

ALGEBRAIC COMBINATORICS

Eric Marberg Shifted combinatorial Hopf algebras from *K*-theory Volume 7, issue 4 (2024), p. 1123-1156. <https://doi.org/10.5802/alco.362>

© The author(s), 2024.

 $\left($ ce) BY This article is licensed under the CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION (CC-BY) 4.0 LICENSE. <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Algebraic Combinatorics is published by The Combinatorics Consortium and is a member of the Centre Mersenne for Open Scientific Publishing <www.tccpublishing.org> <www.centre-mersenne.org>

e-ISSN: 2589-5486

Shifted combinatorial Hopf algebras from *K*-theory

Eric Marberg

Abstract In prior joint work with Lewis, we developed a theory of enriched set-valued *P*partitions to construct a *K*-theoretic generalization of the Hopf algebra of peak quasisymmetric functions. Here, we situate this object in a diagram of six Hopf algebras, providing a shifted version of the diagram of *K*-theoretic combinatorial Hopf algebras studied by Lam and Pylyavskyy. This allows us to describe new *K*-theoretic analogues of the classical peak algebra. We also study the Hopf algebras generated by Ikeda and Naruse's *K*-theoretic Schur *P*- and *Q*-functions, as well as their duals. Along the way, we derive several product, coproduct, and antipode formulas and outline a number of open problems and conjectures.

1. Introduction

There is a classical diagram of Hopf algebras

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\text{Sym} & \xrightarrow{\text{WSym}} & \longrightarrow \text{MR} \\
\downarrow \qquad & \downarrow \qquad & \downarrow \\
\text{Sym} & \xrightarrow{\text{QSym}} & \xrightarrow{\text{MR}} \\
\end{array}
$$

in which the vertical lines are dualities, the \rightarrow arrows are inclusions, and the \rightarrow arrows are their adjoints. The self-dual object Sym on the left is the familiar Hopf algebra of bounded degree symmetric functions [\[16,](#page-33-0) §2], which has an orthonormal basis given by the Schur functions s_λ . The self-dual object MR on the right is the *Malvenuto-Reutenauer Hopf algebra* of permutations from [\[4,](#page-32-0) [29\]](#page-33-1). In the middle, we have the dual pair of *quasisymmetric functions* QSym and *noncommutative symmetric functions* NSym, as described in [\[16,](#page-33-0) §5].

In [\[24\]](#page-33-2), Lam and Pylyavskyy study a "*K*-theoretic" generalization of [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0) given by

Manuscript received 18th May 2023, revised 26th January 2024, accepted 6th February 2024.

Keywords. combinatorial Hopf algebras, Malvenuto-Reutenauer Hopf algebra, peak algebra, peak quasisymmetric functions, K-theoretic symmetric functions, shifted tableaux.

Acknowledgements. The author was partially supported by grant GRF 16306120 from the Hong Kong Research Grants Council.

E. Marberg

The objects here are modules over $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ rather than \mathbb{Z} , where β is a formal parameter. Setting $\beta = 0$ turns [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1) into [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0). The objects mSym and mQSym consist of the symmetric and quasisymmetric functions over $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ of unbounded degree. Their duals MSym and MNSym are isomorphic to Sym and NSym but with scalars extended to $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$. The objects mMR and MMR, finally, are two different generalizations of the Malvenuto-Reutenauer Hopf algebra MR.

Besides the Schur functions $\{s_{\lambda}\}\$, the Hopf algebras mSym and \mathfrak{MS} ym have another pair of dual bases provided by the *stable Grothendieck polynomials* $G_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ $\lambda^{(\rho)}$ and the *dual stable Grothendieck polynomials* $g_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ *λ* . These power series are relevant to *K*theory since the $G_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ λ ^(b) functions are symmetric limits of connective *K*-theory classes of structure sheaves of Schubert varieties; see [\[9,](#page-32-1) [15\]](#page-33-3).

The goal of this article is to investigate two shifted analogues of [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1). To motivate this, let us first discuss the shifted versions of [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0). On one hand, we have a diagram

(1.3)
$$
\begin{array}{c|c}\n\text{Sym}_P & \longleftarrow & \text{Peak}_P & \longleftarrow & \text{MR} \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
\text{Sym}_Q & \longleftarrow & \text{IISym} & \longleftarrow & \text{MR}\n\end{array}
$$

in which the vertical lines are again dualities, the \rightarrow arrows are inclusions, and the \rightarrow arrows are their adjoints. Here Sym_P and Sym_Q are the subalgebras of Sym spanned by the *Schur P-functions* P_{λ} and *Schur Q-functions* Q_{λ} , which are indexed by all *strict* integer partitions λ . These subalgebras are dual Hopf algebras relative to the bilinear form with $[P_\lambda, Q_\mu] = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$, which is different from the usual Hall inner product on Sym; see [\[42,](#page-34-0) Appendix A]. In the middle column, ΠSym is the Hopf algebra of *peak quasisymmetric functions* ΠSym from [\[42\]](#page-34-0) while Peak_{*P*} is the *peak algebra* from [\[37,](#page-33-4) [41\]](#page-34-1).

There is another version of [\(1.3\)](#page-2-0) in which the roles of Sym_P and Sym_Q are interchanged:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\text{Sym}_Q & \longleftarrow & \text{Peak}_Q & \longmapsto \text{ MR} \\
\downarrow & \qquad \qquad \downarrow & \qquad \downarrow \\
\text{Sym}_P & \longleftarrow & \bar{\text{IISym}} & \longleftarrow & \text{MR}\n\end{array}
$$

Here Π Sym is a slightly larger version of Π Sym (namely, the intersection of Π Sym $_{\odot}$:= $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi$ Sym with QSym [\[42,](#page-34-0) §3]) while its dual Peak_Q is a Hopf subalgebra of Peak_{*P*}. The diagrams [\(1.3\)](#page-2-0) and [\(1.4\)](#page-2-1) coincide if we work over $\mathbb Q$ rather than $\mathbb Z$.

Work of Ikeda and Naruse [\[21\]](#page-33-5) identifies K-theoretic versions $GP_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ and $GQ_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ of the classical Schur P- and Q-functions. Whereas P_{λ} and Q_{λ} are generating functions for *(semistandard) shifted tableaux*, $GP_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ and $GQ_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ are generating functions for *(semistandard) shifted set-valued tableaux* [\[21,](#page-33-5) Thm. 9.1]. These symmetric functions represent the structure sheaves of Schubert varieties in the connective *K*-theory ring of the maximal isotropic Grassmannians of orthogonal and symplectic types [\[21,](#page-33-5) Cor. 8.1].

Later results of Nakagawa and Naruse [\[35\]](#page-33-6) construct two additional families of "dual" *K*-theoretic Schur *P*- and *Q*-functions $gp_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ $g_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ and $g_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ $\lambda^{(\beta)}$. As we will explain in Section [4,](#page-11-0) these power series are $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ -bases for two Hopf subalgebras \mathfrak{MSym}_P and $MSym_O$ of $MSym$, whose respective duals $mSym_O$ and $mSym_P$ are the completions of the algebras $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ -span $\{GQ_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ -span $\{GP_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}\}$. These four objects fit into the pair of diagrams

which specialize to [\(1.3\)](#page-2-0) and [\(1.4\)](#page-2-1) when $\beta = 0$, and which coincide if the scalar ring $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ is extended to $\mathbb{Q}[\beta]$. These diagrams are the primary subject of this article. Our main results, building off related work in [\[12,](#page-32-2) [24,](#page-33-2) [26,](#page-33-7) [8\]](#page-32-3), will provide distinguished bases for all of the objects shown here, explicitly identify the pairings that give the dualities indicated by the vertical lines, and describe the remaining inclusions and their adjoint surjections.

We can summarize our new theorems and outline the rest of this paper as follows. One way to motivate [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1) is through the perspective of *combinatorial Hopf algebras* as defined in [\[2\]](#page-32-4). However, some care must be taken to make this rigorous as the objects in the bottom row of [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1) are certain completions of Hopf algebras rather than actual Hopf algebras. Section [2](#page-4-0) provides a brief survey of the technical background needed to address these issues.

Section [3](#page-7-0) reviews the construction of the objects and morphisms in [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1). What we present is a very mild generalization of what is studied by Lam and Pylyavskyy, and involves a parameter β that is implicitly set to $\beta = 1$ in [\[24\]](#page-33-2).

The algebras $m\overline{\Pi}$ Sym $\supset m\Pi$ Sym are spanned by *K*-theoretic generalizations of peak quasisymmetric functions studied previously in [\[8\]](#page-32-3). In Section [4.1](#page-11-1) we review the definition of these algebras, and prove that mΠSym arises of the image of a canonical morphism of combinatorial Hopf algebras $mMR \rightarrow mQSym$; see Theorem [4.2.](#page-13-0)

In Section [4.2](#page-15-0) we construct the duals of $m\pi Sym$ and $m\pi Sym$ as explicit Hopf subalgebras $\mathfrak{M}\mathsf{Peak}_Q \subset \mathfrak{M}\mathsf{NSym}$ and $\mathfrak{M}\mathsf{Peak}_P \subset \mathfrak{M}\mathsf{NSym}$. This gives two *K*-theoretic generalizations of the classical peak algebra. Neither appears to have been considered in previous literature. We also derive (co)product formulas for the distinguished bases of $\mathfrak{M}\mathsf{Peak}_Q$ and $\mathfrak{M}\mathsf{Peak}_P$, and we identify the adjoint maps $\mathfrak{m}MR \to \mathfrak{m}\Pi\mathsf{Sym}$ and $mMR \rightarrow m\overline{\Pi}$ Sym in [\(1.5\)](#page-3-0).

Sections [4.3](#page-20-0) and [4.4](#page-23-0) discuss the Hopf algebras $\mathfrak{mSym}_P \supset \mathfrak{mSym}_Q$ and their duals \mathfrak{M} Sym_{*Q*} $\subset \mathfrak{M}$ Sym_{*P*} \rightarrow $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ and $\mathfrak{MSym}_P\times \mathfrak{mSym}_Q\ \rightarrow\ \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ to a surjective morphism $\Theta^{(\beta)}: \ \mathfrak{mQSym} \ \rightarrow$ $\mathfrak{m}\Pi$ Sym; see Theorem [4.26.](#page-25-0) We also identify the adjoint maps $\mathfrak{M}\mathsf{Peak}_P\to \mathfrak{M}\mathsf{Sym}_P$ and $\mathfrak{M}\mathsf{Peak}_Q \to \mathfrak{M}\mathsf{Sym}_Q$ in [\(1.5\)](#page-3-0); see Theorem [4.27.](#page-26-0) These results rely on conjectures from [\[8,](#page-32-3) [35\]](#page-33-6) proved in [\[12,](#page-32-2) [26\]](#page-33-7).

Section [4.5](#page-27-0) discusses antipode formulas for the objects in [\(1.5\)](#page-3-0). Building off recent work in [\[26\]](#page-33-7), we show that the respective (finite) $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ -linear spans of all $GP^{(\beta)}$ - and $GQ^{(\beta)}$ -functions are sub-bialgebras of $mSym$ that are not Hopf algebras; see Proposition [4.33.](#page-29-0)

Finally, Section [4.6](#page-30-0) provides a survey of related open problems and positivity conjectures. Computer calculations indicate that the coefficients appearing in many different expansions of the distinguished bases for the objects in [\(1.5\)](#page-3-0) are always positive.

In several special cases, it is an open problem to find combinatorial interpretations of these numbers.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout, we write \mathbb{Z} for the set of integers and let $[n] := \{i \in \mathbb{Z} : 0 < i \leq n\}$ for $0 \leq n \in \mathbb{Z}$. This section presents some basic information about Hopf algebras, their completions, and quasisymmetric functions. For more background on each, see [\[16,](#page-33-0) [28,](#page-33-8) [31\]](#page-33-9).

2.1. HOPF ALGEBRAS. Fix an integral domain *R* and write $\otimes = \otimes_R$ for the tensor product over this ring. An *R*-algebra is an *R*-module *A* with *R*-linear product ∇ : $A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ and unit $\iota : R \rightarrow A$ maps. Dually, an *R*-coalgebra is an *R*-module *A* with *R*-linear coproduct $\Delta: A \to A \otimes A$ and counit $\epsilon: A \to R$ maps. The (co)product and $(c_{\rm o})$ unit maps must satisfy several associativity axioms; see [\[16,](#page-33-0) §1] for the complete definitions.

An *R*-module *A* that is both an *R*-algebra and an *R*-coalgebra is an *R-bialgebra* if the coproduct and counit maps are algebra morphisms (equivalently, the product and unit are coalgebra morphisms).

Suppose *A* is an *R*-bialgebra with structure maps ∇ , *ι*, Δ , and *ε*. Let End(*A*) denote the set of *R*-linear maps $A \to A$. This set is an *R*-algebra for the product $f * g := \nabla \circ (f \otimes g) \circ \Delta$. The unit of this *convolution algebra* is the composition $\iota \circ \epsilon$ of the unit and counit of *A*. The bialgebra *A* is a *Hopf algebra* if id: $A \rightarrow A$ has a (necessarily unique) two-sided inverse $S : A \to A$ in the convolution algebra $\text{End}(A)$. When it exists, we call S the *antipode* of *A*.

