isomorphic to the parabolic subgroup $(S_n^D)_{[n-1]}$ of S_n^D , and that S_n^D can be written as

$$S_n^D = T_n S_n,$$

where $T_n = \{\tau \in S_n^D : \text{Des}(\tau) \subseteq \{0\}\}$. That is, every even signed permutation $\sigma \in S_n^D$ can be uniquely written as $\sigma = \sigma^{[n-1]}\sigma_{[n-1]}$, with $\sigma^{[n-1]} \in T_n$ and $\sigma_{[n-1]} \in S_n$. Moreover,

$$\ell_D(\sigma) = \ell_D(\sigma^{[n-1]}) + \ell_D(\sigma_{[n-1]}),$$

we refer the reader to [1, Chapter 8.2] for further details. This last property does not hold in general for L_Δ . It does, however, for L_Δ on a special subset of chessboard elements of S_n^D , which we now define.

DEFINITION 6.4. *We say that an even signed permutation σ is a good chessboard element if $\sigma, \sigma^{[n-1]}$ and $\sigma_{[n-1]}$ are chessboard elements. We write $gC(S_n^D)$ for good chessboard elements of S_n^D .*

In the following lemma we show that the odd inversions and the odd negative sum pairs (and thus the odd length L_Δ) are additive with respect to the parabolic factorization $S_n^D = T_n S_n$ on good chessboard elements.

LEMMA 6.5. *Let $\sigma \in gC(S_n^D)$. Then*

$$\text{oinv}(\sigma) = \text{oinv}(\sigma^{[n-1]}) + \text{oinv}(\sigma_{[n-1]}) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{onsp}(\sigma) = \text{onsp}(\sigma^{[n-1]}) + \text{onsp}(\sigma_{[n-1]}),$$

where $\sigma = \sigma^{[n-1]}\sigma_{[n-1]}$, $\sigma^{[n-1]} \in C(T_n)$ and $\sigma_{[n-1]} \in C(S_n)$.

Proof. Let σ be a good chessboard element. The set of inversions of σ and $\sigma_{[n-1]}$ coincide. Moreover it is clear that $\text{oinv}(\sigma^{[n-1]}) = 0$, thus

$$\text{oinv}(\sigma) = \text{oinv}(\sigma_{[n-1]}) = \text{oinv}(\sigma^{[n-1]}) + \text{oinv}(\sigma_{[n-1]}).$$

Since by assumption $\sigma^{[n-1]}$ is a chessboard element, the relative parities of pairs with negative sum are the same as for σ . Clearly $\text{onsp}(\sigma_{[n-1]}) = 0$, thus

$$\text{onsp}(\sigma) = \text{onsp}(\sigma^{[n-1]}) = \text{onsp}(\sigma^{[n-1]}) + \text{onsp}(\sigma_{[n-1]}). \quad \square$$

We show now that the signed bivariate generating function equals the one over good chessboard elements. The result follows from its analogue for type B .

LEMMA 6.6. *Let $n \geq 2$. Then*

$$G_n(x, y, 1) = \sum_{\sigma \in gC(S_n^D)} (-1)^{\ell_D(\sigma)} x^{\text{oinv}(\sigma)} y^{\text{onsp}(\sigma)}.$$

Proof. The lemma follows by [13, Lemma 16 and Lemma 19], observing that the involution defined in [13, Lemma 19] restricts to an involution on the relevant subset of S_n^D , since it does not involve sign changes. \square

The next theorem implies (and gives a direct proof of) [3, Corollary 4.2].

THEOREM 6.7. *Let $n \geq 2$. Then*

$$G_n(x, y, 1) = \left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} x^{\text{oinv}(\sigma)} \right) \left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} y^{\text{oinv}(\sigma)} \right).$$

Proof. Thanks to Lemmas 6.6 and 6.5 the sum on the left hand side can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_n(x, y, 1) &= \sum_{\sigma \in gC(S_n^D)} (-1)^{\ell_D(\sigma)} x^{\text{oinv}(\sigma)} y^{\text{onsp}(\sigma)} \\
 (6.3) \qquad &= \left(\sum_{\sigma \in C(T_n)} (-1)^{\ell_D(\sigma)} y^{\text{onsp}(\sigma)} \right) \left(\sum_{\sigma \in C(S_n)} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} x^{\text{oinv}(\sigma)} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

We claim that the first factor of (6.3) equals the signed distribution of the odd inversions on the symmetric group. Consider the map

$$|\cdot| : S_n^D \rightarrow S_n, \quad \sigma = [\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(n)] \mapsto |\sigma| = [|\sigma(1)|, \dots, |\sigma(n)|].$$

Its restriction to $C(T_n)$ is a bijection onto $C(U_n)$, the set of chessboard unimodal permutations. It is easy to see that (odd) negative sum pairs of elements of $C(T_n)$ are (odd) inversions of their images in $C(U_n)$ through $|\cdot|$. More precisely, for $\sigma \in C(T_n)$

$$\text{nsp}(\sigma) = \text{inv}(|\sigma|), \quad \text{onsp}(\sigma) = \text{oinv}(|\sigma|).$$

This observation proves that indeed

$$\sum_{\sigma \in C(T_n)} (-1)^{\ell_D(\sigma)} y^{\text{onsp}(\sigma)} = \sum_{\sigma \in C(U_n)} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} y^{\text{oinv}(\sigma)},$$

which together with (6.3) and (4.1) yields the result. □

Setting $y = x$ gives the known result for the signed distribution of the odd length over S_n^D (cf. [3, Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.2]).

