

Marston D. E. Conder & Gabriel Verret

Edge-transitive graphs of small order and the answer to a 1967 question by Folkman Volume 2, issue 6 (2019), p. 1275-1284.

http://alco.centre-mersenne.org/item/ALCO_2019__2_6_1275_0

© The journal and the authors, 2019. *Some rights reserved.*

This article is licensed under the CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION 4.0 INTERNATIONAL LICENSE. http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Access to articles published by the journal *Algebraic Combinatorics* on the website http://alco.centre-mersenne.org/implies agreement with the Terms of Use (http://alco.centre-mersenne.org/legal/).



Algebraic Combinatorics is member of the Centre Mersenne for Open Scientific Publishing www.centre-mersenne.org



Edge-transitive graphs of small order and the answer to a 1967 question by Folkman

Marston D. E. Conder & Gabriel Verret

ABSTRACT In this paper, we introduce a method for finding all edge-transitive graphs of small order, using faithful representations of transitive permutation groups of small degree, and we explain how we used this method to find all edge-transitive graphs of order up to 47, and all bipartite edge-transitive graphs of order up to 63. We also give an answer to a 1967 question of Folkman about semi-symmetric graphs of large valency; in fact we show that for semi-symmetric graphs of order 2n and valency d, the ratio d/n can be arbitrarily close to 1.

1. Introduction

Graphs with a large automorphism group hold a significant place in mathematics, dating back to the time of first recognition of the Platonic solids, and also now in other disciplines where symmetry (and even other properties such as rigidity) play an important role, such as structural chemistry, and interconnection networks.

A major class of such graphs are the vertex-transitive graphs, whose automorphism group has a single orbit on vertices. Vertex-transitive graphs include many famous examples such as the Petersen graph and the Coxeter graph, and the underlying graph of the C_{60} molecule, as well as infinite families including circulants, complete graphs, complete bipartite graphs $K_{n,n}$, generalised Petersen graphs, regular trees, and so on. Important sub-classes are those of $Cayley\ graphs$ (graphs for which some group of automorphisms acts sharply-transitively on vertices), and arc-transitive graphs, which include the graphs underlying regular maps on surfaces, and the somewhat less well known class of half-arc-transitive graphs, which are vertex- and edge-transitive but not arc-transitive.

There is a close relationship between vertex-transitive graphs of given order n and transitive permutation groups of degree n. For if X is a vertex-transitive graph of order n with automorphism group A, and Δ is the neighbourhood of some vertex v, then Δ is preserved by A_v and so Δ is a union of orbits of A_v . Conversely, let G be any transitive group on a set Ω of size n. Then for each union Δ of orbits of the stabiliser G_v of a point $v \in \Omega$, one may define a graph on the set Ω with edges taken as the

ISSN: 2589-5486

Manuscript received 20th August 2018, accepted 27th April 2019, revised after acceptance 29th May 2019.

KEYWORDS. arc-transitive graph, edge-transitive graph, semi-symmetric graph, twin-free graph.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The authors acknowledge the extensive use of the MAGMA system [2] in testing and carrying out the computations described in this paper, and also the MATRIX research institute in Australia, where some of the work in the final section of this paper was carried out. Also the first author is grateful to the N.Z. Marsden Fund for its support (via grant UOA1626).

pairs of the form $\{v^g, w^g\}$ where $w \in \Delta$ and $g \in G$, and then G is a subgroup of the automorphism group of X, acting transitively on vertices. Hence all vertex-transitive graphs of order n can be found by constructing these graphs for all possible choices of the pair (G, Δ) , and checking for isomorphisms between them.

Using this method and the library of all transitive groups of degree at most 32, Gordon Royle found all vertex-transitive graphs of order at most 32 (see [17, 18]). Now that all transitive groups of degree 33 to 47 are known (see [11]), all vertex-transitive graphs of order up to 47 have been found using the same method. Currently this is a hard limit, in that the transitive groups of degree 48 have not yet been determined.