2.2. COMPLETIONS. Many of the objects in the diagrams (1.2) and (1.5) are rings of formal power series of unbounded degree that are "too large" to belong to the category of free modules. To formally define algebraic structures on these objects, we need to work in the following setting.

Let *A* and *B* be *R*-modules with an *R*-bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : A \times B \to R$. Assume that *A* is free and the form is *nondegenerate* in the sense that $b \mapsto \langle \cdot, b \rangle$ is a bijection $B \to \text{Hom}_R(A, R)$. Fix a basis $\{a_i\}_{i \in I}$ for *A*. For each $i \in I$, there exists a unique element $b_i \in B$ with $\langle a_j, b_i \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ for all $j \in I$, and we can identify an arbitrary element $b \in B$ with the formal linear combination $\sum_{i \in I} \langle a_i, b \rangle b_i$. We refer to $\{b_i\}_{i \in I}$ as a *pseudobasis* for *B*.

We give *R* the discrete topology. Then the *linearly compact topology* [\[14,](#page-33-10) §I.2] on *B* is the coarsest topology in which the maps $\langle a_i, \cdot \rangle : B \to R$ are all continuous. This topology depends on $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ but not on the choice of basis for *A*, and is discrete if *A* has finite rank.

Definition 2.1. *A* linearly compact *R*-module *is an R-module B equipped with a nondegenerate bilinear form* $A \times B \rightarrow R$ *for some free R-module A, given the linearly compact topology; in this case B is the* dual *of A. Morphisms between such modules are continuous R-linear maps.*

We will often abbreviate by writing "LC-" in place of "linearly compact." Suppose *A* is a free *R*-module with basis *S*. We refer to the *R*-module *B* of arbitrary *R*-linear combinations of *S*, equipped with the nondegenerate bilinear form $A \times B \to R$ making *S* orthonormal, as the *completion* of *A* with respect to *S*. This linearly compact *R*module has *S* as a pseudobasis.

Let *B* and *B*′ be linearly compact *R*-modules dual to free *R*-modules *A* and *A*′ . We reuse $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ for both of the associated nondegenerate forms. Every linear map $\phi: A' \to A$ has a unique adjoint $\psi: B \to B'$ such that $\langle \phi(a), b \rangle = \langle a, \psi(b) \rangle$ for all

 $a \in A'$ and $b \in B$. A linear map $B \to B'$ is *continuous* if and only if it arises as the adjoint of some linear map $A' \rightarrow A$.

Definition 2.2. *The* completed tensor product *of B and B*′ *is the R-module*

 $B \hat{\otimes} B' := \text{Hom}_R(A \otimes A', R),$

given the LC-topology from the tautological pairing $(A \otimes A') \times \text{Hom}_R(A \otimes A', R) \to R$ *.*

If ${b_i}_{i \in I}$ and ${b'_j}_{j \in J}$ are pseudobases for *B* and *B'*, then we can realize $B \hat{\otimes} B'$ concretely as the linearly compact *R*-module with the set of tensors ${b_i \otimes b'_j}_{(i,j)\in I\times J}$ as a pseudobasis.

EXAMPLE 2.3. Let $A = R[x]$ and $B = R[[x]]$. Define $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : A \times B \to R$ to be the nondegenerate *R*-bilinear form

$$
\left\langle \sum_{n\geqslant 0} a_n x^n, \sum_{n\geqslant 0} b_n x^n \right\rangle := \sum_{n\geqslant 0} a_n b_n.
$$

Then the set $\{x^n\}_{n\geqslant 0}$ is a basis for *A* and a pseudobasis for *B*, and we have

 $R[x] \otimes R[y] \neq R[x] \hat{\otimes} R[y] \cong R[x, y].$

DEFINITION 2.4. *Suppose* $\nabla : B \hat{\otimes} B \rightarrow B$ *and* $\iota : B \rightarrow R$ *are continuous linear maps which are the adjoints of linear maps* $\epsilon : R \to A$ *and* $\Delta : A \to A \otimes A$ *. We say that* (B, ∇, ι) *is an* LC-algebra *if* (A, Δ, ϵ) *is an R*-coalgebra. Similarly, we say that $\Delta: B \to B \hat{\otimes} B$ and $\epsilon: B \to R$ make *B* into an LC-coalgebra if Δ and ϵ are the *adjoints of the product and unit maps of an R-algebra on A.*

We define *LC-bialgebras* and *LC-Hopf algebras* analogously. If *B* is an LC-Hopf algebra then its *antipode* is the adjoint of the antipode of the Hopf algebra *A*. In each case we say that the (co, bi, Hopf) algebra structures on *A* and *B* are duals of each other.

More generally, a Hopf algebra H is *dual* to an LC-Hopf algebra \hat{H} via some nondegenerate bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H \times \hat{H} \to R$ that is continuous in the second coordinate if one always has $\langle \iota(a), b \rangle = a \cdot \epsilon(b), \langle a, \iota(b) \rangle = \epsilon(a) \cdot b, \langle \nabla(a \otimes b), c \rangle =$ $\langle a \otimes b, \Delta(c) \rangle$, and $\langle a, \nabla(b \otimes c) \rangle = \langle \Delta(a), b \otimes c \rangle$.

EXAMPLE 2.5. Again let $A = R[x]$ and $B = R[x]$. Write $\nabla : B \hat{\otimes} B \to B$ for the usual product map, define $\iota : R \to B$ to be the natural inclusion, and let $\epsilon : B \to R$ be the ring homomorphism that sets $x = 0$. For each $\beta \in R$, there is a continuous algebra homomorphism $\Delta_{\beta}: B \to B \hat{\otimes} B$ with $\Delta_{\beta}(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x + \beta x \otimes x$, and *B* is an LC-bialgebra relative to ∇ , *ι*, Δ_{β} , and ϵ .

There is a unique bialgebra structure on *A* that is dual to the one on *B* via the form in Example [2.3.](#page-5-0) This structure has unit, counit, and coproduct given by appropriate restrictions of ι , ϵ , and $\Delta_0 := \Delta_\beta|_{\beta=0}$, while its product has a more complicated formula; see [\[8,](#page-32-3) Ex. 2.4]. One can show that the dual bialgebras *A* and *B* are dual Hopf algebras: the antipode of *A* is the linear map with $S_A(x^n) = (-1)^n x(x + \beta)^{n-1}$, and the antipode of *B* is the continuous linear map with $S_B(x^n) = \left(\frac{-x}{1+\beta x}\right)^n$. Notice that we can restrict ∇ and Δ_{β} to define a second bialgebra structure on \hat{A} , but this will not be a Hopf algebra unless $\beta = 0$ as R is an integral domain.

One can reformulate the above definitions using commutative diagrams; see [\[31\]](#page-33-9). Linearly compact (co, bi, Hopf) algebras form a category in which morphisms are continuous linear maps commuting with (co)products and (co)units. The completed tensor product of two linearly compact (co, bi, Hopf) algebras is itself a linearly compact (co, bi, Hopf) algebra.

2.3. QUASISYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS. Let β , x_1, x_2, \ldots be commuting indeterminates. From this point on, most of our modules will be defined over the ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$, and we write \otimes and $\hat{\otimes}$ for the corresponding tensor products. A power series $f \in$ $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]\llbracket x_1, x_2, \ldots \rrbracket$ is quasisymmetric if for any choice of $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, the coefficients of $x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \cdots x_k^{a_k}$ and $x_{i_1}^{a_1} x_{i_2}^{a_2} \cdots x_{i_k}^{a_k}$ in f are equal for all $i_1 < i_2 < \cd$

DEFINITION 2.6. Let $mQSym$ *be the* $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ -module of quasisymmetric power series in $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]\llbracket x_1, x_2, \ldots \rrbracket$. Let QSym *denote the submodule of power series in* mQSym *of bounded degree.*

A *composition* α is a finite sequence of positive integers. If the parts of α have sum $N \geq 0$, then we write $\alpha \models N$ or $|\alpha| = N$. The sequence α is a *partition* if it is weakly decreasing, which we indicate by writing $\alpha \vdash N$ instead of $\alpha \vDash N$.

The set QSym is a graded ring that is free as a $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ -module. One basis is provided by the *monomial quasisymmetric functions*, which are defined for each composition $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k)$ as the sums

$$
M_{\alpha}:=\sum_{i_1
$$

with $M_{\varnothing} := 1$ when $\alpha = \varnothing$ is the empty composition. We identify mQSym with the completion of QSym relative to this basis.

Write ∆ : QSym → QSym ⊗ QSym for the Z[*β*]-linear map with

$$
\Delta(M_{\alpha}) = \sum_{\alpha = \alpha' \alpha''} M_{\alpha'} \otimes M_{\alpha''}
$$

for each composition α , where $\alpha' \alpha''$ denotes the concatenation of α' and α'' . Let ϵ : QSym $\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ be the linear map with $M_{\varnothing} \mapsto 1$ and $M_{\alpha} \mapsto 0$ for all nonempty compositions α . This coproduct and counit make the algebra QSym into a (graded, connected) Hopf algebra [\[16,](#page-33-0) §5.1]. These maps extend to continuous linear maps mQSym $\hat{\otimes}$ mQSym \rightarrow mQSym and mQSym \rightarrow mQSym $\hat{\otimes}$ mQSym which make mQSym into an LC-Hopf algebra. For a description of its antipode, see Section [4.5.](#page-27-0)

Suppose *H* is an LC-bialgebra, defined over $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$, with product ∇ , coproduct Δ , unit *ι*, and counit ϵ . Let $\mathbb{X}(H)$ be the set of continuous algebra morphisms $\zeta : H \to$ $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]\llbracket t \rrbracket$ with $\zeta(\cdot)|_{t=0} = \epsilon$.

DEFINITION 2.7. If *H* is an *LC*-bialgebra (respectively, *LC*-Hopf algebra) and $\zeta \in$ $\mathbb{X}(H)$, then (H,ζ) is a combinatorial LC-bialgebra *(respectively, combinatorial LC-*Hopf algebra*). Such pairs form a category in which morphisms* $(H, \zeta) \rightarrow (H', \zeta')$ are *morphisms* $\phi : H \to H'$ *with* $\zeta = \zeta' \circ \phi$ *.*

We view mQSym as a combinatorial LC-Hopf algebra with respect to the *canonical* zeta function $\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}} : \mathfrak{m} \mathsf{Q} \mathsf{Sym} \to \mathbb{Z}[\beta][\![t]\!]$ given by $\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}}(f) = f(t, 0, 0, \dots)$. On monomial quasisymmetric functions, we have $\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}}(M_{\alpha}) = t^{|\alpha|}$ for $\alpha \in \{\varnothing, (1), (2), (3), \dots\}$ and $\zeta_{\mathsf{Q}}(M_{\alpha}) = 0$ for all other compositions α .

For each integer $k \geqslant 1$ define $\Delta^{(k)} := (1 \otimes \Delta^{(k-1)}) \circ \Delta = (\Delta^{(k-1)} \otimes 1) \circ \Delta$ where $\Delta^{(1)} := \Delta$. Given a map $\zeta \in \mathbb{X}(H)$ and a nonempty composition $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k)$, write $\zeta_{\alpha}: H \to \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ for the map sending $h \in H$ to the coefficient of $t^{\alpha_1} \otimes t^{\alpha_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes t^{\alpha_k}$ $\lim_{\Delta \to 0} \zeta^{\otimes k} \circ \Delta^{(k-1)}(h) \in \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ *t*^{[*t*]^{$\hat{\otimes}k$}. When $\alpha = \varnothing$ is the empty composition, define $\zeta_{\varnothing} := \epsilon$.}

Theorem 2.8 ([\[8,](#page-32-3) Thm. 2.8]). *Suppose* (*H, ζ*) *is a combinatorial LC-bialgebra. Then the map with the formula* $\Phi(h) = \sum_{\alpha} \zeta_{\alpha}(h) M_{\alpha}$ *for* $h \in H$ *, where the sum is over all compositions* α *, is the unique morphism of combinatorial LC-bialgebras* Φ : $(H, ζ)$ → (mQSym*, ζ*Q)*.*

3. *K*-theoretic Hopf algebras

We are now prepared to review the construction of the diagram [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1) from [\[24\]](#page-33-2). As noted in the introduction, we will work with slightly modified versions of the objects discussed in [\[24\]](#page-33-2), involving a formal parameter β . Setting $\beta = 1$ recovers Lam and Pylyavskyy's original definitions, but one can also go in the reverse direction by making appropriate variable substitutions.

3.1. Small multipermutations. We start with the object mMR in the lower right corner of [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1), called the *small multi-Malvenuto-Reutenauer Hopf algebra* in [\[24\]](#page-33-2).