COROLLARY 6.8. *Let $n \geq 2$. Then*

$$\sum_{w \in W(\Phi(D_n))} (-1)^{\ell_{\Delta(D_n)}(w)} x^{L_{\Delta(D_n)}(w)} = \left(\sum_{w \in W(\Phi(A_{n-1}))} (-1)^{\ell_{\Delta(D_n)}(w)} x^{L_{\Delta(D_n)}(w)} \right)^2.$$

7. SIGNED GENERATING FUNCTIONS FOR WEYL GROUPS

We summarize in this section the results obtained for the signed generating functions of the odd length on the classical Weyl groups, and we record some computations that we made for the exceptional types. The signed generating functions for the exceptional types were computed with the Python package `PyCox` (see [6]) and SageMath ([12]). The main result of this section (Theorem 7.5) follows immediately from the results in § 5, § 6 and these computer calculations. However, we believe that a more conceptual proof would be interesting, so we provide such a proof for the infinite families of classical Weyl groups. We feel that the results presented for this proof are interesting in their own right.

We begin with some preliminary lemmas about bijections that preserve the parity of the height of the roots and the odd length of certain elements.

LEMMA 7.1. *Let Φ be a crystallographic root system, W its Weyl group, and $s, t, \tilde{s}, \tilde{t} \in S$ be such that $m(s, \tilde{s}) = m(s, t) = m(t, \tilde{t}) = 3$, and $m(s, r) = 2$ for all $r \in S \setminus \{s, t, \tilde{s}\}$, $m(t, r) = 2$ for all $r \in S \setminus \{s, t, \tilde{t}\}$. Then*

$$\text{ht}(\alpha) \equiv \text{ht}(sts(\alpha)) \pmod{2}$$

for all $\alpha \in \Phi$ and

$$L_{\Delta}(wsts) = L_{\Delta}(w)$$

for all $w \in W$ such that $|D_R(w) \cap \{s, t\}| = 1$.

Proof. Let $\alpha = \sum_{r \in S} c_r \alpha_r$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} sts \left(\sum_{r \in S} c_r \alpha_r \right) &= \sum_{r \in S \setminus \{s, t, \bar{s}, \bar{t}\}} c_r \alpha_r + sts(c_s \alpha_s) + sts(c_{\bar{s}} \alpha_{\bar{s}}) + sts(c_t \alpha_t) + sts(c_{\bar{t}} \alpha_{\bar{t}}) \\ &= \sum_{r \in S \setminus \{s, t, \bar{s}, \bar{t}\}} c_r \alpha_r + c_s(-\alpha_t) + c_{\bar{s}}(\alpha_{\bar{s}} + \alpha_s + \alpha_t) + c_t(-\alpha_s) \\ &\quad + c_{\bar{t}}(\alpha_{\bar{t}} + \alpha_s + \alpha_t) \\ &= \sum_{r \in S \setminus \{s, t\}} c_r \alpha_r + \alpha_t(c_{\bar{t}} + c_{\bar{s}} - c_s) + \alpha_s(c_{\bar{t}} + c_{\bar{s}} - c_t). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\text{ht}(sts(\alpha)) = \text{ht}(\alpha) - 2c_s - 2c_t + 2c_{\bar{s}} + 2c_{\bar{t}},$$

and the first equality follows.

Let $\alpha \in \Phi^+$. Then $sts(\alpha) < 0$ if and only if $s_\alpha \in \{s, t, sts\}$, that is if and only if $\alpha \in \{\alpha_s, \alpha_t, \alpha_{sts}\}$. But $\alpha_{sts} = s(\alpha_t)$, and, since $m(s, t) = 3$, we have $\alpha_{sts} = \alpha_t + \alpha_s$. So $sts(\alpha) < 0$ if and only if $\alpha \in \{\alpha_s, \alpha_t, \alpha_s + \alpha_t\}$. Furthermore, the map $\alpha \mapsto sts(\alpha)$ is a bijection of $\Phi^+ \setminus \{\alpha_s, \alpha_t, \alpha_{sts}\}$ which, by what we just proved, preserves the parity of the height. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_\Delta(w) &= |\{\alpha \in \Phi^+ : w(\alpha) < 0, \text{ht}(\alpha) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\}| \\ &= |\{\alpha \in \Phi^+ \setminus \{\alpha_s, \alpha_t, \alpha_s + \alpha_t\} : w(\alpha) < 0, \text{ht}(\alpha) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\}| \\ &\quad + |\{\alpha \in \{\alpha_s, \alpha_t, \alpha_s + \alpha_t\} : w(\alpha) < 0, \text{ht}(\alpha) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\}| \\ &= |\{\beta \in \Phi^+ \setminus \{\alpha_s, \alpha_t, \alpha_s + \alpha_t\} : wsts(\beta) < 0, \text{ht}(\beta) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\}| \\ &\quad + |\{\alpha \in \{\alpha_s, \alpha_t\} : w(\alpha) < 0\}| \\ &= |\{\beta \in \Phi^+ \setminus \{\alpha_s, \alpha_t, \alpha_s + \alpha_t\} : wsts(\beta) < 0, \text{ht}(\beta) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\}| \\ &\quad + |D_R(w) \cap \{s, t\}| \\ &= |\{\beta \in \Phi^+ \setminus \{\alpha_s, \alpha_t, \alpha_s + \alpha_t\} : wsts(\beta) < 0, \text{ht}(\beta) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\}| \\ &\quad + |D_R(wsts) \cap \{s, t\}| \\ &= L_\Delta(wsts). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

The next lemma is the analogue of Lemma 7.1 for the case of two commuting generators.