If the valency is small, this process can be taken much further. All 3-valent arctransitive graphs of order up to 10000 were found by the first author [3], by exploiting the Djoković-Miller amalgams associated with such graphs [7], thereby considerably extending the "Foster census". More recently, Primož Potočnik, Pablo Spiga and the second author determined all 3-valent vertex-transitive graphs of order up to 1280 and all 4-valent arc-transitive graphs of order up to 640 in [15], and all 4-valent half-arc-transitive graphs of order up to 1000 [16].

In contrast, relatively little is known about graphs that are edge-transitive but not vertex-transitive. There is not even a good name for these graphs, and yet they include many infinite families of well-known examples including complete bipartite graphs $K_{m,n}$ with $m \neq n$ and, more generally, the incidence graphs of flag-transitive discrete structures (such as certain block designs and finite geometries). If the graph is edge-transitive and also regular, but not vertex-transitive, then it is called *semi-symmetric*, and a little more is known about these graphs, although mainly in the 3-valent case (see [10, 4] for example).

In 2017, Brendan McKay asked us about finding all edge-transitive graphs of up to a certain order. In response, we developed a new method for solving this problem, which we describe in Section 3. Since a method already exists for vertex-transitive graphs, we focus on graphs that are edge-transitive but not vertex-transitive. Such graphs are necessarily bipartite. In fact, our new method finds all bipartite edge-transitive graphs up to a given order. A key part of it involves a reduction to the "twin-free" case (where no two vertices have exactly the same neighbours), together with a "blow-up" construction to obtain all examples having twins as well.

Using this approach, we were able to determine all bipartite edge-transitive graphs of order up to 63. Combining this with a list of vertex-transitive graphs yields a complete list of all edge-transitive graphs of order up to 47. We describe the implementation of our methods and the results of computations in Sections 4 and 5. The graphs themselves are listed on webpages we have created, indicated in references [6] and [5], and their edge-sets are given on websites subsidiary to those two. (In fact, we found and give only connected graphs, but it is very easy to find the disconnected ones from these.)

While we began to write up and announce these results, Heather Newman, Hector Miranda and Darren Narayan determined all edge-transitive graphs on up to 20 vertices [14]. We also discovered that some of our graphs answer one of the questions posed by Folkman in 1967 about semi-symmetric graphs of large valency [9]. Inspired by these, in Section 6 we construct two infinite families of edge-transitive graphs which show that for semi-symmetric graphs of order 2n and valency d, the ratio d/n can be arbitrarily close to 1.

2. Further background

In this paper, all graphs are assumed to be simple (with no loops or multiple edges) and undirected, and unless otherwise specified, also connected. The vertex-set and edge-set

of a graph X will be denoted by V(X) and E(X), respectively, and the neighbourhood of a vertex v of X by X(v). Also we use Aut(X) to denote the *automorphism group* of X, namely the group of all permutations of the vertex-set that preserve adjacency.

Next, we explain the "blow-up" construction, and define "twin-free" graphs (and graphs with twins).

Let Y be a bipartite graph, with parts U and W, say, and let (r,s) be a pair of positive integers. The (r,s)-blow-up of Y is obtained from Y by replacing every vertex u in U by r new vertices u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_r and every vertex w in W by s new vertices w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_s , and every edge $\{u, w\}$ with $u \in U$ and $w \in W$ by rs edges of the form $\{u_i, w_j\}$ for $1 \le i \le r$ and $1 \le j \le s$ (or in other words, by the edges of a complete bipartite subgraph isomorphic to $K_{r,s}$ between $\{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_r\}$ and $\{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_s\}$). For example, the graph $K_{r,s}$ is an (r,s)-blow-up of the 2-vertex graph $K_{1,1}$, and is also an (r,1)-blow up of $K_{1,s}$, and a (1,s)-blow-up of $K_{r,1}$.

Given a graph X, we can define an equivalence relation \sim on its vertex-set by letting $v \sim w$ if and only if v and w are "twins", having the same neighbourhood in X. Then following Kotlov and Lovász [13], we say that X is twin-free if \sim is the identity relation (or in other words, if distinct vertices have distinct neighbourhoods). Another term that has been used for this is "worthy", as coined by Steve Wilson [19], making graphs with twins "unworthy". Notable examples of edge-transitive graphs with twins include the complete bipartite graphs $K_{r,s}$ with r or s at least 2. Now let X/\sim be the quotient graph obtained by collapsing each equivalence class to a single vertex. It is an easy exercise to show that X/\sim is twin-free, that X/\sim is bipartite if and only if X is bipartite, and that X/\sim is edge-transitive if and only if X is edge-transitive. Finally, it is easy to see that X is a blow-up of X/\sim .