A *word* is a finite sequence of positive integers. Let $v = v_1v_2\cdots v_m$ and $w =$ $w_1w_2\cdots w_n$ be words. When

$$
S = \{s_1 < \dots < s_m\} \subset [m+n] \quad \text{and} \quad T = \{t_1 < \dots < t_n\} = [m+n] \setminus S,
$$

define $\Box_{S,T}(v, w) := u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{m+n}$ where $u_{s_i} := v_i$ and $u_{t_i} := w_i$. The *shuffle product* of *v* and *w* is $v \perp w := \sum \sqcup_{S,T} (v, w)$ where the sum is over all pairs (S, T) of disjoint sets with $S \sqcup T = [m+n]$ where $|S| = m$ and $|T| = n$. For example, we have $21 \sqcup 11 = 3 \cdot 2111 + 2 \cdot 1211 + 1121.$

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$, let $w \uparrow k = (w_1 + k)(w_2 + k) \cdots (w_n + k)$. If w has m distinct letters, then its standardization is the word $\mathfrak{st}(w) = \phi(w_1)\phi(w_2)\cdots\phi(w_n)$, where ϕ is the unique order-preserving bijection $\{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n\} \rightarrow [m]$. A word *w* is *packed* if $\mathfrak{st}(w) = w.$

Definition 3.1. *Let* PackedWords *denote the set of packed words and define* mWQSym *to be the linearly compact* Z[*β*]*-module with* PackedWords *as a pseudobasis.*

Define ∇ : mWQSym $\hat{\otimes}$ mWQSym \rightarrow mWQSym and Δ : mWQSym \rightarrow mWQSym $\hat{\otimes}$ mWQSym to be the continuous linear maps with

(3.1)
$$
\nabla(v \otimes w) := v \perp (w \uparrow \max(v)),
$$

$$
\Delta(w) := \sum_{i=0}^{n} \mathfrak{st}(w_1 \cdots w_i) \otimes \mathfrak{st}(w_{i+1} \cdots w_n),
$$

for $v \in$ PackedWords and $w = w_1w_2 \cdots w_n \in$ PackedWords. Write $\iota : \mathbb{Z}[\beta] \to \mathfrak{mWQSym}$ and $\epsilon : \mathfrak{m} \mathsf{W} \mathsf{Q} \mathsf{Sym} \to \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ for the linear maps with $\iota(1) = \varnothing$ and $\epsilon(w) = \delta_{w\varnothing}$ for $w \in \mathcal{S}$ PackedWords. These maps make mWQSym into an LC-Hopf algebra [\[31,](#page-33-9) Prop. 3.11], called the Hopf algebra of *word quasisymmetric functions*.

A *small multipermutation* is a packed word with no equal adjacent letters. Let $S_n^{\mathfrak{m}}$ denote the set of such words *w* with $\max(w) = n$ and define $S_{\infty}^{\mathfrak{m}} := \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} S_n^{\mathfrak{m}}$. Write $\lt_{\mathfrak{m}}$ for the partial order on PackedWords whose cover relations are of the form $w_1 \cdots w_i \cdots w_n \leq_{\mathfrak{m}} w_1 \cdots w_i w_i \cdots w_n$. Each lower set under $\leq_{\mathfrak{m}}$ contains a unique minimal element, which belongs to $S^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\infty}$.

 $\text{DEFINITION 3.2.} Given \ v \in S^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\infty}, \ let \ [v]^{{(\beta)}}_{\mathfrak{m}} := \sum_{v \leqslant_{\mathfrak{m}} w} \beta^{\ell(w)-\ell(v)} w \in \mathfrak{mWQSym} \ where$ *the sum is over packed words w* ∈ PackedWords*. Define* mMR *to be the linearly compact* $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ -submodule of $mWQSym$ with $\left\{ [v]_{m}^{(\beta)} : v \in S_{\infty}^{m} \right\}$ as a pseudobasis.

As mMR is an LC sub-bialgebra of mWQSym, which is graded and connected, Takeuchi's formula [\[16,](#page-33-0) Prop. 1.4.22] implies that its antipode preserves mMR. This observation lets us recover the following statement, which is equivalent to [\[24,](#page-33-2) Thms. 4.2 and 7.12].

Theorem 3.3 ([\[24\]](#page-33-2)). *The submodule* mMR *is an LC-Hopf subalgebra of* mWQSym*.*

[\[24,](#page-33-2) Thm. 4.2] constructs an LC-Hopf algebra over $\mathbb Z$ with $S^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\infty}$ as a pseudobasis; this object is isomorphic to the Z-submodule of mMR with $\left\{\beta^{\ell(v)}[v]_m^{(\beta)} : v \in S_{\infty}^m\right\}$ as a pseudobasis.

3.2. Big multipermutations. Next, we review the construction of the *big multi-Malvenuto-Reutenauer Hopf algebra* from [\[24\]](#page-33-2), which gives the dual object MMR in the top right corner of [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1).

A *set composition* is a sequence of pairwise disjoint nonempty sets $B = B_1 B_2 \cdots B_m$ with $\bigsqcup_{i \in [m]} B_i = [n]$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$; in this case we define $\ell(B) := m$ and $|B| := n$.

Definition 3.4. *Let* SetComp *be the set of all set compositions and define*

$$
\mathsf{SetComp}_n = \{B \in \mathsf{SetComp} : |B| = n\}
$$

for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ *. Let* WQSym *be the free* $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ *-module with* SetComp *as a basis.*

There is a Hopf algebra structure on WQSym. Given $B = B_1 B_2 \cdots B_m \in \mathsf{SetComp}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, let $k + B$ be the sequence of sets $(k + B_1)(k + B_2) \cdots (k + B_m)$. For *S* ⊂ $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, define *B*∩*S* by removing any empty sets from $(B_1 \cap S)(B_2 \cap S) \cdots (B_m \cap S)$. The product $\nabla : WQSym \otimes WQSym \rightarrow WQSym$ is the linear map with

$$
\nabla(A \otimes B) = \sum_{C \in A \bullet B} C
$$

where

$$
A \bullet B := \{ C \in \mathsf{SetComp}_{m+n} : C \cap [m] = A \text{ and } C \cap (m + [n]) = m + B \}
$$

for all $A \in \mathsf{SetComp}_m$ and $B \in \mathsf{SetComp}_n$. For example, the elements of $\{1\}\{2\} \bullet \{1,2\}$ are {1}{2}{3*,* 4}, {1}{2*,* 3*,* 4}, {1}{3*,* 4}{2}, {1*,* 3*,* 4}{2}, and {3*,* 4}{1}{2}.

If $B = B_1 B_2 \cdots B_m$ is a sequence of subsets of some totally ordered alphabet and $n = |\bigcup_i B_i|$, then we let $\mathfrak{st}(B) := \phi(B_1)\phi(B_2)\cdots\phi(B_m)$ where ϕ is the orderpreserving bijection $B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \cdots \cup B_m \to [n]$. The coproduct $\Delta : WQSym \to WQSym$ WQSym is the linear map with

$$
\Delta(A) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \mathfrak{st}(A_1 \cdots A_i) \otimes \mathfrak{st}(A_{i+1} \cdots A_m) \quad \text{for all } A = A_1 A_2 \cdots A_m \in \mathsf{SetComp}.
$$

Write $\iota : \mathbb{Z}[\beta] \to W\mathbb{Q}$ Sym and $\epsilon : W\mathbb{Q}$ Sym $\to \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ for the linear maps with $\iota(1) = \emptyset$ and $\epsilon(A) = \delta_{A\varnothing}$ for $A \in \mathsf{SetComp}$. These maps make WQSym into a graded, connected Hopf algebra [\[36,](#page-33-11) §2.1].

Consider the following operations interchanging packed words and set compositions. First, given $w = w_1w_2\cdots w_n \in$ PackedWords with $\max(w) = m$, define w^* to be the set composition $A_1A_2 \cdots A_m \in \mathsf{SetComp}_n$ with $A_i = \{j \in [n] : w_j = i\}$. Next, for $A = A_1 A_2 \cdots A_m \in \mathsf{SetComp}_n$, define A^* to be the packed word $w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n$ with $w_j = i$ if $j \in A_i$. Finally define $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$: WQSym \times mWQSym $\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ to be the unique bilinear form, continuous in the second coordinate, with

(3.2)
$$
\langle A, w \rangle = \delta_{A, w^*}
$$
 for all $A \in \text{SetComp}$ and $w \in \text{PacketWords.}$

This form is nondegenerate since $w \mapsto w^*$ and $A \mapsto A^*$ are inverse bijections PackedWords \leftrightarrow SetComp. One can also check directly that the relevant products and coproducts are compatible in the sense of Section [2.2.](#page-4-1) Therefore WQSym and mWQSym are duals with respect to [\(3.2\)](#page-8-0).

A *big multipermutation* is a set composition whose blocks never contain consecutive integers. Let $S_n^{\mathfrak{M}}$ be the set of big multipermutations *A* with $|A| = n$, and define $S^{\mathfrak{M}}_{\infty} := \bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} S^{\mathfrak{M}}_n$. The operations $w \mapsto w^*$ and $A \mapsto A^*$ restrict to inverse bijections $S_n^{\mathfrak{m}} \leftrightarrow S_n^{\mathfrak{M}}.$

Write $\leq_{\mathfrak{M}}$ for the partial order on set compositions whose cover relations have the form $A \leq_{\mathfrak{M}} B$ where *B* has a block containing *i* and $i+1$ and $A = \mathfrak{st}(B \cap \{1, \ldots, i, i+\})$ $2, \ldots, n$). Each lower set under $\leq_{\mathfrak{M}}$ contains a unique minimal element, which is a big multipermutation.

Definition 3.5. *Let*

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{M}} := \mathbb{Z}[\beta]\text{-span}\left\{\beta^{|B|-|A|}A - B : A, B \in \textsf{SetComp} \textit{ with } A <_{\mathfrak{M}} B\right\}.
$$

Denote the quotient module by $\mathfrak{MMR} := \mathsf{WQSym}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and set

$$
[A]_{\mathfrak{M}}^{(\beta)} := A + \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{M}} \in \mathfrak{MMR}
$$

for $A \in$ SetComp.

The $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ -module \mathfrak{M} MR is free with basis $\left\{ [A]_{\mathfrak{M}}^{(\beta)} : A \in S_{\infty}^{\mathfrak{M}} \right\}$. One can check that $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ is the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{m}MR$, which implies the following results from [\[24,](#page-33-2) §7.2 and §7.4]:

THEOREM 3.6 ([\[24\]](#page-33-2)). *The submodule* $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ *is a Hopf ideal of* WQSym, so \mathfrak{MMR} *is a quotient Hopf algebra. This Hopf algebra is dual to* mMR *via the bilinear form* $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$: $\mathfrak{MMR} \times \mathfrak{mMR} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$, continuous in the second coordinate, with

$$
\langle [A]_{\mathfrak{M}}^{(\beta)}, [w]_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(\beta)} \rangle = \delta_{A,w^*}
$$

for $A \in S^{\mathfrak{M}}_{\infty}$ *and* $w \in S^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\infty}$ *.*

The Hopf algebra MMR is a very minor generalization of the Hopf algebra constructed in $[24, Thm. 7.5]$ $[24, Thm. 7.5]$, which can be realized inside \mathfrak{MMR} as the Z-submodule spanned by $\beta^{|A|}A$ for $A \in S_{\infty}^{\mathfrak{M}}$.

3.3. Multifundamental quasisymmetric functions. Here we review the construction of an alternate pseudobasis for mQSym, which arises from viewing mMR as a combinatorial LC-Hopf algebra. The ideas in this section originate in [\[24,](#page-33-2) §5], but we follow the slightly different notational conventions from [\[8,](#page-32-3) §3].

For a composition $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k) \models N$ let

$$
I(\alpha) := {\alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_{k-1}}.
$$

Define Set($\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$) to be the set of nonempty, finite subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_{>0} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. Given $S, T \in \mathsf{Set}(\mathbb{Z}_{>0})$, we write $S \preceq T$ if $\max(S) \leq \min(T)$ and $S \prec T$ if $\max(S) < \min(T)$.

DEFINITION 3.7. *The* multifundamental quasisymmetric function *of* $\alpha \models N$ *is*

$$
L_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}:=\sum_{S}\beta^{|S|-N}x^S\in\mathfrak{m}\mathrm{QSym}
$$

where the sum is over N *-tuples* $S = (S_1 \preceq S_2 \preceq \cdots \preceq S_N)$ *with* $S_i \in \text{Set}(\mathbb{Z}_{>0})$ *and* $S_i \prec S_{i+1}$ if $i \in I(\alpha)$, and where $|S| := \sum_{i=1}^N |S_i|$ and $x^S := \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j \in S_i} x_j$.

The quasisymmetric functions $L_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ are another pseudobasis for mQSym [\[8,](#page-32-3) §3.3].

REMARK 3.8. Setting $\beta = 0$ transforms $L_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ to the *fundamental quasisymmetric functions* $L_{\alpha} := L_{\alpha}^{(0)}$ [\[28,](#page-33-8) Def. 3.3.4]. Setting $\beta = 1$ turns $L_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ into the quasisymmetric functions denoted \tilde{L}_{α} in [\[24,](#page-33-2) §5.3]. One recovers $L_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ from \tilde{L}_{α} via the identity $L_{\alpha}^{(\beta)} = \beta^{-|\alpha|} \tilde{L}_{\alpha}(\beta x_1, \beta x_2, \dots)$, which lets one rewrite many formulas in [\[24\]](#page-33-2) in terms of $L_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$. For example, one can obtain explicit expressions for the product $L_{\alpha'}^{(\beta)} L_{\alpha'}^{(\beta)}$ and coproduct $\Delta(L_{\alpha}^{(\beta)})$ in this way from [\[24,](#page-33-2) Props. 5.9 and 5.10].