LEMMA 7.2. *Let Φ be a crystallographic root system, W its Weyl group, and $s, t, \bar{s} \in S$ be such that $m(s, \bar{s}) = m(t, \bar{s}) = 3$, and $m(s, t) = m(s, r) = m(t, r) = 2$ for all $r \in S \setminus \{s, t, \bar{s}\}$. Then*

$$\text{ht}(\alpha) \equiv \text{ht}(st(\alpha)) \pmod{2}$$

for all $\alpha \in \Phi$ and

$$L_\Delta(wst) = L_\Delta(w)$$

for all $w \in W$ such that $|D_R(w) \cap \{s, t\}| = 1$.

Proof. Let $\alpha = \sum_{r \in S} c_r \alpha_r$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} st \left(\sum_{r \in S} c_r \alpha_r \right) &= \sum_{r \in S \setminus \{s, t, \bar{s}\}} c_r \alpha_r + st(c_s \alpha_s) + st(c_t \alpha_t) + st(c_{\bar{s}} \alpha_{\bar{s}}) \\ &= \sum_{r \in S \setminus \{s, t, \bar{s}\}} c_r \alpha_r - c_s \alpha_s - c_t \alpha_t + c_{\bar{s}}(\alpha_t + \alpha_s + \alpha_{\bar{s}}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\text{ht}(st(\alpha)) = \text{ht}(\alpha) - 2c_s - 2c_t + 2c_{\bar{s}},$$

and the first equality follows.

Let $\alpha \in \Phi^+$. Then $st(\alpha) < 0$ if and only if $s_\alpha \in \{s, t\}$, that is if and only if $\alpha \in \{\alpha_s, \alpha_t\}$. Furthermore, the map $\alpha \mapsto st(\alpha)$ is a bijection of $\Phi^+ \setminus \{\alpha_s, \alpha_t\}$ which, by what we have just proved, preserves the parity of the height. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_\Delta(w) &= |\{\alpha \in \Phi^+ : w(\alpha) < 0, \text{ht}(\alpha) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\}| \\ &= |\{\alpha \in \Phi^+ \setminus \{\alpha_s, \alpha_t\} : w(\alpha) < 0, \text{ht}(\alpha) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\}| \\ &\quad + |\{\alpha \in \{\alpha_s, \alpha_t\} : w(\alpha) < 0, \text{ht}(\alpha) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\}| \\ &= |\{\beta \in \Phi^+ \setminus \{\alpha_s, \alpha_t\} : wst(\beta) < 0, \text{ht}(\beta) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\}| \\ &\quad + |\{\alpha \in \{\alpha_s, \alpha_t\} : w(\alpha) < 0\}| \\ &= |\{\beta \in \Phi^+ \setminus \{\alpha_s, \alpha_t\} : wst(\beta) < 0, \text{ht}(\beta) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\}| + |D_R(w) \cap \{s, t\}| \\ &= |\{\beta \in \Phi^+ \setminus \{\alpha_s, \alpha_t, \alpha_s + \alpha_t\} : wsts(\beta) < 0, \text{ht}(\beta) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\}| \\ &\quad + |D_R(wst) \cap \{s, t\}| \\ &= L_\Delta(wsts). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

The following lemmas are straightforward computations and their verification is omitted.

LEMMA 7.3. *Let Φ be a crystallographic root system of type B_n or C_n and $W = W(\Phi)$ be its Weyl group. Then*

$$L_\Delta(ws_0) = \begin{cases} L_\Delta(w), & \text{if } \Phi \text{ is of type } B_n \\ L_\Delta(w) + 1 & \text{if } \Phi \text{ is of type } C_n, \end{cases}$$

for all $w \in W$ such that $s_0^B \notin D_R(w)$.

LEMMA 7.4. *Let Φ be a crystallographic root system of type C_n or D_n and $W = W(\Phi)$ be its Weyl group. Then*

$$(7.1) \quad L_\Delta(us_{n-1} \cdots s_1) = L_\Delta(u) + L_\Delta(s_{n-1} \cdots s_1) = L_\Delta(u) + \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor.$$

for all $u \in W_I$ where $I := S \setminus \{s_{n-1}\}$.

We can now give a more conceptual proof of the main result of this section.