Combining all of this, we have the following.

Lemma 2.1. Amongst edge-transitive bipartite connected graphs, every graph is the blow-up of a twin-free one.

We will also need the following easy lemmas.

LEMMA 2.2. Let X be a bipartite graph, and let G be the part-preserving subgroup of Aut(X). If X is twin-free, then G acts faithfully on each part of X.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that G does not act faithfully on one of the parts, say U. Then some non-trivial element g of G fixes every vertex of U, but moves some vertex in the other part, say w. But then since X is bipartite and g fixes U pointwise, it follows that w and w^g have the same neighbourhood, contradicting the hypothesis that X is twin-free.

Lemma 2.3. A graph that is edge-transitive but not vertex-transitive is bipartite.

Proof. Let X be such a graph, and let $A = \operatorname{Aut}(X)$. If $\{v, z\}$ is any edge of X, then since X is edge-transitive, every vertex lies in the A-orbit of one of v and z, but not both (for otherwise X would be vertex-transitive). It follows that X is bipartite, with its parts being those two A-orbits.

LEMMA 2.4. Let X be an edge-transitive bipartite connected graph, and let G be the part-preserving subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$. Then G is transitive on each part of X, and either

- (a) G_v is transitive on X(v) for all $v \in V(X)$, and on E(X), and $G = \langle G_v, G_z \rangle$ for all $\{v, z\} \in E(X)$, or
- (b) X is half-arc-transitive, G_v has two orbits of equal size on X(v), for all $v \in V(X)$, and G has two orbits on E(X), with representatives $\{v, z\}$ and $\{v, z'\}$ where z and z' are representatives for the two orbits of G_v on X_v .

Proof. Let $A = \operatorname{Aut}(X)$, and let $H = \langle G_v, G_z \rangle$ where $\{v, z\}$ is an edge of X.

Suppose first that X is not vertex-transitive. Then since X is edge-transitive, every vertex lies in the A-orbit of just one of v and z, and it follows that G = A and hence that G is transitive on each part of X. Moreover, if $z_1, z_2 \in X(v)$, then by edge-transitivity of G = A, there exists $g \in G$ taking $\{v, z_1\}$ to $\{v, z_2\}$, but G preserves the bipartition of X, and so g fixes v and takes z_1 to z_2 . Hence G_v is transitive on X(v). Next, because H contains G_v , it follows that all edges incident to v are in the same orbit of H, and hence that G_{z_1} is conjugate to G_z by an element of H, so $G_{z_1} \leq H$. Repeating this argument inductively using connectedness shows that H is transitive on E(X), and then since H contains the edge-stabiliser $G_{\{v,z\}} = G_v \cap G_z$, we find that H = G. Thus (a) holds.

On the other hand, suppose that X is vertex-transitive. Then G is a subgroup of index 2 in A, and is transitive on each part of X. If also X is arc-transitive, then G is edge-transitive, and the arguments in the previous paragraph may be repeated to prove that (a) holds here as well. Finally, if X is not arc-transitive, then it is half-arc-transitive, and then A_v has two orbits of the same size on X(v), with the two arcs associated with every edge incident with v lying in different orbits. But also $A_v = G_v$, and hence (b) holds.

3. Our approach

We now describe our approach to finding all small connected edge-transitive graphs of small order. We break this up into two cases, according to whether or not the graph is bipartite, and following that, we illustrate our approach for graphs of order 10.

Case (a): Non-bipartite edge-transitive graphs.

In this case, all such graphs are vertex-transitive, by Lemma 2.3. We use the standard method described in the introduction to find all small vertex-transitive graphs and then set aside those which are bipartite or not edge-transitive.

CASE (b): BIPARTITE EDGE-TRANSITIVE GRAPHS.

In this case, we consider only twin-free graphs, since every example with twins can be constructed as a blow-up of a twin-free example, by Lemma 2.1.