Write ζ for the continuous linear map $\mathfrak{m}WQSym \to \mathbb{Z}[\beta][\![t]\!]$ sending strictly increasing packed words *w* to $t^{\ell(w)}$ and all other packed words to zero. Then $\zeta<$ is an algebra morphism with ζ ²([w]^(β)) = ζ ²(w) for all $w \in S^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\infty}$. The *descent set* of a word $w = w_1w_2\cdots w_n$ is given by $Des(w) := \{i \in [n-1]: w_i > w_{i+1}\}.$ We write $\alpha_{\text{des}}(w)$ for the composition of $\ell(w)$ with $I(\alpha_{\text{des}}(w)) = \text{Des}(w)$. The as yet unmotivated definition of $L_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ is algebraically natural in view of the following:

THEOREM 3.9. *The continuous linear map with* $[w]_m^{(\beta)} \mapsto L_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ $\alpha_{\text{des}}(w)$ *for all* $w \in S^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\infty}$ *is the unique morphism of combinatorial LC-Hopf algebras* $(mMR, \zeta_<) \rightarrow (mQSym, \zeta_Q)$ *.*

Proof. The claim that this map is a morphism of LC-bialgebras (and therefore also of LC-Hopf algebras) is equivalent to [\[24,](#page-33-2) Thm. 5.11]. Choose $w \in S^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\infty}$ and set $\alpha :=$ $\alpha_{\text{des}}(w)$ and $N := |\alpha| = \ell(w)$. In view of Theorem [2.8,](#page-6-0) we just need to check that ζ ²($[w]$ ^(β))</sub> = ζ _{**Q}(** L **^{(β})</mark>). As** ζ **_{Q** sends $x_1 \mapsto t$ and $x_i \mapsto 0$ for $i > 0$, we either have}</sub> $\zeta_{\mathsf{Q}}(L_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}) = t^N$ if the *N*-tuple $S = (\{1\} \preceq \{1\} \preceq \cdots \preceq \{1\})$ satisfies the conditions in Definition [3.7,](#page-9-0) or else $\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}}(L_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}) = 0$. This means that $\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}}(L_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}) = t^N = \zeta_{\leq}([w]_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(\beta)})$ if $I(\alpha) = \text{Des}(w)$ is empty and otherwise $\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}}(L_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}) = 0 = \zeta_{\leq}([w]_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(\beta)})$ as needed. □

3.4. Noncommutative symmetric functions. We now review the construction from [\[24\]](#page-33-2) of the *multi-noncommutative symmetric functions* MNSym in the top row of [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1). The *descent set* of a set composition $A = A_1 A_2 \cdots A_m \in \mathsf{SetComp}_n$ is

Des(*A*) := {*i* ∈ [*n* − 1] : *i* + 1 ∈ *A_{<i>j*}</sub> and *i* ∈ *A_{<i>k*}</sub> for any indices *j* < *k*}*.*

One has $Des(A) = Des(A^*).$

DEFINITION 3.10. *For a composition* $\alpha \models n$ *, define*

$$
R_{\alpha}^{(\beta)} := \sum_{\text{Des}(A) = I(\alpha)} [A]_{\mathfrak{M}}^{(\beta)} \in \mathfrak{MMR}
$$

where the sum is over big multipermutations $A \in S_n^{\mathfrak{M}}$ *. These sums are linearly independent, and we define* MNSym *to be the free* Z[*β*]*-submodule of* MMR *with* $\{R_{\alpha}^{(\beta)} : \alpha \text{ is a composition}\}\$ as a basis.

Recall that we have a form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathfrak{M} \mathsf{M} \mathsf{R} \times \mathfrak{m} \mathsf{M} \mathsf{R} \to \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ from Theorem [3.6.](#page-9-1) Evidently if α is a composition and $w \in S_{\infty}^{\mathfrak{m}}$ has $\gamma = \alpha_{\text{des}}(w)$ then $\langle R_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}, [w]_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(\beta)} \rangle = \delta_{\alpha\gamma}$. We reuse the symbol $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to denote the bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathfrak{MNSym} \times \mathfrak{mQSym} \to \mathbb{Z}[\beta],$ continuous in the second coordinate, with $\langle R_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}, L_{\gamma}^{(\beta)} \rangle = \delta_{\alpha\gamma}$ for all α and γ . The following is equivalent to [\[24,](#page-33-2) Thm. 8.4]:

Theorem 3.11 ([\[24\]](#page-33-2)). *The module* MNSym *is a Hopf subalgebra of* MMR*. This subalgebra is the Hopf algebra dual to* $mQSym$ *via* $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ *, and the map* $mMR \rightarrow mQSym$ $with [w]_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(\beta)} \mapsto L_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{m}}}^{(\beta)}$ $\alpha_{\text{des}}^{(\beta)}(w)$ for all $w \in S_{\infty}^{\mathfrak{m}}$ from Theorem [3.9](#page-10-0) *is the morphism adjoint to* the $inclusion$ \mathfrak{M} Sym \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M} MR.

The elements $R_n^{(\beta)} := R_{(n)}^{(\beta)}$ $\binom{\beta}{n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ freely generate MNSym as an algebra [\[24,](#page-33-2) Prop. 8.3], and one can view MNSym as a graded connected Hopf algebra in which $R_n^{(\beta)}$ has degree *n*. In fact, MNSym is isomorphic to the usual *noncommutative symmetric functions* NSym, just defined with scalar ring Z[*β*], by [\[24,](#page-33-2) Prop. 8.5].

3.5. SYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS. A *symmetric function* in $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]\llbracket x_1, x_2, \ldots \rrbracket$ is a power series that is invariant under permutations of the x_i variables. The first column of [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1) contains these familiar power series:

Definition 3.12. *Define* MSym *to be the Hopf subalgebra of symmetric functions of bounded degree in* QSym*. Let* mSym *be the LC-Hopf subalgebra of all symmetric functions in* mQSym*.*

Let $\{s_{\lambda}\}\$ denote the basis of Schur functions for \mathfrak{M} Sym, indexed by partitions λ . It is well-known that MSym and mSym are dual Hopf algebras with respect to the nondegenerate bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$: \mathfrak{M} Sym \times mSym $\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$, continuous in the second coordinate, that has $\langle s_{\lambda}, s_{\mu} \rangle = \delta_{\lambda \mu}$.

Lam and Pylyavskyy [\[24,](#page-33-2) Thm. 9.15] show that $mSym$ and $MSym$ have another pair of dual bases given respectively by the *stable Grothendieck polynomials* ${G_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}}$ *λ* } and the *dual stable Grothendieck polynomials* $\{g_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}\}$ $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ }, which satisfy $\langle g_{\lambda}^{(\beta)} \rangle$ $\langle A \rangle^{\left(\beta\right)} , G_{\mu}^{\left(\beta\right)} \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu} .$ These "polynomials" are symmetric generating functions for *semistandard set-valued tableaux* and *reverse plane partitions* of shape λ , respectively.

Since in this article we will never need to work with $G_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ $\chi_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ and $g_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ $\lambda^{(\rho)}$ directly, we omit their definitions. If one does require precise definitions that follow our notational conventions, one should adopt the formulas in [\[44,](#page-34-2) Thm. 4.6] with β replaced by $-\beta$.

THEOREM 3.13. *The map* \mathfrak{M} NSym \rightarrow \mathfrak{M} Sym *adjoint to the inclusion* \mathfrak{m} Sym \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} QSym *relative to the forms* $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ *is the algebra morphism with* $R_n^{(\beta)} \mapsto g_n^{(\beta)} := g_{(n)}^{(\beta)}$ (*n*) *for all* $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ *.*

Proof. The elements $R_n^{(\beta)}$ freely generate MNSym [\[24,](#page-33-2) Prop. 8.3], so there is a unique algebra morphism \mathfrak{M} NSym \rightarrow \mathfrak{M} Sym with $R_n^{(\beta)} \mapsto g_n^{(\beta)}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}.$ To show that this is the adjoint to $mSym \hookrightarrow mQSym$, it suffices to check that $\langle R_n^{(\beta)}, G_{\lambda}^{(\beta)} \rangle = \delta_{(n),\lambda}$ for all partitions λ , as we already know this is the value of $\langle g_n^{(\beta)}, G_\lambda^{(\beta)} \rangle$. As we have $\langle R_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}, L_{\gamma}^{(\beta)} \rangle = \delta_{\alpha\gamma}$, the desired identity can be deduced from [\[8,](#page-32-3) Eq. (3.10)], which gives the expansion of $G_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ $\chi_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ into $L_{\gamma}^{(\beta)}$ \bigcirc 's. □

[\[24,](#page-33-2) Thm. 9.13] computes the image of $R_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ under the adjoint map \mathfrak{M} NSym \rightarrow MSym; this turns out to be a dual stable Grothendieck polynomial indexed by a specific skew ribbon shape.

4. Shifted *K*-theoretic Hopf algebras

We now turn to the shifted analogues of the diagram (1.2) provided in (1.5) . We start by describing two shifted analogues of mQSym in Section [4.1.](#page-11-1) In Section [4.2](#page-15-0) we investigate the duals of these LC-Hopf algebras, which provide *K*-theoretic analogues of the *peak algebra* studied in [\[37,](#page-33-4) [41\]](#page-34-1). Sections [4.3](#page-20-0) and [4.4](#page-23-0) give an overview of the four (LC-)Hopf algebras of symmetric functions on the left sides of the two diagrams in.[\(1.5\)](#page-3-0). In Sections [4.5](#page-27-0) we derive several antipode formulas, and then in Section [4.6](#page-30-0) we conclude with a survey of open problems.

4.1. Multipeak quasisymmetric functions. Our first task is to define the shifted analogues of mQSym, which are displayed as $m\pi$ Sym and $m\pi$ Sym in [\(1.5\)](#page-3-0). This material is partly review from [\[8\]](#page-32-3).

For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $i' := i - \frac{1}{2}$ so that $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} = \{\cdots < 0' < 0 < 1' < 1 < \dots\}$. Define Set($\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$) to be the set of finite, nonempty subsets of $\{1' < 1 < 2' < 2 < \dots\}$ *.* We again write $S \prec T$ if $\max(S) < \min(T)$ and $S \preceq T$ if $\max(S) \leq \min(T)$ for $S, T \in \text{Set}(\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{>0})$. Let $x^S := \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j \in S_i} x_{\lceil j \rceil}$ and $|S| := \sum_{i=1}^N |S_i|$ for any sequence $S = (S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_N)$ with $S_i \in \mathsf{Set}(\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{>0})$. A *peak composition* is a composition *α* with $\alpha_i \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell(\alpha)$. Recall that $I(\alpha) = {\alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \dots} \setminus {\{\alpha\}}$.

DEFINITION 4.1. *Suppose* $\alpha \models N$ *is a peak composition. Define*

$$
K_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}:=\sum_{S}\beta^{|S|-N}x^{S}
$$

where the sum is over N *-tuples* $S = (S_1 \preceq \cdots \preceq S_N)$ *of sets* $S_i \in \text{Set}(\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{>0})$ *with*

(4.1)
$$
S_i \cap S_{i+1} \subset \{1', 2', 3', \dots\} \quad \text{if } i \in I(\alpha) \text{ and} S_i \cap S_{i+1} \subset \{1, 2, 3, \dots\} \quad \text{if } i \notin I(\alpha).
$$

Define

$$
\bar{K}_\alpha^{(\beta)}:=\sum_S \beta^{|S|-N}x^S
$$

where the sum is over the subset of such N-tuples S also satisfying

(4.2)
$$
S_{i+1} \subset \{1, 2, 3, \dots\} \quad \text{if } i \in \{0\} \sqcup I(\alpha).
$$

Let mΠSym *and* mΠSym, respectively, be the LC- $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ -modules with $\{K_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}\}$ and $\{\bar K_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}\}$ *(where* α *ranges over all peak compositions) as respective pseudobases.*

The power series $K_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ and $\bar{K}_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ were introduced in [\[8\]](#page-32-3) in the context of an "enriched" theory of *set-valued P*-partitions. Setting $\beta = 0$ transforms $K_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ and $\bar{K}_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ to the *peak quasisymmetric functions* defined in [\[42,](#page-34-0) §3], and this implies that $\{K_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}\}$ and $\{K_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}\}$ are linearly independent. However, $K_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ and $\bar{K}_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ are typically not linear combinations (even using rational coefficients and infinitely many terms) of the functions $K_{\alpha} := K_{\alpha}^{(0)}$ and $\bar{K}_{\alpha} := \bar{K}_{\alpha}^{(0)}$ from [\[42,](#page-34-0) §3].

Both mΠSym and mΠSym are LC-Hopf subalgebras of mQSym, and if mΠSym_{O[β]} is the LC-Hopf algebra defined over $\mathbb{Q}[\beta]$ with $\{K_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}\}$ as a pseudobasis, then we have ^mΠ¯Sym ⁼ ^mΠSym^Q[*β*] [∩] ^mQSym [⊋] ^mΠSym [\[8,](#page-32-3) Thm. 4.19]. More concretely, one has

$$
(4.3) \qquad K_{\alpha}^{(\beta)} = \sum_{\delta \in \{0,1\}^{\ell}} 2^{\ell - |\delta|} \beta^{|\delta|} \bar{K}_{\alpha+\delta}^{(\beta)} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{K}_{\alpha}^{(\beta)} = \sum_{\delta \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{\ell}} 2^{-\ell - |\delta|} (-\beta)^{|\delta|} K_{\alpha+\delta}^{(\beta)}
$$

for any peak composition α with $\ell = \ell(\alpha)$ parts, where $|\delta| := \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \delta_i$ [\[8,](#page-32-3) Cor. 4.17]. When $\beta = 0$, the Hopf algebras mISym and mISym reduce to (the completions of) the ones denoted Π and Π in [\[6,](#page-32-5) [42\]](#page-34-0), which have been further studied in a number of places (see e.g. [\[7,](#page-32-6) [19,](#page-33-12) [20,](#page-33-13) [27,](#page-33-14) [40\]](#page-33-15)).