THEOREM 7.5. *Let Φ be a crystallographic root system. Then*

$$\sum_{w \in W(\Phi)} (-1)^{\ell_\Delta(w)} x^{L_\Delta(w)} = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=2}^n \left(1 + (-1)^{i-1} x^{\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor}\right), & \text{if } \Phi \text{ is of type } A_{n-1}, \\ \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x^i), & \text{if } \Phi \text{ is of type } B_n, \\ \frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + (-1)^{i-1} x^{\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor}) (1 + (-1)^i x^{\lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil}), & \text{if } \Phi \text{ is of type } C_n, \\ \prod_{i=2}^n (1 + (-1)^{i-1} x^{\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor})^2, & \text{if } \Phi \text{ is of type } D_n. \end{cases}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{w \in W(\Phi)} (-1)^{\ell_{\Delta}(w)} x^{L_{\Delta}(w)} &= (1 - x^2)^2 (1 - x^4) (1 - x^6), && \text{if } \Phi \text{ is of type } F_4, \\ \sum_{w \in W(\Phi)} (-1)^{\ell_{\Delta}(w)} x^{L_{\Delta}(w)} &= (1 - x^2)^2, && \text{if } \Phi \text{ is of type } G_2, \\ \sum_{w \in W(\Phi)} (-1)^{\ell_{\Delta}(w)} x^{L_{\Delta}(w)} &= \prod_{i=1}^4 (1 - x^{2i}), && \text{if } \Phi \text{ is of type } E_6, \\ \sum_{w \in W(\Phi)} (-1)^{\ell_{\Delta}(w)} x^{L_{\Delta}(w)} &= \prod_{i=2}^8 (1 - x^i), && \text{if } \Phi \text{ is of type } E_7. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We proceed by induction using, in all four infinite cases, the good behaviour of the Coxeter length and of the odd length with respect to a special parabolic decomposition of the respective Weyl groups.

Let S be the generating set of W as in § 2, and $I \subseteq S$. Then

$$(7.2) \quad \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell_{\Delta}(w)} x^{L_{\Delta}(w)} = \sum_{u \in {}^I W} \sum_{w \in W_I u} (-1)^{\ell_{\Delta}(w)} x^{L_{\Delta}(w)}.$$

Let Φ be of type A_{n-1} and $I := S \setminus \{s_{n-1}\}$. It is not hard to see that the elements of ${}^I W$ are:

$$(7.3) \quad \{e, s_{n-1}, s_{n-1}s_{n-2}, \dots, s_{n-1} \cdots s_2, s_{n-1} \cdots s_2, s_{n-1} \cdots s_2 s_1\}.$$

Fix $2 \leq i \leq n - 2$ and let $w \in W_I s_{n-1} \cdots s_{i+1} s_i$. That is, $w = u s_{n-1} \cdots s_{i+1} s_i$ for a $u \in W_I$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{\Delta}(w s_{i-1}) &= \ell_{\Delta}(u s_{n-1} \cdots s_{i+1} s_i s_{i-1}) \\ &= \ell_{\Delta}(u) + \ell_{\Delta}(s_{n-1} \cdots s_i s_{i-1}) \\ &= \ell_{\Delta}(u) + n - i + 1 > \ell_{\Delta}(w), \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{\Delta}(w s_i) &= \ell_{\Delta}(u s_{n-1} \cdots s_{i+1}) \\ &= \ell_{\Delta}(u) + \ell_{\Delta}(s_{n-1} \cdots s_{i+1}) \\ &= \ell_{\Delta}(u) + n - i - 1 < \ell_{\Delta}(w), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$|D_R(w) \cap \{s_i, s_{i-1}\}| = 1 \text{ for all } w \in W_I s_{n-1} \cdots s_{i+1} s_i.$$

Furthermore, the map $w \mapsto w s_{i-1} s_i s_{i-1}$ is a bijection of $W_I s_{n-1} \cdots s_{i+1} s_i$ onto itself. By Lemma 7.1 we have that $L_{\Delta}(w s_{i-1} s_i s_{i-1}) = L_{\Delta}(w)$ for all $w \in W_I s_{n-1} \cdots s_i$,

implying that the sum over the elements in $W_I s_{n-1} \cdots s_{i+1} s_i$ is zero. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{u \in {}^I W} \sum_{w \in W_I u} (-1)^{\ell_{\Delta}(w)} x^{L_{\Delta}(w)} \\
 &= \sum_{w \in W_I} (-1)^{\ell_{\Delta}(w)} x^{L_{\Delta}(w)} + \sum_{w \in W_I s_{n-1} \cdots s_1} (-1)^{\ell_{\Delta}(w)} x^{L_{\Delta}(w)} \\
 &= \sum_{w \in W_I} (-1)^{\ell_{\Delta}(w)} x^{L_{\Delta}(w)} + \sum_{w \in W_I w_0} (-1)^{\ell_{\Delta}(w)} x^{L_{\Delta}(w)} \\
 &= \sum_{w \in W_I} (-1)^{\ell_{\Delta}(w)} x^{L_{\Delta}(w)} + \sum_{w \in W_I} (-1)^{\ell_{\Delta}(w w_0)} x^{L_{\Delta}(w w_0)} \\
 &= \sum_{w \in W_I} (-1)^{\ell(w)} x^{L_{\Delta}(w)} + (-1)^{\ell_{\Delta}(w_0)} x^{L_{\Delta}(w_0)} \sum_{w \in W_I} (-1)^{-\ell_{\Delta}(w)} x^{-L_{\Delta}(w)} \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 + (-1)^{i-1} x^{\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor}) + (-1)^{n-1} x^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \prod_{i=2}^{n-1} (x^{\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor} + (-1)^{i-1}) (-1)^{i-1} \\
 &= \prod_{i=2}^n \left(1 + (-1)^{i-1} x^{\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used our induction hypothesis. This proves the first equality.