Now let X be a twin-free bipartite edge-transitive graph, with parts U and W, and let G be the subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ preserving the parts U and W. Note that G might not act transitively on E(X), but we know that U and W are the orbits of G on V(X) by Lemma 2.4, and that G acts faithfully on each of U and W by Lemma 2.2. It follows that we can think of G as a transitive permutation group on U, with an auxiliary transitive action on W. Also if $u \in U$, then by Lemma 2.4 we know that either (a) G_u is transitive on X(u), and G is transitive on E(X), or (b) G_u has two orbits of the same size on X(u), and G has two orbits on E(X).

These observations lead us to the following algorithm, for finding all twin-free edge-transitive bipartite connected graphs with parts of sizes k and m.

Algorithm.

- (1) Find all transitive permutation groups of degree k.
- (2) For each such group G acting transitively on a set U of size k, find all faithful transitive permutation representations of G on a set W of size m.
- (3) For each such representation of G on W, choose a point $u \in U$, and then
 - (a) for each orbit \mathcal{O} of G_u on W, choose a point $w \in \mathcal{O}$ and then construct the graph X with vertex-set $U \cup W$ and with edge-set the orbit of $\{u, w\}$ under G (in its natural induced action on $U \cup W$),

- (b) for each pair of equal-sized orbits \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{O}' of G_u on W, choose points $w \in \mathcal{O}$ and $w' \in \mathcal{O}'$ and then construct the graph Y with vertex-set $U \cup W$ and with edge-set the union of the orbits of $\{u, w\}$ and $\{u, w'\}$ under G.
- (4) Check each graph obtained for connectedness, worthiness and isomorphism with previously found graphs, and also the graphs found in 3(b) for edge-transitivity.

Finally, to find all connected edge-transitive bipartite graphs with parts of sizes r and s, we construct the (r/r', s/s')-blow-ups of all twin-free edge-transitive bipartite connected graphs with parts of sizes r' and s', for each divisor r' of r and each divisor s' of s.

Worked example: Edge-transitive connected graphs of order 10. To illustrate our method, we explain how it can be applied to find all edge-transitive graphs of order 10.

In case (a), there are 45 transitive groups of order 10, and by the standard method, these give rise to 22 different vertex-transitive graphs of order 10, given in Royle's census [17], with 18 being connected. Of these, just eight are edge-transitive, and five are non-bipartite, namely the Petersen graph and its complement, the circulant graph $C(10, \{2,3\})$, the complete graph K_{10} , and the graph $K_{10} - 5K_2$ obtained from it by removing the edges of a perfect matching.

In case (b), by swapping the parts U and W of the graph if necessary, we may assume that $k = |U| \leq |W| = m$, and hence the possibilities for the pair (k, m) are (1, 9), (2, 8), (3, 7), (4, 6) and (5, 5). We will also assume that we know the twinfree edge-transitive bipartite connected graphs of order less than 10, listed on our webpage [5]. The graphs of order 10 with twins that are constructible as blow-ups of these are $K_{1,9}, K_{2,8}, K_{3,7}, K_{4,6}$ and $K_{5,5}$. From this point on we will seek only twin-free edge-transitive bipartite examples, using the algorithm given above. When (k, m) = (1, 9), (2, 8) or (3, 7), there is no such graph, because no transitive group of degree at most 3 has a transitive representation of degree greater than 6. Hence we need only consider the cases where (k, m) = (4, 6) or (5, 5).

In seeking twin-free graphs when (k, m) = (4, 6), we take G as a transitive permutation group of degree 4 with a transitive permutation representation of degree 6. Clearly the only possibilities are A_4 and S_4 , and we must take their natural actions on U, and their actions on W as the standard permutation representations on cosets of a subgroup of order 2 (for A_4) or of order 4 (for S_4).

When $G = A_4$, the representation of G on W is unique (up to equivalence), and the stabiliser of a point in U has two orbits of length 3 on W. Taking either one of these orbits give a twin-free (but not vertex-transitive) connected graph X with 12 edges, such that the vertices in U and W have valencies 3 and 2, respectively. The two graphs obtained in this way are isomorphic, and are given by ET10.2 and ETB10.2 on our webpages [6] and [5]. Taking both orbits gives the graph $K_{4,6}$, which has twins and so can be ignored.