Recall the definition of ζ _< : mWQSym \rightarrow Z[β][t] and write ζ _> : mWQSym \rightarrow $\mathbb{Z}[\beta][t]$ for the continuous linear map whose value at $w = w_1 \cdots w_n \in$ PackedWords is

(4.4)
$$
\zeta_{>}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{n}) := \zeta_{<}(w_{n}\cdots w_{2}w_{1}) = \begin{cases} t^{n} & \text{if } w_{1} > w_{2} > \cdots > w_{m} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

This is an algebra morphism with ζ _>([w] $_m^{(\beta)}$) = ζ _>(w) for $w \in S_{\infty}^m$. By Theo-rem [2.8](#page-6-0) there is a unique morphism of combinatorial LC-Hopf algebras ($\mathfrak{m}MR,\zeta$) \rightarrow (mQSym*, ζ*Q). Although this map is different from the one in Theorem [3.9,](#page-10-0) it also sends $\{ [w]_m^{(\beta)} : w \in S_{\infty}^m \}$ to the pseudobasis of multifundamental quasisymmetric functions $\{L_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}\}$; see the proof of [\[8,](#page-32-3) Prop. 6.3].

To construct something new, we consider the *convolution* of the maps *ζ>* and *ζ<* defined by the formula $\zeta_{>1}< \cdots = \nabla_{\mathbb{Z}[\beta]} \circ (\zeta_{> \hat{\otimes} \zeta_{< \hat{\otimes} \zeta_{< \hat{\beta}}} \circ \Delta : \mathfrak{m}W\mathsf{Q}\mathsf{Sym} \to \mathbb{Z}[[t]]$. This is a continuous algebra morphism $mWQSym \to \mathbb{Z}[[t]]$. If $w = w_1w_2 \cdots w_n \in \mathbb{P}$ ackedWords then

(4.5)
$$
\zeta_{>|<}(w) = \begin{cases} 2t^n & \text{if } w_1 > \dots > w_i < \dots < w_n \text{ for some } i \in [n] \\ t^n & \text{if } w_1 > \dots > w_i = w_{i+1} < \dots < w_n \text{ for some } i \in [n-1] \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

It follows that if $w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n \in S^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\infty}$ then

$$
(4.6) \quad \zeta_{<}([w]_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(\beta)}) = \begin{cases} t^n(2+\beta t) & \text{if } w_1 > \dots > w_i < \dots < w_n \text{ for some } i \in [n] \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

For any composition $\alpha \models n$, let $\Lambda(\alpha)$ be the unique peak composition of *n* satisfying $I(\Lambda(\alpha)) = \{i \in I(\alpha) : 0 < i - 1 \notin I(\alpha)\}\.$ For example, one has

$$
\Lambda((1,2,1,1,1,3,1)) = (3,6,1).
$$

Then define $\Theta^{(\beta)}$: $\mathfrak{m}QSym \to \mathfrak{m} \Pi Sym$ to be the continuous linear map with

(4.7)
$$
\Theta^{(\beta)}(L_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}) = K_{\Lambda(\alpha)}^{(\beta)} \text{ for all compositions } \alpha.
$$

By [\[8,](#page-32-3) Cor. 4.22], this map is a surjective morphism of LC-Hopf algebras.

The *peak set* of a word $w = w_1w_2 \cdots w_n$ is

$$
\text{Peak}(w) := \{1 < i < n : w_{i-1} < w_i > w_{i+1}\}.
$$

Let $\alpha_{\text{peak}}(w)$ be the unique peak composition $\alpha \models \ell(w)$ with $I(\alpha) = \text{Peak}(w)$. If $w \in S^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\infty}$ then

$$
(4.8)\quad \text{Peak}(w)=\{i\in \text{Des}(w): 0
$$

and we have $\zeta_{>|<}(w_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(\beta)})\neq 0$ if and only if Peak $(w)=\varnothing$, in which case $\ell(\alpha_{\mathsf{peak}}(w))\leqslant$ 1. The multipeak quasisymmetric functions are motivated algebraically by this analogue of Theorem [3.9:](#page-10-0)

THEOREM 4.2. *The continuous linear map with* $[w]_m^{(\beta)} \mapsto K_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ $\int_{\alpha_{\text{peak}}(w)}^{\alpha_{\text{peak}}(w)}$ *for* $w \in S^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\infty}$ *is the unique morphism of combinatorial LC-Hopf algebras* $(mMR, \zeta_{>|\langle}) \rightarrow (mQSym, \zeta_Q)$.

Proof. If $w \in S^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\infty}$ then $\alpha_{\text{peak}}(w) = \Lambda(\alpha_{\text{des}}(w))$ since

 $I(\Lambda(\alpha_{\text{des}}(w))) = \{i \in I(\alpha_{\text{des}}(w)) : 0 < i - 1 \notin I(\alpha_{\text{des}}(w))\}$ $= \{i \in \text{Des}(w) : 0 < i - 1 \notin \text{Des}(w)\} = \text{Peak}(w).$

Our map Ψ : mMR \rightarrow mQSym is thus the composition of Φ : (mMR, ζ) \rightarrow $(\mathfrak{m} \mathsf{QSym}, \zeta_{\mathsf{Q}})$ from Theorem [3.9](#page-10-0) and $\Theta^{(\beta)}$: $\mathfrak{m} \mathsf{QSym} \to \mathfrak{m} \Pi \mathsf{Sym}$, so Ψ is at least a morphism of LC-Hopf algebras.

It remains to check that $\zeta_{>|\langle} = \zeta_{\mathsf{Q}} \circ \Psi$. For this, it suffices to show that if $\alpha \models N$ is a peak composition then $\zeta_{\mathsf{Q}}(K_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}) = t^{|\alpha|}(2 + \beta t)$ if $I(\alpha) = \varnothing$ and $\zeta_{\mathsf{Q}}(K_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}) = 0$ otherwise. Recall that $\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}}$ corresponds to setting $x_1 = t$ and $x_i = 0$ for $i > 1$. Thus $\zeta_{\mathsf{Q}}(K_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}) = \sum_{S} \beta^{|S|-N} t^{|S|}$ where the sum is over all weakly increasing *N*-tuples of sets $S = (S_1 \leq S_2 \leq \cdots \leq S_N)$ with $\emptyset \neq S_i \subseteq \{1' < 1\}$, $S_i \cap S_{i+1} \subseteq \{1'\}$ for $i \in I(\alpha)$, and $S_i \cap S_{i+1} \subseteq \{1\}$ for $i \notin I(\alpha)$.

If $I(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$, then $\alpha_1 \geq 2$ since α is a peak composition, so there are no such tuples *S* since we must have $S_{i-1} \cap S_i \subset \{1\}$ and $S_i \cap S_{i+1} \subset \{1\}$ for $i \in I(\alpha)$. Thus $\zeta_{\bf Q}(K_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}) = 0$ if $I(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$ as claimed. On the other hand, if $I(\alpha) = \emptyset$, then we have $S_i \cap S_{i+1} \subseteq \{1\}$ for all $i \in [N-1]$, so there are only three possibilities for *S*, given by $({1}, {1}, {1}, \ldots, {1})$, $({1}', {1}, {1}, \ldots, {1})$, and $({1}', {1}, {1}, \ldots, {1})$, so we have $\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}}(K_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}) = t^{|\alpha|}(2 + \beta t)$ as needed. \square

At this point it is useful to describe the product and coproduct in mMR more explicitly. Recall the definition of $\leq_{\mathfrak{m}}$ from Section [3.1.](#page-7-1) Given a word *w* and a set *S*, define $w \cap S$ to be the subword of *w* formed by omitting all letters not in *S*. Then, as explained in [\[24,](#page-33-2) §4], one has

$$
(4.9) \ \nabla([w']^{{(\beta)}}_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes [w'']^{{(\beta)}}_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \sum_{w} \beta^{\ell(w) - \ell(w') - \ell(w'')} [w]^{{(\beta)}}_{\mathfrak{m}} \ \ \text{ for } w' \in S_{m}^{\mathfrak{m}} \text{ and } w'' \in S_{n}^{\mathfrak{m}},
$$

where the sum is over all $w \in S_{m+n}^{\mathfrak{m}}$ such that $w' \leq_{\mathfrak{m}} w \cap [m]$ and $w'' \uparrow m \leq_{\mathfrak{m}} w$ $w \cap (m + [n])$. If we fix $w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n \in S^m_\infty$ and define $[[v]]_m^{(\beta)} := [\mathfrak{st}(v)]_m^{(\beta)}$ for an arbitrary word *v*, then

(4.10)
\n
$$
\Delta([w]_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(\beta)}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} [w_1 \cdots w_i]_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(\beta)} \otimes [w_{i+1} \cdots w_n]_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(\beta)}
$$
\n
$$
+ \beta \sum_{i=1}^{n} [w_1 \cdots w_i]_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(\beta)} \otimes [w_i \cdots w_n]_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(\beta)}.
$$

These formulas follow directly from the definitions of $mWQSym$ and $[w]_m^{(\beta)}$. Using Theorem [3.6,](#page-9-1) one can translate these identities by duality to product and coproduct formulas for MMR; see [\[24,](#page-33-2) §7.1]. On the other hand, invoking Theorem [4.2](#page-13-0) leads to the following formulas for mΠSym:

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Suppose* α' *and* α'' *are peak compositions. Choose any* $w', w'' \in S_{\infty}^{\mathfrak{m}}$ *with* $\alpha_{\text{peak}}(w') = \alpha'$ *and* $\alpha_{\text{peak}}(w'') = \alpha''$, *and set* $m = \max({0} \cup w')$ *and* $n =$ $\max({0} \cup w'')$ *. Then*

$$
K_{\alpha'}^{(\beta)}K_{\alpha''}^{(\beta)}=\sum_w \beta^{\ell(w)-|\alpha'|-|\alpha''|}K_{\alpha_{\rm peak}(w)}^{(\beta)}
$$

where the sum is over all $w \in S_{m+n}^{\mathfrak{m}}$ *with* $w' \leq_{\mathfrak{m}} w \cap [m]$ *and* $w'' \uparrow m \leq_{\mathfrak{m}} w \cap (m+[n])$ *. If* α *is a peak composition and* $w = w_1w_2 \cdots w_\ell \in S_{\infty}^m$ *has* $\alpha_{\text{peak}}(w) = \alpha$ *, then*

$$
\Delta(K_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} K_{\alpha_{\text{peak}}(w_1\cdots w_i)}^{(\beta)} \otimes K_{\alpha_{\text{peak}}(w_{i+1}\cdots w_{\ell})}^{(\beta)} + \beta \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} K_{\alpha_{\text{peak}}(w_1\cdots w_i)}^{(\beta)} \otimes K_{\alpha_{\text{peak}}(w_i\cdots w_{\ell})}^{(\beta)}.
$$

Proof. Apply the morphism in Theorem [4.2](#page-13-0) to both sides of (4.9) and (4.10) . \Box

In principle one can also compute products and coproducts in the pseudobasis $\{K_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}\}$ by combining the formulas in Proposition [4.3](#page-14-2) with the change-of-basis identities in (4.3) .

EXAMPLE 4.4. If $\alpha' = \alpha'' = (1)$ then $K_{\alpha'}^{(\beta)} K_{\alpha''}^{(\beta)}$ is the sum $\sum_{w} \beta^{\ell(w)-2} K_{\alpha_{\text{res}}}^{(\beta)}$ ^{·(β)} Over all words $w \in \{12, 21, 121, 212, 1212, 2121, 21212, \ldots\}$, so there is an infinite product expansion

$$
K_{(1)}^{(\beta)}K_{(1)}^{(\beta)} = 2K_{(2)}^{(\beta)} + \beta K_{(2,1)}^{(\beta)} + \beta K_{(3)}^{(\beta)} + \beta^2 K_{(2,2)}^{(\beta)} + \beta^2 K_{(3,1)}^{(\beta)} + \beta^3 K_{(2,2,1)}^{(\beta)} + \cdots
$$

However, there is a finite coproduct expansion

$$
\Delta(K_{(2)}^{(\beta)}) = \Delta(K_{\alpha_{\text{peak}}(12)}^{(\beta)})
$$

= 1 \otimes K_{(2)}^{(\beta)} + K_{(1)}^{(\beta)} \otimes K_{(1)}^{(\beta)} + K_{(2)}^{(\beta)} \otimes 1 + \beta \left(K_{(1)}^{(\beta)} \otimes K_{(2)}^{(\beta)} + K_{(2)}^{(\beta)} \otimes K_{(1)}^{(\beta)} \right).

4.2. Multipeak noncommutative symmetric functions. We now consider the duals of $m\overline{\text{II}}$ Sym and $m\overline{\text{II}}$ Sym. Fix a set composition $A = A_1A_2 \cdots A_m \in \text{SetComp}_n$, so that the union of the blocks of *A* is [*n*]. Recall that $i \in [n-1]$ belongs to Des(*A*) if and only if the block of *A* containing *i* is after the block containing $i + 1$.