Let now Φ be of type B_n or C_n , and $I := S \setminus \{s_{n-1}\}$. It is not hard to see that the elements of ${}^I W$ are:

- (1) e ,
- (2) $s_{n-1} s_{n-2} \cdots s_i$, for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$,
- (3) $s_{n-1} s_{n-2} \cdots s_2 s_1$,
- (4) $s_{n-1} s_{n-2} \cdots s_2 s_1 s_0$,
- (5) $s_{n-1} s_{n-2} \cdots s_2 s_1 s_0 \cdots s_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq n-2$,
- (6) $s_{n-1} s_{n-2} \cdots s_2 s_1 s_0 s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-1}$.

Using the same argument as for type A , it is easy to see that the signed generating function of the odd length is zero on cosets with representatives of the form (2). Moreover, for $i \in [n-2]$, the map $w \mapsto w s_i s_{i+1} s_i$ is a bijection of each of the cosets of the form (5) onto themselves. Arguing as before one can see that the signed generating function is zero also on these cosets. Finally, the map $w \mapsto w s_0$ is clearly a bijection between $W_I s_{n-1} \cdots s_1$ and $W_I s_{n-1} \cdots s_1 s_0$. This, together with Lemma 7.3 and our induction hypothesis, yields, in type B_n ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{u \in {}^I W} \sum_{w \in W_I u} (-1)^{\ell_{\Delta}(w)} x^{L_{\Delta}(w)} &= \sum_{w \in W_I} (-1)^{\ell_{\Delta}(w)} x^{L_{\Delta}(w)} + \sum_{w \in W_I} (-1)^{\ell_{\Delta}(w w_0)} x^{L_{\Delta}(w w_0)} \\
 &= \sum_{w \in W_I} (-1)^{\ell_{\Delta}(w)} x^{L_{\Delta}(w)} \\
 &\quad + (-1)^{\ell_{\Delta}(w_0)} x^{L_{\Delta}(w_0)} \sum_{w \in W_I} (-1)^{-\ell_{\Delta}(w)} x^{-L_{\Delta}(w)} \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 - x^i) + (-1)^n x^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 - x^{-i}) \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x^i),
 \end{aligned}$$

proving the second equality.

When Φ is of type C_n , on the other hand, Lemmas 7.3 and 7.4 and the bijection $w \mapsto ws_0$ between $W_I s_{n-1} s_{n-2} \dots s_1$ and $W_I s_{n-1} s_{n-2} \dots s_1 s_0$ yield

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u \in {}^I W} \sum_{w \in W_I u} (-1)^{\ell_\Delta(w)} x^{L_\Delta(w)} &= \sum_{w \in W_I} (-1)^{\ell_\Delta(w)} x^{L_\Delta(w)} + \sum_{w \in W_I} (-1)^{\ell_\Delta(w w_0)} x^{L_\Delta(w w_0)} \\ &\quad + (-1)^{n-1} x^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{w \in W_I} (-1)^{\ell_\Delta(w)} x^{L_\Delta(w)} \\ &\quad + (-1)^n x^{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil} \sum_{w \in W_I} (-1)^{\ell_\Delta(w)} x^{L_\Delta(w)} \\ &= (1 + (-1)^{n-1} x^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}) (1 + (-1)^n x^{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}) \sum_{w \in W_I} (-1)^{\ell_\Delta(w)} x^{L_\Delta(w)}, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with our induction hypothesis, yields the third equality.

Let now Φ be of type D_n , and $I := S \setminus \{s_{n-1}\}$. The elements of ${}^I W$ are:

- (1) e ,
- (2) $s_{n-1} s_{n-2} \dots s_i$, for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$,
- (3) $s_{n-1} s_{n-2} \dots s_2 s_1$,
- (4) $s_{n-1} s_{n-2} \dots s_2 s_0$,
- (5) $s_{n-1} s_{n-2} \dots s_2 s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots s_i$, for $2 \leq i \leq n-2$,
- (6) $s_{n-1} s_{n-2} \dots s_2 s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots s_{n-1}$.

By the same arguments as before, the signed distribution over cosets of type (2) and (5) is zero. Therefore the sum in (7.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u \in {}^I W} \sum_{w \in W_I u} (-1)^{\ell_\Delta(w)} x^{L_\Delta(w)} &= \sum_{w \in W_I} (-1)^{\ell_\Delta(w)} x^{L_\Delta(w)} + \sum_{w \in W_I s_{n-1} \dots s_2 s_0 s_1 \dots s_{n-1}} (-1)^{\ell_\Delta(w)} x^{L_\Delta(w)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{w \in W_I s_{n-1} \dots s_2 s_0} (-1)^{\ell_\Delta(w)} x^{L_\Delta(w)} + \sum_{w \in W_I s_{n-1} \dots s_2 s_1} (-1)^{\ell_\Delta(w)} x^{L_\Delta(w)} \\ &= \sum_{w \in W_I} (-1)^{\ell_\Delta(w)} x^{L_\Delta(w)} + \sum_{w \in W_I w_0} (-1)^{\ell_\Delta(w)} x^{L_\Delta(w)} \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{u \in W_I} (-1)^{\ell_\Delta(u s_{n-1} \dots s_1)} x^{L_\Delta(u s_{n-1} \dots s_1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where, for the last equality, we have used the bijection defined in Lemma 7.2 with $s = s_0, t = s_1$. But Lemma 7.4 and our induction hypothesis allow us to rewrite this last equation as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell_\Delta(w)} x^{L_\Delta(w)} &= (1 + (-1)^{n-1} x^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor})^2 \sum_{w \in W_I} (-1)^{\ell_\Delta(w)} x^{L_\Delta(w)} \\ &= \prod_{i=2}^n (1 + (-1)^{i-1} x^{\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor})^2 \end{aligned}$$