On the other hand, when $G = S_4$ there are three possibilities for the representation of G on W, because S_4 has three conjugacy classes of subgroups of order 4. The representation on cosets of the subgroup V_4 is unfaithful (and gives the graph $K_{4,6}$ which has twins), so can be ignored. Similarly, for the representation on cosets of a cyclic subgroup of order 4 can be discarded, because the stabiliser of a point in U has a single orbit of length 6 on W, and again gives $K_{4,6}$. For the representation on cosets of the subgroup generated by (1,2) and (1,2)(3,4), again there are two orbits of length 3 on W, and for both of them, the same graph arises as the one for $G = A_4$.

Hence there is just one twin-free edge-transitive bipartite connected graph with parts of sizes 4 and 6.

Next, in the case where (k, m) = (5, 5), the permutation group G can be C_5 , D_5 , $C_5 \rtimes_2 C_4$ (as a Frobenius group), A_5 or S_5 . The first possibility $G = C_5$ gives only the disconnected graph $5K_2$. For the second, where $G = D_5$, up to equivalence there is just one transitive action of degree m = 5, and for that, the stabiliser of a point in U has three orbits on W, of lengths 1, 2 and 2. The first orbit gives $5K_2$ again, while each of the second and third gives the cycle graph C_{10} , which is connected, twin-free and vertex-transitive (indeed arc-transitive), and the union of those two orbits gives the graph $K_{5,5} - 5K_2$ (obtainable from $K_{5,5}$ by removing the edges of a perfect matching), which is also connected, twin-free and arc-transitive. When G is the Frobenius group $C_5 \rtimes_2 C_4$, again there is just one transitive action of degree m = 5, and for this representation, the stabiliser of a point in U has two orbits on W, of lengths 1 and 4, and the first gives $5K_2$ again, while the second gives $K_{5,5} - 5K_2$ again. The same thing happens also for $G = A_5$ and S_5 . Hence there are just two twin-free edge-transitive bipartite connected graphs with both parts of sizes 5.

In summary, there are 13 edge-transitive graphs of order 10, with five being vertextransitive and non-bipartite, five being bipartite with twins, and three being bipartite and twin-free. Also none of these graphs is half-arc-transitive.

4. Implementation

We implemented our approach from the previous section using the MAGMA system [2]. This allowed us to determine all connected non-bipartite edge-transitive graphs of order up to 47. The other matters about this implementation concern only the bipartite case, and we give relevant details for each step of the algorithm below:

- (1) We used the library of transitive groups of degree at most 47 in Magma, as described by Holt and Royle in [11].
- (2) To find all faithful transitive permutation representations of the group G on the part of size m, we find all core-free subgroups of index m in G. In some cases, this is very computationally intensive, and the following observation can be very helpful: Suppose that G has a normal Hall subgroup N (that is, with order |N| coprime to its index |G/N|). If H is a subgroup of index m in G, then the image of H in G/N is a subgroup of index $d = \gcd(m, |G:N|)$, as is its pre-image J in G, and H is then a subgroup of index m/d in J. This means we can find all possibilities for H by first looking for index d subgroups in G, and then for index m/d subgroups of those.
- (3) In step 3(a) we must have $\langle G_u, G_w \rangle = G$, by part (a) of Lemma 2.4. This condition can be checked early and quickly, before constructing the graph X.
- (4) Note that a graph that results from step 3 might not be connected or twinfree, so these properties have to be checked at the end. On the other hand, it will certainly be bipartite and, if constructed in 3(a), edge-transitive.

With this approach, the computations to find all connected twin-free bipartite edge-transitive graphs of order up to 23 took only minutes, and less than three hours for those of order up to 47.

For larger graphs, the computations were much longer, owing to the number of groups to consider: there are 25000, 2801324, 121279 and 315842 transitive permutation groups of degrees 24, 32, 36 and 40, respectively. In many cases, we can simply swap the role of k and m, without loss of generality. Together with the tricks above, this allowed us to deal with all cases with $k + m \leq 63$, the bottleneck to further

progress being the case (k, m) = (32, 32). This case seems out of reach of our methods at the moment.