The *peak set* of *A* is $\text{Peak}(A) := \{1 \le i \le n : i - 1 \notin \text{Des}(A) \text{ and } i \in \text{Des}(A)\}\$. If *A* belongs to $S_n^{\mathfrak{M}}$ (so that none of its blocks contain consecutive integers) then one has $i \in \text{Peak}(A)$ precisely when $1 \leq i \leq n$ and the block of A containing i is after the blocks containing $i - 1$ and $i + 1$. Even when $A \notin S_m^{\mathfrak{M}}$, the set Peak (A) is always equal to $I(\alpha)$ for some peak composition $\alpha \models n$.

DEFINITION 4.5. *For a peak composition* $\alpha \models n$, *let*

$$
\pi p_{\alpha}^{(\beta)} := \sum_{\substack{A \in S_n^{\mathfrak{M}} \\ \text{Peak}(A) = I(\alpha)}} [A]_{\mathfrak{M}}^{(\beta)} \in \mathfrak{MMR}.
$$

Define \mathfrak{M} Peak*p to be the free* $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ -module with $\left\{ \pi p_{\alpha}^{(\beta)} : \alpha \text{ is a peak composition} \right\}$ as *a basis.*

It also holds that $\pi p_{\alpha}^{(\beta)} = \sum_{\Lambda(\gamma)=\alpha} R_{\gamma}^{(\beta)}$ where the sum is over $\gamma \models |\alpha|$, so $\mathfrak{M}\mathsf{Peak}_P\subseteq \mathfrak{M}\mathsf{NS}\mathsf{y}$ m. Define $[\cdot,\cdot] : \mathfrak{M}\mathsf{Peak}_P \times \mathfrak{m}\Pi\mathsf{Sym} \to \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ to be the nondegenerate bilinear form, continuous in the second coordinate, that has $[\pi p_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}, K_{\gamma}^{(\beta)}] = \delta_{\alpha\gamma}$ for all peak compositions α and γ . Below, let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathfrak{M}$ NSym \times mQSym $\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ be as in Theorem [3.11](#page-10-1) and recall the definition of $\Theta^{(\beta)}$ from [\(4.7\)](#page-13-1).

LEMMA 4.6. *If* $f \in \mathfrak{M}\mathsf{Peak}_P$ *and* $g \in \mathfrak{m}\mathsf{Q}\mathsf{Sym}$ *then* $[f, \Theta^{(\beta)}(g)] = \langle f, g \rangle$ *.*

Proof. We may assume that $f = \pi p_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ and $g = L_{\gamma}^{(\beta)}$ for a peak composition α and a composition γ . Then the desired identity is clear by comparing the definitions of $\Theta^{(\beta)}$ and $\pi p_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ α . \square

Theorem 4.7. *The module* MPeak*^P is a Hopf subalgebra of* MNSym *and is the Hopf algebra dual to* mΠSym *via* [·*,* ·]*. The continuous linear map* mMR → mΠSym *from Theorem* [4.2](#page-13-0) *sending* $[w]_m^{(\beta)} \mapsto K_{\alpha_m}^{(\beta)}$ $\alpha_{\text{peak}}^{(\beta)}(w)$ *for all* $w \in S^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\infty}$ *is the morphism adjoint to the inclusion* $\mathfrak{M}\mathsf{Peak}_P \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}\mathsf{MR}$ *.*

Proof. Relative to the form in Theorem [3.6,](#page-9-1) the set \mathfrak{M} Peak_{*P*} is the orthogonal complement of the kernel of the LC-Hopf algebra morphism $mMR \rightarrow mIISvm$ described in Theorem [4.2.](#page-13-0) Therefore MPeak*^P* is a Hopf subalgebra. Lemma [4.6,](#page-15-1) in view of Theorem [3.11,](#page-10-1) implies that the nondegenerate form $[\cdot, \cdot]$ respects the (co)product and (co)unit maps of MPeak*^P* and mΠSym, so MPeak*^P* dual to mΠSym. For the last assertion, we note that if α is a peak composition and $w \in S^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\infty}$ then

$$
\langle \pi p_{\alpha}^{(\beta)},[w]_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(\beta)}\rangle=\langle \pi p_{\alpha}^{(\beta)},L_{\alpha_{\text{des}}(w)}^{(\beta)}\rangle=[\pi p_{\alpha}^{(\beta)},\Theta^{(\beta)}(L_{\alpha_{\text{des}}(w)}^{(\beta)})]=[\pi p_{\alpha}^{(\beta)},K_{\alpha_{\text{peak}}(w)}^{(\beta)}]
$$

by Theorem [3.11](#page-10-1) for the first equality, Lemma [4.6](#page-15-1) for the second, and [\(4.8\)](#page-13-2) for the third. $\hfill \Box$ third. \square

We call MPeak*^P* the *multi-peak algebra*. This is a generalization of the *peak algebra* carefully studied in [\[41\]](#page-34-1) (see also [\[1,](#page-32-7) [3,](#page-32-8) [5,](#page-32-9) [23,](#page-33-16) [37\]](#page-33-4)), which coincides with $\mathfrak{M}\mathsf{Peak}_P$ when $\beta = 0$.

We can compute a product formula for the $\pi p_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ -basis of \mathfrak{M} Peak_{*P*}. Suppose α and *γ* are nonempty peak compositions of length *m* and *n*. Define $\alpha \leq \gamma := \alpha \gamma$ and

$$
\alpha \triangleright \gamma := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}, \alpha_m + \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n),
$$

\n
$$
\alpha \circ \gamma := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}, \alpha_m + \gamma_1 - 1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n).
$$

Additionally let

(4.11)
\n
$$
\alpha \triangleright \gamma := \alpha \triangleright (1, \gamma_1 - 1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n)
$$
\n
$$
= (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}, \alpha_m + 1, \gamma_1 - 1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n),
$$
\n
$$
\alpha \bullet \gamma := \alpha \circ (1, \gamma_1 - 1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n)
$$
\n
$$
= (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, \gamma_1 - 1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n).
$$

If $n = 1$ then $\alpha \triangleright \gamma$ and $\alpha \triangleright \gamma$ could be integer sequences ending in zero, which we do not consider to be peak compositions. We define $\pi p_{\alpha}^{(\beta)} := 0$ if α is not a peak composition. The following result is a shifted analogue of the product formula [\[24,](#page-33-2) Prop. 8.1] for the $R_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ -basis of \mathfrak{M} NSym.

PROPOSITION 4.8. *Suppose* α *and* γ *are nonempty peak compositions. Then*

$$
\pi p_{\alpha}^{(\beta)} \pi p_{\gamma}^{(\beta)} = \pi p_{\alpha \blacktriangleright \gamma}^{(\beta)} + \pi p_{\alpha \triangleright \gamma}^{(\beta)} + \pi p_{\alpha \triangle \gamma}^{(\beta)} + \beta \cdot \pi p_{\alpha \circ \gamma}^{(\beta)} + \beta \cdot \pi p_{\alpha \bullet \gamma}^{(\beta)}.
$$

Proof. Choose a word $w = w_1w_2 \cdots w_n \in S^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\infty}$. By Proposition [4.3](#page-14-2) and Theorem [4.7](#page-15-2) $\text{the expression } [\pi p_{\alpha}^{(\beta)} \pi p_{\gamma}^{(\beta)}, K_{\alpha_{\text{peak}}(w)}^{(\beta)}] = [\pi p_{\alpha}^{(\beta)} \otimes \pi p_{\gamma}^{(\beta)}, \Delta(K_{\alpha_{\text{peis}}(\beta)}^{(\beta)})]$ $\binom{P}{\alpha_{\mathsf{peak}}(w)}$ expands to

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n} \delta_{\alpha,\alpha_{\text{peak}}(w_1\cdots w_i)} \delta_{\gamma,\alpha_{\text{peak}}(w_{i+1}\cdots w_n)} + \beta \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{\alpha,\alpha_{\text{peak}}(w_1\cdots w_i)} \delta_{\gamma,\alpha_{\text{peak}}(w_i\cdots w_n)}.
$$

Now observe that one can have $\alpha = \alpha_{\text{peak}}(w_1 \cdots w_i)$ and $\gamma = \alpha_{\text{peak}}(w_{i+1} \cdots w_n)$ precisely when either $i \in \text{Peak}(w)$ and $\alpha_{\text{peak}}(w) = \alpha \leq \gamma$, $i + 1 \in \text{Peak}(w)$ and $\alpha_{\text{peak}}(w) = \alpha \triangleright \gamma$, or $\{i, i+1\} \cap \text{Peak}(w) = \varnothing$ and $\alpha_{\text{peak}}(w) = \alpha \triangleright \gamma$. Similarly, one can have $\alpha = \alpha_{\text{peak}}(w_1 \cdots w_i)$ and $\gamma = \alpha_{\text{peak}}(w_i \cdots w_n)$ precisely when $i \in \text{Peak}(w)$ and $\alpha_{\text{peak}}(w) = \alpha \bullet \gamma$ or when $i \notin \text{Peak}(w)$ and $\alpha_{\text{peak}}(w) = \alpha \circ \gamma$.

Example 4.9. Here are two examples of Proposition [4.8.](#page-16-0) All five terms appear in

$$
\pi p_{3252}^{(\beta)} \pi p_{42}^{(\beta)} = \pi p_{325332}^{(\beta)} + \pi p_{32562}^{(\beta)} + \pi p_{325242}^{(\beta)} + \beta \cdot \pi p_{32552}^{(\beta)} + \beta \cdot \pi p_{325232}^{(\beta)}.
$$

On the other hand, only three survive in

$$
\pi p_{3251}^{(\beta)} \pi p_{42}^{(\beta)} = \pi p_{325232}^{(\beta)} + \pi p_{32552}^{(\beta)} + \pi p_{325142}^{(\beta)} + \beta \cdot \pi p_{32542}^{(\beta)} + \beta \cdot \pi p_{325132}^{(\beta)}
$$

= $\pi p_{325232}^{(\beta)} + \pi p_{32552}^{(\beta)} + \beta \cdot \pi p_{32542}^{(\beta)}.$

To save space here, we have removed the parentheses and commas from all subscripts $``(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_l)"$ and rewritten these as $``\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_l"$.

Below, we abbreviate by writing $\pi p_n^{(\beta)} := \pi p_{(n)}^{(\beta)}$ $\binom{\beta}{n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and set $\pi p_0^{(\beta)} := 1$.

LEMMA 4.10. *If n is a positive integer and* $\delta_{[n]} := |\{n\} \cap \{2, 4, 6, \dots\}|$ *then*

$$
\pi p_1^{(\beta)} \pi p_{n-1}^{(\beta)} \in \delta_{[n]} \cdot \pi p_n^{(\beta)} + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} (-1)^i \pi p_i^{(\beta)} \pi p_{n-i}^{(\beta)} + \mathbb{Z}[\beta]\text{-span}\left\{\pi p_{\alpha}^{(\beta)} : |\alpha| < n\right\}.
$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ -span $\{\pi p_{\alpha}^{(\beta)} : |\alpha| < n\}$. Proposition [4.8](#page-16-0) implies $\pi p_1^{(\beta)} \pi p_{n-1}^{(\beta)} + \mathcal{I} =$ $\pi p_n^{(\beta)} + \pi p_{(2,n-2)}^{(\beta)} + \mathcal{I}$ for $n > 1$, where $\pi p_{(n,0)}^{(\beta)} := 0$. Likewise, if $2 \leqslant i < n$ then $\pi p_{(i,n-i)}^{(\beta)} + \mathcal{I} = \pi p_i^{(\beta)} \pi p_{n-i}^{(\beta)} - \pi p_n^{(\beta)} - \pi p_{(i+1,n-i-1)}^{(\beta)} + \mathcal{I}$. We obtain the lemma by $successively$ expanding the right hand side of the first identity using the second.

PROPOSITION 4.11. *The set* $\left\{ \pi p_n^{(\beta)} : n = 1, 3, 5, \dots \right\}$ *freely generates* \mathfrak{M} Peak*p as a* Z[*β*]*-algebra.*

Proof. Suppose $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m)$ is a composition and let

$$
\Xi_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}:=\pi p_{\alpha_1}^{(\beta)}\pi p_{\alpha_2}^{(\beta)}\cdots\pi p_{\alpha_m}^{(\beta)}
$$

.

We say that α is *odd* if α_i is an odd integer for each $i \in [m]$. It suffices to show that the elements $\Xi_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ form a $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ -basis for \mathfrak{M} Peak_{*P*} when α ranges over all odd compositions.

First let \lt_{revlex} be the partial order on compositions with $\gamma \lt_{\text{revlex}} \alpha$ if $|\gamma| \lt |\alpha|$ or if $|\gamma| = |\alpha|$ and γ exceeds α in lexicographic order. It follows from Proposition [4.8](#page-16-0) that if α is any peak composition then $\Xi_{\alpha}^{(\beta)} \in \pi p_{\alpha}^{(\beta)} + \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ -span $\left\{ \pi p_{\gamma}^{(\beta)} : \gamma <_{\text{revlex}} \alpha \right\}$. Thus the elements $\Xi_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ form a basis for \mathfrak{M} Peak_{*P*} at least when α ranges over all peak compositions.