which yields the fourth equality. □

We have been unable to carry out the computation in type E_8 with the computing resources at our disposal. When this paper was in the final stages of preparation John Stembridge showed a product formula also for type E_8 .

THEOREM 7.6. [15, Theorem 6.1]

$$\sum_{w \in E_8} (-1)^{\ell_{\Delta}(w)} x^{L_{\Delta}(w)} = (1 - x^8) \prod_{i=1}^8 (1 - x^{2^i})$$

He also gave a unified description of these factorizations and a conceptual proof of all of them [15].

REMARK 7.7. We record here the functions used to compute the generating functions with PyCox.

```
def f(n):
    W = coxeter("W", n)
    y = var('y')
    A = allcoxelms(W)
    Or = [i for i in range(W.N) if mod(sum((W.roots[i])), 2) == 1]
    B = [W.coxelmtoperm(A[i][j]) for i in range(len(A))
        for j in range(len(A[i]))]
    return sum((-1)^(W.permlength(v)) * x^(oddr(v, W.N, Or)) for v in B)
def oddr(v, n, Or):
    return sum(1 for j in Or if v[j] > n-1)
```

REMARK 7.8. In light of Remark 5.11 and of the observations in the introduction, it would be interesting to have enumerative applications of geometric flavour for the formulas we proved.

8. ODD REFLECTIONS

In this section we introduce, for any finite Coxeter system (W, S) , a statistic defined in terms of *odd reflections*. We also prove product formulas for its sign-twisted generating function over reducible finite Coxeter systems, for the dihedral groups, and for all Weyl groups. We start with its definition.

DEFINITION 8.1. Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and let $w \in W$. We let

$$L_T(w) := |\{t \in T : \ell(wt) < \ell(w) \text{ and } \ell(t) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}\}|.$$

We first show that it is enough to compute the signed generating function of L_T over irreducible finite Coxeter systems.

PROPOSITION 8.2. Let (W, S) be a finite Coxeter system, and $A, B \subseteq S$ be such that $S = A \cup B$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$, and $m(a, b) = 2$ for all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Then $L_T(uv) = L_T(u) + L_T(v)$ for all such $u \in W_A$ and $v \in W_B$. In particular

$$\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} x^{L_T(w)} = \sum_{u \in W_A} (-1)^{\ell(u)} x^{L_T(u)} \sum_{v \in W_B} (-1)^{\ell(v)} x^{L_T(v)}.$$

Proof. Under these hypotheses it is well known that the map $(u, v) \mapsto uv$ is a bijection between $W_A \times W_B$ and W , and that $T = T_A \cup T_B$ where T_A and T_B are the reflections of the parabolic subgroups W_A and W_B , respectively. Furthermore $\{t \in T : \ell(uvt) < \ell(uv)\} = \{t \in T_A : \ell(ut) < \ell(u)\} \cup \{t \in T_B : \ell(vt) < \ell(v)\}$ for all $u \in W_A$ and $v \in W_B$. So $\ell(uv) = \ell(u) + \ell(v)$ and $L_T(uv) = L_T(u) + L_T(v)$ for all such u and v and the result follows. \square

The following result shows that the polynomial $\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} x^{L_T(w)}$ is always either symmetric or antisymmetric.

PROPOSITION 8.3. *Let (W, S) be a finite Coxeter system, and w_0 be its longest element. Then $L_T(w w_0) = L_T(w_0 w) = L_T(w_0) - L_T(w)$ for all $w \in W$. In particular*

$$\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} x^{L_T(w)} = (-1)^{\ell(w_0)} x^{L_T(w_0)} \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{L_T(w)}.$$

Proof. It is well known (see, e.g. [1, Proposition 2.3.4]) that multiplication on the right (or left) by w_0 is an antiautomorphism for Bruhat order. Hence, $\ell(w w_0 t) < \ell(w w_0)$ if and only if $\ell(w t) > \ell(w)$ for all $t \in T$, so $L_T(w w_0) = L_T(w_0) - L_T(w)$. The result follows. □

While L_T is not an extension of L_Δ to all Coxeter groups, the two functions do coincide on simply laced Weyl groups.

PROPOSITION 8.4. *Let Φ be an irreducible root system of simply laced type, Δ be a simple system for Φ , and (W, S) be the corresponding Weyl group and generating set. Then*

$$\ell_S(s_\alpha) = 2 \text{ht}_\Delta(\alpha) - 1$$

for all $\alpha \in \Phi^+$. In particular, $L_T(w) = L_\Delta(w)$ for all $w \in W$.