In the end, we found all connected bipartite edge-transitive graphs of order at most 63; see [5]. When combined with the non-bipartite ones, these gave us all connected edge-transitive graphs of order at most 47; see [6]. To go further than this with our approach, one would need to know the transitive permutation groups of degree 48.

5. Summary of our results

There are 1894 non-isomorphic edge-transitive connected graphs of order up to 47. Of these, 1429 are bipartite while 465 are non-bipartite, and 625 are twin-free while 1269 have twins, and 678 are vertex-transitive while 1216 are not, and of the 678 vertex-transitive graphs, 670 are arc-transitive while 8 are half-arc-transitive. Similarly, there are 3312 edge-transitive connected bipartite graphs of order up to 63, of which 795 are twin-free while 2517 have twins, and 438 are vertex-transitive while 2874 are not.

A more detailed breakdown is given in Table 1 below, with "Tot" indicating the total number of such graphs of order n, and then "Reg", "Bpte", "VT", "AT" and "TF" indicating the number of those that are regular, bipartite, vertex-transitive, arc-transitive and twin-free, respectively.

Other information can be obtained directly from the lists on our webpages [6] and [5], or from the first author on request.

Table 1. Summary data for connected edge-transitive graphs of order $n\leqslant 47$

n	Tot	Reg	Bpte	VT	AT	TF	n	Tot	Reg	Bpte	VT	AT	TF
1	1	1	0	1	1	1	25	34	11	23	11	11	12
2	1	1	1	1	1	1	26	31	13	26	13	13	10
3	2	1	1	1	1	1	27	51	21	30	21	20	21
4	3	2	2	2	2	1	28	64	27	47	26	26	25
5	4	2	2	2	2	2	29	18	4	14	4	4	4
6	6	4	4	4	4	2	30	93	41	66	41	41	30
7	5	2	3	2	2	2	31	19	4	15	4	4	4
8	8	5	6	5	5	3	32	83	45	65	42	42	32
9	9	4	5	4	4	3	33	44	8	36	8	8	8
10	13	8	8	8	8	6	34	34	10	29	10	10	7
11	7	2	5	2	2	2	35	67	15	52	15	15	19
12	19	11	12	11	11	6	36	154	75	107	69	67	67
13	10	4	6	4	4	4	37	24	6	18	6	6	6
14	16	8	13	8	8	6	38	36	10	32	10	10	6
15	25	10	15	10	10	11	39	60	14	46	14	12	14
16	26	15	18	15	15	11	40	175	79	132	71	68	71
17	12	4	8	4	4	4	41	26	6	20	6	6	6
18	28	14	21	14	14	8	42	147	58	114	56	56	55
19	12	3	9	3	3	3	43	25	4	21	4	4	4
20	43	24	29	22	22	15	44	88	17	80	16	16	17
21	37	13	24	13	13	15	45	161	42	119	42	42	59
22	24	8	21	8	8	7	46	46	7	43	7	7	7
23	13	2	11	2	2	2	47	25	2	23	2	2	2
24	65	36	47	34	34	23	All	1894	703	1429	678	670	625

6. Answers to Folkman's questions

In a seminal paper [9], Folkman investigated regular graphs that are edge-transitive but not vertex-transitive, and asked eight questions at the end about such graphs, which he called "admissible" (but are now known as semi-symmetric).

Two of these questions (4.1 and 4.8 in [9, Section 4]) were general ones about the orders and valencies of semi-symmetric graphs, and remain open (and might never be answered).

Another three of them (4.5 to 4.7) were about the existence of semi-symmetric graphs of order 2n and valency d where d is prime, or d is coprime to n, or d is a prime that does not divide n, and these have been answered by the construction of various semi-symmetric 3-valent graphs (including examples of orders 110 and 112). Question 4.2 asked if there is a semi-symmetric graph of order 30, and this was answered in 1987 by Ivanov, who proved in [12] that no such graph exists, and the results of our computations confirm this. Question 4.3 asked if there a semi-symmetric graph of order 2pq, where p and q are odd primes such that p < q, and p does not divide q - 1, and this was answered in 2000 by Du and Xu, who determined in [8] all semi-symmetric graphs of order 2pq where p and q are distinct primes; these included graphs of orders $70 (= 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5)$, $154 (= 2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11)$ and $3782 (= 2 \cdot 31 \cdot 61)$.