If α is a peak composition then let $\text{odd}(\alpha)$ be the odd composition formed by replacing each even part α_i by two consecutive parts $(1, \alpha_i - 1)$. For example, $\text{odd}((3,6,3,4,2)) = (3,1,5,3,1,3,1,1).$ Let \lt_{lex} be the partial order on compositions with $\gamma <_{\text{lex}} \alpha$ if $|\gamma| < |\alpha|$ or if $|\gamma| = |\alpha|$ and γ precedes α lexicographically. It follows by induction on $\ell(\alpha)$ using Lemma [4.10](#page-16-1) that $\Xi_{\text{odd}}^{(\beta)}$ $\left\{\begin{matrix}\n\beta \\
\alpha\n\end{matrix}\right\} \in \Xi_{\alpha}^{(\beta)} + \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ -span $\left\{\Xi_{\gamma}^{(\beta)} : \gamma \leq_{\text{lex}} \alpha\right\}.$ Since odd is a bijection from peak compositions to odd compositions, we deduce that $\{\Xi_{\alpha}^{(\beta)} : \alpha \text{ is an odd composition}\}\$ is another $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ -basis for \mathfrak{M} Peak_{*P*} as desired. □

To describe the dual of $m\overline{\Pi}$ Sym we need a variant of $S_n^{\mathfrak{M}}$. Define $\bar{S}_n^{\mathfrak{M}}$ to consist of the set compositions $A = A_1 A_2 \cdots A_m \in \mathsf{SetComp}_n$ such that if $\{i, i+1\} \subseteq A_j$ for $i \in [n-1]$ and $j \in [m]$ then the union $A_1 \sqcup A_2 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup A_j$ contains neither $i-1$ nor $i+2$. Then $S_n^{\mathfrak{M}} \subseteq \overline{S}_n^{\mathfrak{M}}$, and for $A \in \overline{S}_n^{\mathfrak{M}}$ it still holds that $i \in \text{Peak}(A)$ if and only if 1 *< i < n* and *i* appears in a block of *A* after the blocks containing $i-1$ and $i+1$. For example, the elements of $\bar{S}_4^{\mathfrak{M}} - S_4^{\mathfrak{M}}$ with peak set $\{3\}$ are $\{1, 2, 4\}\{3\}$, $\{1, 2\}\{4\}\{3\}$, and $\{4\}\{1, 2\}\{3\}$. For any $A \in \mathsf{SetComp}_n$ let $o(A) := |\{i \in [n-1]: \{i, i+1\} \text{ is a subset of some block of } A\}|.$

DEFINITION 4.12. *For a peak composition* $\alpha \models n$ *let*

$$
\pi q_{\alpha}^{(\beta)} := \sum_{\substack{A \in \bar{S}_n^{\mathfrak{M}} \\ \text{Peak}(A) = I(\alpha)}} 2^{\ell(\alpha) - o(A)} [A]_{\mathfrak{M}}^{(\beta)} \in \mathfrak{MMR}.
$$

Let $\mathfrak{M}\text{Peak}_Q$ *be the free* $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ -module with basis $\left\{\pi q_\alpha^{(\beta)} : \alpha \text{ is a peak composition}\right\}$.

For example, if $\alpha = (3, 1)$ then we have

$$
\pi q_{(3,1)}^{(\beta)} = 4 \cot \pi p_{(3,1)}^{(\beta)} + 2[\{1,2,4\}\{3\}]_{\mathfrak{M}}^{(\beta)} + 2[\{1,2\}\{4\}\{3\}]_{\mathfrak{M}}^{(\beta)} + 2[\{4\}\{1,2\}\{3\}]_{\mathfrak{M}}^{(\beta)}
$$

= $4\pi p_{(3,1)}^{(\beta)} + 2\beta[\{1,3\}\{2\}]_{\mathfrak{M}}^{(\beta)} + 2\beta[\{1\}\{3\}\{2\}]_{\mathfrak{M}}^{(\beta)} + 2\beta[\{3\}\{1\}\{2\}]_{\mathfrak{M}}^{(\beta)}$
= $4\pi p_{(3,1)}^{(\beta)} + 2\beta \pi p_{(2,1)}^{(\beta)}$.

The following shows that $\{\pi q_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}\}$ is linearly independent so \mathfrak{M} Peak_Q is well-defined. LEMMA 4.13. *If* α *is a peak composition with* $\ell = \ell(\alpha)$ *then*

$$
\pi q_\alpha^{(\beta)}=\sum_{\delta\in\{0,1\}^\ell}2^{\ell-|\delta|}\beta^{|\delta|}\pi p_{\alpha-\delta}^{(\beta)}\quad and \quad \pi p_\alpha^{(\beta)}=\sum_{\delta\in(\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant0})^\ell}2^{-\ell-|\delta|}(-\beta)^{|\delta|}\pi q_{\alpha-\delta}^{(\beta)}
$$

where we set $\pi p_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ $a_{\alpha-\delta}^{(\beta)} := 0$ *and* $\pi q_{\alpha-\beta}^{(\beta)}$ $\alpha^{-\delta}$:= 0 *if* $\alpha - \delta$ *is not a peak composition.*

Proof. The map that sends $A \in \mathsf{SetComp}$ to the unique $B \in S^{\mathfrak{M}}_{\infty}$ with $B \leq_{\mathfrak{M}} A$ is a bijection

(4.12)
$$
\left\{ A \in \bar{S}_{|\alpha|}^{\mathfrak{M}} : \text{Peak}(A) = I(\alpha) \right\} \to \bigsqcup_{\delta} \left\{ B \in S_{|\alpha| - |\delta|}^{\mathfrak{M}} : \text{Peak}(B) = I(\alpha - \delta) \right\}
$$

where the union is over $\delta \in \{0,1\}^{\ell}$ such that $\alpha-\delta$ is a peak composition. To construct the inverse map, define a *valley* of a big multipermutation $B \in S^{\mathfrak{M}}_{\infty}$ to be a number *a* whose block in *B* is not weakly after the blocks containing *a* − 1 or *a* + 1. Each $B \in S^{\mathfrak{M}}_{|\alpha| - |\delta|}$ with $\text{Peak}(B) = I(\alpha - \delta)$ has exactly ℓ valleys $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_\ell$. Form *A* from such *B* by replacing the valley a_i by two numbers $a'_i < a_i$ whenever $\delta_i = 1$ and then standardizing. For example, the valleys of $B = \{1,3\}\{2\}$ are 1 and 3 so if $\delta = (1,0)$ then this inverse map would give

$$
B = \{1,3\}\{2\} \mapsto \{1',1,3\}\{2\} \mapsto \{1,2,4\}\{3\} = A.
$$

The formula for $\pi q_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ follows since if [\(4.12\)](#page-18-0) sends $A \in \bar{S}_{|\alpha|}^{\mathfrak{M}}$ to $B \in S_{|\alpha|-|\delta|}^{\mathfrak{M}}$ then $o(A) = |\delta|$ and $[A]_{\mathfrak{M}}^{(\beta)} = \beta^{|\delta|}[B]_{\mathfrak{M}}^{(\beta)}$. Inverting this identity to get the formula for $\pi p_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ is straightforward. □

THEOREM 4.14. *There is a unique extension of* $[\cdot, \cdot]$ *to a bilinear form* \mathfrak{M} Peak_O \times $\mathfrak{m}\bar{\Pi}$ Sym $\to \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$, continuous in the second coordinate, with $[\pi q_{\alpha}^{(\beta)},\bar{K}_{\gamma}^{(\beta)}]=\delta_{\alpha\gamma}$ for all *α and γ. Therefore* MPeak*^Q is a Hopf subalgebra of* MPeak*^P and is the Hopf algebra* $dual to m\overline{\Pi}$ Sym $via [\cdot, \cdot]$.

Proof. The first claim follows by computing $[\pi q_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}, \bar{K}_{\gamma}^{(\beta)}]$ from the identity

 $[\pi p_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}, K_{\gamma}^{(\beta)}] := \delta_{\alpha\gamma}$

after substituting the formulas in Lemma [4.13](#page-17-0) and [\(4.3\)](#page-12-0) for $\pi q_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ and $\bar{K}_{\gamma}^{(\beta)}$. The second assertion holds as $\mathfrak{M}\text{Peak}_P$ and $\mathfrak{m}\Pi\text{Sym}$ are already dual via $[\cdot,\cdot]$ by Theo-rem [4.7](#page-15-2) and each element of $\mathfrak{m}\overline{\mathrm{I}1}S\gamma\mathfrak{m}$ is a formal $\mathbb{Q}[\beta]$ -linear combination of elements of mIISym by (4.3) .

REMARK. It follows that the morphism $mMR \rightarrow m\overline{I}$ Sym adjoint to the inclusion $\mathfrak{M}\mathsf{Peak}_Q \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}\mathsf{MR}$ has the same formula $[w]_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(\beta)} \mapsto K_{\alpha_{\infty}}^{(\beta)}$ $\alpha_{\text{peak}}^{(\beta)}(w)$ for $w \in S^{\mathfrak{m}}_{\infty}$ as the adjoint map in Theorem [4.7.](#page-15-2)

Define $\alpha * \gamma := (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{m-1}, \alpha_m - 2 + \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_n)$ for nonempty peak compositions α and γ of length *m* and *n*. Below, as usual, we set $\pi q_{\alpha}^{(\beta)} = 0$ if α is not a peak composition.

PROPOSITION 4.15. *Suppose* α *and* γ *are nonempty peak compositions of length m and n. Then*

 $\pi q_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}\pi q_{\gamma}^{(\beta)}=\pi q_{\alpha\blacktriangleright\gamma}^{(\beta)}+2\pi q_{\alpha\triangleright\gamma}^{(\beta)}+\pi q_{\alpha\triangleleft\gamma}^{(\beta)}+(1+r+s)\beta\pi q_{\alpha\circ\gamma}^{(\beta)}+\beta\pi q_{\alpha\blacktriangleright\gamma}^{(\beta)}+rs\beta^2\pi q_{\alpha*\gamma}^{(\beta)}$ *where* $r = 1$ *(respectively,* $s = 1$ *) if the sequence* $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{m-1}, \alpha_m - 1)$ *(respectively, the sequence* $(\gamma_1 - 1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n)$ *is a peak composition*^{[\(1\)](#page-18-1)} *and otherwise* $r = 0$ $(respectively, s = 0).$

Proof. For each $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ define

$$
(\alpha \mid x] := \sum_{\substack{\delta \in \{0,1\}^m \\ \delta_m = 0}} 2^{m-1-|\delta|} \beta^{|\delta|} \pi p_{(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{m-1},x)-\delta}^{(\beta)}
$$

⁽¹⁾This means $r = 1$ if the last part of α is greater than one, and $s = 1$ if $\gamma_1 > 2$ or $\gamma = (2)$.

and

$$
[y \mid \gamma) := \sum_{\substack{\delta \in \{0,1\}^n \\ \delta_1 = 0}} 2^{n-1-|\delta|} \beta^{|\delta|} \pi p_{(y,\gamma_2,\ldots,\gamma_n)-\delta}^{(\beta)}
$$

 α that $\pi q_{\alpha}^{(\beta)} = 2 \cdot (\alpha \mid \alpha_m] + \beta \cdot (\alpha \mid \alpha_m - 1]$ and $\pi q_{\gamma}^{(\beta)} = 2 \cdot [\gamma_1 \mid \gamma) + \beta \cdot [\gamma_1 - 1 \mid \gamma]$. Also let

$$
(\alpha \mid x \mid \gamma) := \sum_{\substack{\delta \in \{0,1\}^{m+n-1} \\ \delta_m = 0}} 2^{m+n-2-\lvert \delta \rvert} \beta^{\lvert \delta \rvert} \pi p_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}, x, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n) - \delta}^{(\beta)},
$$

$$
(\alpha \mid x \mid y \mid \gamma) := \sum_{\substack{\delta \in \{0,1\}^{m+n} \\ \delta_m = \delta_{m+1} = 0}} 2^{m+n-2-\lvert \delta \rvert} \beta^{\lvert \delta \rvert} \pi p_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}, x, y, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n) - \delta}^{(\beta)}.
$$

Our conventions mean that these summations are zero if $x = 0$ or $y = 0$. By Proposition [4.8](#page-16-0)

$$
(\alpha \mid x] \cdot [y \mid \gamma) = (\alpha \mid x+1 \mid y-1 \mid \gamma) + (\alpha \mid x+y \mid \gamma) + (\alpha \mid x \mid y \mid \gamma) + \beta \cdot (\alpha \mid x+y-1 \mid \gamma) + \beta \cdot (\alpha \mid x \mid y-1 \mid \gamma)
$$

for any positive integers *x* and *y*. The desired formula follows by using this identity to expand the right side of

$$
\pi q_{\alpha}^{(\beta)} \pi q_{\gamma}^{(\beta)} = (2 \cdot (\alpha \mid \alpha_m] + \beta \cdot (\alpha \mid \alpha_m - 1]) (2 \cdot [\gamma_1 \mid \gamma) + \beta \cdot [\gamma_1 - 1 \mid \gamma))
$$

and then combining terms. There are a large number of terms and a few different cases to consider (according to whether $\alpha_m = 1$ or $\gamma_1 = 1$), but this is all straightforward algebra. □