Proof. It is easy to verify the result for the root systems of types A and D . Indeed, in both types a simple computation using Proposition 2.3 shows that $\ell_S((i, j)) = 2(j-i) - 1 = 2 \text{ht}_\Delta(e_j - e_i) - 1$ and $\ell_S((i, j)(-i, -j)) = 2(j-i) - 1 = 2 \text{ht}_\Delta(e_j - e_i) - 1$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. Furthermore, $\ell_S((i, -j)(j, -i)) = 2(i+j-2) - 1 = 2 \text{ht}_\Delta(e_i + e_j) - 1$ if Φ is of type D , for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. One can verify by computer that the result also holds for the exceptional types. □

As we mentioned in § 5, one of the statistics defined in (5.4) has a type-independent description. We prove here that it coincides with L_T .

PROPOSITION 8.5. *Let $\sigma \in S_n^B$. Then*

$$L_T(\sigma) = \text{oneg}(\sigma) + \text{oinv}(\sigma) + \text{ensp}(\sigma).$$

Proof. It is well known (see, e.g. [1, §8.1]) that the reflections of S_n^B are given by

$$(8.1) \quad T = \{(i, j)(-i, -j) : 1 \leq i < |j| \leq n\} \cup \{(i, -i) : i \in [n]\}.$$

Furthermore, an easy computation using the description given in Proposition 2.3 shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_B((i, -i)) &= 2i - 1 \\ \ell_B((i, j)(-i, -j)) &= 2(j - i) - 1, \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j \leq n \\ \ell_B((i, -j)(-i, j)) &= 2(i + j) - 3, \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j \leq n, \end{aligned}$$

so the result follows. □

The next result shows that the signed generating function of L_T always factors as product of binomials for finite Weyl groups and for the dihedral groups.

THEOREM 8.6. *Let (W, S) be an irreducible finite Coxeter system. Then*

$$\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} x^{L_T(w)} = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=2}^n \left(1 + (-1)^{i-1} x^{\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor}\right), & \text{if } W \text{ is of type } A_{n-1}, \\ (1 - x^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}) \prod_{i=i}^{n-1} (1 - x^i), & \text{if } W \text{ is of type } B_n, \\ \prod_{i=2}^n (1 + (-1)^{i-1} x^{\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor})^2, & \text{if } W \text{ is of type } D_n. \end{cases}$$

Moreover,

$$\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} x^{L_T(w)} = \begin{cases} (1-x^2)^2(1-x^4)^2, & \text{if } W \text{ is of type } F_4, \\ \prod_{i=1}^4 (1-x^{2i}), & \text{if } W \text{ is of type } E_6, \\ \prod_{i=2}^8 (1-x^i), & \text{if } W \text{ is of type } E_7, \\ (1-x^8) \prod_{i=1}^8 (1-x^{2i}), & \text{if } W \text{ is of type } E_8, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} x^{L_T(w)} = \begin{cases} 1 - x^{\lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil}, & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ (1 - x^{\lceil \frac{m}{4} \rceil})^2, & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \end{cases}$$

if W is of type $I_2(m)$.

Proof. In the simply laced types the result follows immediately from Theorem 7.5, Theorem 7.6 and Proposition 8.4. The result for type B follows from Proposition 8.5 and Corollary 5.12.

Let now (W, S) be a Coxeter system of type $I_2(m)$. Let $\{a, b\} := S$ and let, for brevity, $v_k(b, a) := \underbrace{baba \dots}_k$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $m = 2n$. Then

$$\ell(v_{2r+1}(b, a)) = \begin{cases} 2r + 1, & \text{if } 2r + 1 \leq 2n, \\ 2n - (2r + 1 - 2n), & \text{if } 2n \leq 2r \leq 4n - 1, \end{cases}$$

so

$$\ell(v_{2r+1}(b, a)) \equiv \begin{cases} 2r + 1 \pmod{4}, & \text{if } 2r + 1 \leq 2n, \\ -(2r + 1) \pmod{4}, & \text{if } 2n + 1 \leq 2r + 1 \leq 4n, \end{cases}$$

for all $0 \leq r \leq 2n - 1$. Hence $L_T(v_{2k+1}(a, b)) = k + 1$, if $2k + 1 \leq n$, while $L_T(v_{2k+1}(a, b)) = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + \lceil \frac{2k+1-n}{2} \rceil = k + 1$ if $2n - 1 \geq 2k \geq n$ and $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, and $L_T(v_{2k+1}(a, b)) = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + \lfloor \frac{2k+1-n}{2} \rfloor = k$ if $2n - 1 \geq 2k \geq n$ and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. So

$$L_T(v_{2k+1}(a, b)) = \begin{cases} k + 1, & \text{if } 2k + 1 \leq n, \\ k + c, & \text{if } n \leq 2k \leq 2n - 1. \end{cases}$$

where $c := 1$ if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, and $c := 0$ otherwise. Similarly,