The remaining question (4.4) asked if there exists a semi-symmetric graph of order 2n and valency d where $d \ge n/2$. As far as we know, this question has remained unanswered since 1967, because until now, the valency of most known semi-symmetric graphs is relatively small. (In contrast, the valency of arc-transitive bipartite graphs can be relatively large; indeed the complete bipartite graph $K_{n,n}$ is arc-transitive and has valency n, for all n.)

Our computations answer Folkman's question positively. For example, the graphs ET20.15, ET24.20 and ET36.80 have the required property, with valencies 6 (= 3n/5), 6 (= n/2) and 12 (= 2n/3) respectively. In fact all of these graphs are blow-ups of smaller non-regular edge-transitive graphs, and they provide the idea behind the construction in the proof of the following theorem, which takes Folkman's question much further by showing that the ratio d/n can be arbitrarily close to 1.

THEOREM 6.1. For every integer $k \ge 3$, there exists a semi-symmetric graph of order $4k^2$ with valency 2k(k-1), giving the valency to part-size ratio d/n as (k-1)/k. Hence in particular, the valency to part-size ratio tends to 1 as $k \to \infty$.

Proof. For every integer $k \geqslant 3$, let A be the union of two disjoint sets A_1 and A_2 of size k, and let $B = A_1 \times A_2$, and make A and B the parts of a bipartite graph X in which the edges join each vertex $(a_1,a_2) \in B$ to every vertex $a \in A_1 \setminus \{a_1\}$ and to every vertex $a \in A_2 \setminus \{a_2\}$. Then X has $2k+k^2$ vertices and $2k^2(k-1)$ edges, with each vertex $a \in A$ having valency k(k-1) and each vertex $b \in B$ having valency 2(k-1). Also X admits a natural action of the wreath product $S_k \wr C_2$ as a group of automorphisms (and in fact $Aut(X) = S_k \wr C_2$, but we will not need this), so X is edge-transitive.

Now take the (k,2) blow-up of X. The result is an edge-transitive regular bipartite graph Y of order $2n = 4k^2$ and valency d = 2k(k-1). But Y is not vertex-transitive, since every vertex in the blow-up of B has the same neighbourhood as just one other vertex, while every vertex in the blow-up of A has the same neighbourhood as exactly k-1 other vertices. Hence the graph Y is semi-symmetric, as claimed. The rest follows easily.

The smallest example in this family is the graph ET36.80 (mentioned earlier). It can be observed, however, that the semi-symmetric graphs in Construction 1 have

twins, as indeed have all the other examples of order less than 63. We are grateful to Primož Potočnik, who asked us if Folkman's question can also be answered positively for semi-symmetric graphs that are twin-free, and now show that to be so. We are also grateful to Michael Giudici, who helped us identify the first small example that we found, which led to the construction used in the following.

THEOREM 6.2. For every odd prime-power q, there exists a twin-free semi-symmetric graph of order $2(q^3+q^2+q+1)$ with valency q^3+q^2 , giving the valency to part-size ratio d/n as $(q^3+q^2)/(q^3+q^2+q+1)$. In particular, this ratio tends to 1 as $q \to \infty$.

Proof. For every such q, let Q be the generalised quadrangle associated with a symplectic form on $V = \mathbb{F}_q^4$ (such as $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = x_1 y_3 + x_2 y_4 - x_3 y_1 - x_4 y_2$). Then Q has $q^3 + q^2 + q + 1$ points (which are the 1-dimensional subspaces of V) and $q^3 + q^2 + q + 1$ totally isotropic lines (which are the 2-dimensional subspaces U of V for which $U = U^{\perp}$), and point-line incidence is given by natural inclusion. In fact every point lies on q+1 totally isotropic lines, and every totally isotropic line contains q+1 points. This geometry is flag-transitive, but not self-dual (by a theorem of Benson [1]), and hence the Levi (incidence) graph of Q is regular with valency q+1, and is edge-transitive (indeed locally arc-transitive), but not vertex-transitive. Moreover, its automorphism group is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{PSp}(4,q))$, and acts primitively on both parts of the graph.

Now take the bipartite complement of this Levi graph, in which each point is joined to each of the totally isotropic lines that do *not* contain it. The resulting graph is regular with valency q^3+q^2 , and is edge-transitive but not vertex-transitive, and its automorphism group is also isomorphic to Aut(PSp(4, q)). In particular, this graph is semi-symmetric, but also twin-free, since its automorphism group acts primitively on each of the two parts of the graph. Finally, for this graph the ratio d/n is $(q^3+q^2)/(q^3+q^2+q+1)$, which tends to 1 as $q\to\infty$.

References

- [1] C.T. Benson, On the structure of generalized quadrangles, J. Algebra 15 (1970), 443-454.
- [2] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, and C. Playoust, The Magma algebra system. I. The user language, J. Symbolic Comput. 24 (1997), 235–265, Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993).
- [3] M. Conder, Trivalent (cubic) symmetric graphs on up to 10000 vertices, http://www.math.auckland.ac.nz/~conder/symmcubic10000list.txt.
- [4] M. Conder, A. Malnič, D. Marušič, and P. Potočnik, A census of semisymmetric cubic graphs on up to 768 vertices, J. Algebraic Combin. 23 (2006), 255–294.
- [5] M. Conder and G. Verret, All connected edge-transitive bipartite graphs on up to 63 vertices, http://www.math.auckland.ac.nz/~conder/AllSmallETBgraphs-upto63-summary.txt.
- [6] ______, All connected edge-transitive graphs on up to 47 vertices, http://www.math.auckland.ac.nz/~conder/AllSmallETgraphs-upto47-summary.txt.
- [7] D. Djoković and G.L. Miller, Regular groups of automorphisms of cubic graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 29 (1980), 195–230.
- [8] S. Du and M. Xu, A classification of semisymmetric graphs of order 2pq, Comm. Algebra 28 (2000), 2685–2715.
- [9] J. Folkman, Regular line-symmetric graphs, J. Combinatorial Theory 3 (1967), 215–232.
- [10] D.M. Goldschmidt, Automorphisms of trivalent graphs, Ann. of Math. (2) 111 (1980), 377–406.
- [11] D. Holt and G. Royle, A census of small transitive groups and vertex-transitive graphs, https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.09015v1.
- [12] A.V. Ivanov, On edge but not vertex transitive regular graphs, in Combinatorial design theory, North-Holland Math. Stud., vol. 149, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987, pp. 273–285.
- [13] A. Kotlov and L. Lovász, The rank and size of graphs, J. Graph Theory 23 (1996), 185–189.
- [14] H.A. Newman, H. Miranda, and D.A. Narayan, Edge-transitive graphs, preprint, https://arxiv.org/abs/1709.04750v1.
- [15] P. Potočnik, P. Spiga, and G. Verret, Cubic vertex-transitive graphs on up to 1280 vertices, J. Symbolic Comput. 50 (2013), 465–477.

Marston D. E. Conder & Gabriel Verret

- [16] ______, A census of 4-valent half-arc-transitive graphs and arc-transitive digraphs of valence two, Ars Math. Contemp. 8 (2015), 133–148.
- [17] G. Royle, Vertex-transitive graphs on up to 31 vertices, http://staffhome.ecm.uwa.edu.au/~00013890/remote/trans/index.html.
- [18] ______, There are 677402 vertex-transitive graphs on 32 vertices, http://symomega.wordpress.com/2012/02/27/there-are-677402-vertex-transitive-graphs-on-32-vertices.
- $[19] \ {\rm S.\ Wilson},\ A\ worthy\ family\ of\ semisymmetric\ graphs,\ {\rm Discrete\ Math.}\ {\bf 271}\ (2003),\ 283-294.$
- MARSTON D. E. CONDER, Department of Mathematics, University of Auckland, Private Bag 92019, Auckland 1142, New Zealand E-mail:m.conder@auckland.ac.nz

Gabriel Verret, Department of Mathematics, University of Auckland, Private Bag 92019, Auckland 1142, New Zealand E-mail: g.verret@auckland.ac.nz