$$L_T(v_{2k}(a, b)) = \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } 2k \leq n, \\ k + c, & \text{if } n < 2k \leq 2n. \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} x^{L_T(w)} \\
&= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} \left((-1)^{\ell(v_k(a,b))} x^{L_T(v_k(a,b))} + (-1)^{\ell(v_k(b,a))} x^{L_T(v_k(b,a))} \right) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{\ell(v_{2n}(a,b))} x^{L_T(v_{2n}(a,b))} \\
&= 1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} (-1)^k x^{L_T(v_k(a,b))} + x^{n+c} \\
&= 1 + 2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} x^{L_T(v_{2k}(a,b))} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x^{L_T(v_{2k+1}(a,b))} \right) + x^{n+c} \\
&= 1 + 2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} x^k + \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1}^{n-1} x^{k+c} - \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} x^{k+1} - \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 1}^{n-1} x^{k+c} \right) + x^{n+c} \\
&= 1 + 2(-c x^{\frac{n+1}{2}} + (c-1)x^{\frac{n}{2}}) + x^{n+c} \\
&= (1 - x^{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil})^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Let now $m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $m = 2n + 1$, $n \in \mathbb{P}$. Then, similarly

$$\ell(v_{2r+1}(b, a)) = \begin{cases} 2r + 1, & \text{if } 2r + 1 \leq 2n + 1, \\ 4n + 2 - (2r + 1), & \text{if } 2n + 2 \leq 2r + 1 \leq 4n + 2, \end{cases}$$

so $\ell(v_{2r+1}(b, a)) \equiv 2r + 1 \pmod{4}$ for all $0 \leq r \leq 2n$. Hence $L_T(v_{2k+1}(b, a)) = k + 1$ for $1 \leq 2k + 1 \leq 2n + 1$, and $L_T(v_{2k}(b, a)) = k$ for $0 \leq 2k \leq 2n$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} x^{L_T(w)} \\
&= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \left((-1)^{\ell(v_k(a,b))} x^{L_T(v_k(a,b))} + (-1)^{\ell(v_k(b,a))} x^{L_T(v_k(b,a))} \right) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{\ell(v_{2n+1}(a,b))} x^{L_T(v_{2n+1}(a,b))} \\
&= 1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{2n} (-1)^k x^{\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil} - x^{n+1} \\
&= 1 - x^{n+1}. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

For finite Coxeter systems in general, there seems to be no analogous factorization phenomenon. For example, one can compute that if (W, S) is a Coxeter system of type H_3 then $\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} x^{L_T(w)} = (1 + 4x + 6x^2 + 9x^3 + 6x^4 + 4x^5 + x^6)(1 - x)^3$.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank the referees for very detailed, insightful, and helpful comments. Some of the computations for this research were carried out using Maple packages for handling Coxeter systems and root systems developed by Pietro Mongelli and John Stembridge. Angela Carnevale was partly supported by the German-Israeli Foundation for Scientific Research and Development (GIF) through grant no. 1246. Francesco Brenti acknowledges support from the MIUR Excellence Department Project CUP E83C18000100006.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Björner and F. Brenti, *Combinatorics of Coxeter groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 231, Springer, New York, 2005.
- [2] F. Brenti, *q-Eulerian polynomials arising from Coxeter groups*, European J. Combin. **15** (1994), no. 5, 417–441.
- [3] F. Brenti and A. Carnevale, *Odd length for even hyperoctahedral groups and signed generating functions*, Discrete Math. **340** (2017), no. 12, 2822–2833.
- [4] ———, *Proof of a conjecture of Klopsch–Voll on Weyl groups of type A*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **369** (2017), no. 10, 7531–7547.
- [5] A. Carnevale, S. Shechter, and C. Voll, *Enumerating traceless matrices over compact discrete valuation rings*, Israel J. Math. **227** (2018), no. 2, 957–986.
- [6] M. Geck, *PyCox: computing with (finite) Coxeter groups and Iwahori–Hecke algebras*, LMS J. Comput. Math. **15** (2012), 231–256.
- [7] J. E. Humphreys, *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 29, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [8] B. Klopsch and C. Voll, *Igusa-type functions associated to finite formed spaces and their functional equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **361** (2009), no. 8, 4405–4436.
- [9] A. Landesman, *Proof of Stasinski and Voll’s hyperoctahedral group conjecture*, Australas. J. Combin. **71** (2018), 196–240.
- [10] V. Reiner, *Signed permutation statistics*, European J. Combin. **14** (1993), no. 6, 553–567.
- [11] ———, *Descents and one-dimensional characters for classical Weyl groups*, Discrete Math. **140** (1995), no. 1-3, 129–140.
- [12] Sage Developers, *Sagemath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 8.6)*, 2019, <https://www.sagemath.org>.
- [13] A. Stasinski and C. Voll, *A new statistic on the hyperoctahedral groups*, Electron. J. Combin. **20** (2013), no. 3, 50 (23 pages).
- [14] ———, *Representation zeta functions of nilpotent groups and generating functions for Weyl groups of type B*, Amer. J. Math. **136** (2014), no. 2, 501–550.
- [15] J. Stembridge, *Signed-twisted Poincaré series and odd inversions in Weyl groups*, Algebraic Comb. **2** (2019), no. 4, 621–644.

FRANCESCO BRENTI, Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Roma “Tor Vergata”, Via della Ricerca Scientifica, 1, 00133 Roma, Italy
E-mail : brenti@mat.uniroma2.it

ANGELA CARNEVALE, Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Bielefeld, Postfach 100131, D-33501 Bielefeld, Germany
Current address: School of Mathematics, applied mathematics and statistics, National University Galway, Galway, Ireland
E-mail : angela.carnevale@nuigalway.ie