EXAMPLE 4.16. It holds that
\n
$$
\pi q_{(3,2,5,2)}^{(\beta)} \pi q_{(4,2)}^{(\beta)} = \pi q_{(3,2,5,3,3,2)}^{(\beta)} + 2 \cdot \pi q_{(3,2,5,6,2)}^{(\beta)} + \pi q_{(3,2,5,2,4,2)}^{(\beta)} \n+ 3\beta \cdot \pi q_{(3,2,5,5,2)}^{(\beta)} + \beta \cdot \pi q_{(3,2,5,2,3,2)}^{(\beta)} + \beta^2 \cdot \pi q_{(3,2,5,4,2)}^{(\beta)} \n\text{while } \pi q_{(3,2,5,1)}^{(\beta)} \pi q_{(4,2)}^{(\beta)} = \pi q_{(3,2,5,2,3,2)}^{(\beta)} + 2 \cdot \pi q_{(3,2,5,5,2)}^{(\beta)} + 2\beta \cdot \pi q_{(3,2,5,4,2)}^{(\beta)}.
$$

As above, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ we set

(4.13)
$$
\pi q_n^{(\beta)} := \pi q_{(n)}^{(\beta)} = \begin{cases} 2 \cdot \pi p_n^{(\beta)} + \beta \cdot \pi p_{n-1}^{(\beta)} & \text{if } n > 1 \\ 2 \cdot \pi p_n^{(\beta)} & \text{if } n = 1 \end{cases} \text{ and } \pi q_0^{(\beta)} := 1.
$$

The following identities suffice to compute coproducts in $\mathfrak{M}\mathsf{Peak}_P$ and $\mathfrak{M}\mathsf{Peak}_Q$:

PROPOSITION 4.17. *If* $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ *then* $\Delta(\pi q_n^{(\beta)}) = \sum_{i=0}^n \pi q_i^{(\beta)} \otimes \pi q_{n-i}^{(\beta)}$ and

$$
\Delta(\pi p_n^{(\beta)}) = 1 \otimes \pi p_n^{(\beta)} + \sum_{i=1}^n \pi p_i^{(\beta)} \otimes \pi q_{n-i}^{(\beta)} = \pi p_n^{(\beta)} \otimes 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \pi q_i^{(\beta)} \otimes \pi p_{n-i}^{(\beta)}.
$$

Proof. Let α' and α'' be peak compositions and choose $u \in S_p^{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $v \in S_q^{\mathfrak{m}}$ with $\alpha_{\text{peak}}(u) = \alpha'$ and $\alpha_{\text{peak}}(v) = \alpha''$. Keeping in mind the product formula in Proposi-tion [4.3,](#page-14-2) suppose $w \in S^{\mathfrak{m}}_{p+q}$ has $u \leq_{\mathfrak{m}} w \cap [p]$ and $v \uparrow p \leq_{\mathfrak{m}} w \cap (p + [q])$. Write $m :=$ $\ell(v) = |\alpha''|$. The only way that we can have $\text{Peak}(w) = \varnothing$ is if $\text{Peak}(u) = \text{Peak}(v) = \varnothing$ and *w* is either

$$
\tilde{v}_1 \cdots \tilde{v}_i \cdot u \cdot \tilde{v}_{i+1} \cdots \tilde{v}_m
$$
 or $\tilde{v}_1 \cdots \tilde{v}_{i-1} \cdot u \cdot \tilde{v}_i \cdots \tilde{v}_m$ or $\tilde{v}_1 \cdots \tilde{v}_i \cdot u \cdot \tilde{v}_i \cdots \tilde{v}_m$

where $i \in [m]$ is the index of the smallest letter of *v* and $\tilde{v}_j := v_j + p$.

Thus by Proposition [4.3](#page-14-2) we have

$$
[\Delta(\pi p_n^{(\beta)}), K_{\alpha'}^{(\beta)} \otimes K_{\alpha''}^{(\beta)}] = [\pi p_n^{(\beta)}, K_{\alpha'}^{(\beta)} K_{\alpha''}^{(\beta)}] \in \{0, 1, 2, \beta\}.
$$

Specifically, the value of the form is zero if $\ell(\alpha') > 1$ or $\ell(\alpha'') > 1$ as then Peak (u) or Peak (v) is nonempty. If this does not occur, then the value of the form is $\ell(\alpha') + \ell(\alpha'')$ when $n = |\alpha'| + |\alpha''|$, or β when $\ell(\alpha') = \ell(\alpha'') = 1$ and $n = |\alpha'| + |\alpha''| + 1$, or else zero. We conclude by the definition of $[\cdot, \cdot]$ that

$$
\Delta(\pi p_n^{(\beta)}) = 1 \otimes \pi p_n^{(\beta)} + \pi p_n^{(\beta)} \otimes 1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \pi p_i^{(\beta)} \otimes \pi p_{n-i}^{(\beta)} + \beta \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \pi p_i^{(\beta)} \otimes \pi p_{n-i-1}^{(\beta)}.
$$

This identity is equivalent to the displayed equation for $\Delta(\pi p_n^{(\beta)})$ via [\(4.13\)](#page-19-0). It follows that

$$
\Delta(\pi q_1^{(\beta)}) = 2 \cdot \Delta(\pi p_1^{(\beta)}) = 1 \otimes \pi q_n^{(\beta)} + \pi q_n^{(\beta)} \otimes 1
$$

and

$$
\Delta(\pi q_n^{(\beta)}) = 2 \cdot \Delta(\pi p_n^{(\beta)}) + \beta \cdot \Delta(\pi p_{n-1}^{(\beta)})
$$

when $n > 1$. The expression on the right expands to

$$
2 \otimes \pi p_n^{(\beta)} + \pi p_n^{(\beta)} \otimes 2 + \beta \otimes \pi p_{n-1}^{(\beta)} + \pi p_{n-1}^{(\beta)} \otimes \beta + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \pi p_i^{(\beta)} \otimes \pi q_{n-i}^{(\beta)} + \beta \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \pi p_i^{(\beta)} \otimes \pi q_{n-i-1}^{(\beta)}
$$

and one can check that this is equal to $\sum_{i=0}^{n} \pi q_i^{(\beta)} \otimes \pi q_{n-i}^{(\beta)}$

There is no *Q*-version of Proposition [4.11.](#page-16-2) Over $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$, the set $\{\pi q_n^{(\beta)} : n =$ $1, 3, 5, \ldots$ } generates a proper subalgebra of $\mathfrak{M}\mathsf{Peak}_Q$ which contains $2 \cdot \pi q_n^{(\beta)}$ but not $\pi q_n^{(\beta)}$ for even $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. This set does freely generate $\mathbb{Q}[\beta] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\beta]} \mathfrak{M}$ Peak $_P =$ $\mathbb{Q}[\beta] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\beta]} \mathfrak{M}$ Peak_{*Q*} as a $\mathbb{Q}[\beta]$ -algebra.

The classical peak algebra is also freely generated by a countable set [\[41,](#page-34-1) Thm. 3], so after an appropriate extension scalars it is isomorphic to

$$
\mathbb{Q}[\beta] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\beta]} \mathfrak{M}\mathsf{Peak}_P = \mathbb{Q}[\beta] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\beta]} \mathfrak{M}\mathsf{Peak}_Q
$$

as an algebra. By duality, the tensor product $\mathbb{Q}[\beta] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\beta]} \mathfrak{m} \Pi \mathsf{Sym} = \mathbb{Q}[\beta] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\beta]} \mathfrak{m} \Pi \mathsf{Sym}$ is isomorphic as a coalgebra to the completion of the peak quasisymmetric functions Π_{Ω} from [\[6,](#page-32-5) [42\]](#page-34-0).

The (co)algebra isomorphisms that come from these observations do not extend to isomorphisms of Hopf algebras. This is different from the unshifted case [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1), where both $L_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ and $L_{\alpha}:=L_{\alpha}^{(0)}$ span \mathfrak{m} QSym, and we have \mathfrak{M} NSym \cong NSym as Hopf algebras (after an appropriate extension of scalars) [\[24,](#page-33-2) Prop. 8.5]. In principle, our shifted objects might still be isomorphic to their $\beta = 0$ specializations by some other maps; determining whether such maps exist is an open problem.

4.3. Shifted symmetric functions. Finally, we turn to the shifted analogues of symmetric functions that arise in *K*-theory. Recall that a partition is strict if its nonzero parts are all distinct. Choose strict partitions $\mu \subseteq \lambda$ and write

$$
SD_{\lambda/\mu} := \{(i, i+j-1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0} : \mu_i < j \leq \lambda_i\}
$$

for the *shifted Young diagram*. We often refer to the positions in this diagram as *boxes*. A *shifted set-valued tableau* of shape λ/μ is a map T assigning nonempty finite subsets of $\{1' < 1 < 2' < 2 < \dots\}$ to the boxes in $SD_{\lambda/\mu}$. We write $(i, j) \in T$ when $(i, j) \in SD_{\lambda/\mu}$ and let T_{ij} denote the set assigned by T to box (i, j) .

A shifted set-valued tableau has *weakly increasing rows and columns* if $\max(T_{ij}) \leq$ $\min(T_{i+1,j})$ and $\max(T_{ij}) \leq \min(T_{i,j+1})$ for all relevant $(i,j) \in T$. A shifted set-valued tableau *T* with this property is *semistandard* if no primed number occurs in multiple

. □

boxes of *T* in the same row and no unprimed number occurs in multiple boxes of *T* in the same column. For example,

are semistandard shifted set-valued tableaux of shape (4*,* 3*,* 1)*/*(2) drawn in French notation. Given such a tableau *T*, we let

$$
|T| := \sum_{(i,j)\in T} |T_{ij}| \text{ and } x^T := \prod_{(i,j)\in T} \prod_{k\in T_{ij}} x_{\lceil k \rceil}.
$$

Our examples have $|T| = 11$ and $x^T = x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4 x_5 x_7$. Also set $|\lambda/\mu| := |\mathsf{SD}_{\lambda/\mu}|$. The following definitions originate in work of Ikeda and Naruse [\[21,](#page-33-5) §9]:

DEFINITION 4.18. Let $\text{ShSVT}_Q(\lambda/\mu)$ denote the set of all semistandard shifted set*valued tableaux of shape* λ/μ , and let ShSVT_P(λ/μ) *be the subset of such tableaux with no primed numbers in diagonal boxes. The K*-theoretic Schur *P*- and *Q*-functions *are the formal power series*

$$
GP_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)}:=\sum_{T\in\mathsf{ShSVT}_P(\lambda/\mu)}\beta^{|T|-|\lambda/\mu|}x^T\quad and\quad GG_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)}:=\sum_{T\in\mathsf{ShSVT}_Q(\lambda/\mu)}\beta^{|T|-|\lambda/\mu|}x^T.
$$

When $\mu = \emptyset$ *is the empty partition let* $GP_{\lambda}^{(\beta)} := GP_{\lambda/\emptyset}^{(\beta)}$ *and* $GQ_{\lambda}^{(\beta)} := GQ_{\lambda/\emptyset}^{(\beta)}$.

If $deg(\beta) = 0$ and $deg(x_i) = 1$, then $GP_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)}$ and $GQ_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)}$ have unbounded degree, but their lowest degree terms are the Schur *P*- and Schur *Q*-functions $P_{\lambda/\mu}$ and $Q_{\lambda/\mu} = 2^{\ell(\lambda) - \ell(\mu)} P_{\lambda/\mu}$. As $\{P_{\lambda}\}\$ and $\{Q_{\lambda}\}\$ are bases for subalgebras of MSym, the sets $\{GP_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}\}$ and $\{GQ_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}\}$ are linearly independent.

DEFINITION 4.19. *Define* \mathfrak{mSym}_P *and* \mathfrak{mSym}_Q *to be the linearly compact* $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ *-modules with the sets* $\{GP_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}\}$ *and* $\{GQ_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}\}$ *(where* λ *ranges over all strict partitions) as respective pseudobases.*

If one sets $deg(\beta) = -1$ and $deg(x_i) = 1$ then $GP_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)}$ and $GQ_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)}$ are homogeneous of degree $|\lambda/\mu|$. Both $mSym_p$ and $mSym_Q$ are LC-Hopf subalgebras of $mSym$ [\[8,](#page-32-3) Thm. 5.11] and if $\mu \subseteq \lambda$ are strict partitions then $GP_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)} \in \mathfrak{mSym}_P$ and $GQ_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)} \in$ mSym*^Q* [\[8,](#page-32-3) Cor. 5.13].

It remains to identify the dual Hopf algebras \mathfrak{MSym}_P and \mathfrak{MSym}_Q in [\(1.5\)](#page-3-0). Continue to assume $\mu \subset \lambda$ are strict partitions. A *shifted reverse plane partition* of shape λ/μ is an assignment of numbers from $\{1' < 1 < 2' < 2 < \dots\}$ to the boxes in $SD_{\lambda/\mu}$ such that rows and columns are weakly increasing. If *T* is a shifted reverse plane partition, then we let

 $\text{wt}_{\text{RPP}}(T) := (a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, \dots)$ and $|\text{wt}_{\text{RPP}}(T)| := a_1 + b_1 + a_2 + b_2 + \dots$

where a_i is the number of distinct columns of *T* containing *i* and b_i is the number of distinct rows of *T* containing *i*[']. For example, if $\lambda = (4, 3, 2)$ and $\mu = (2)$ then *T* could be either of

and we would have $\text{wt}_{\text{RPP}}(T) = (1, 0, 2, 0, 2)$ and $|\text{wt}_{\text{RPP}}(T)| = 5$ in both cases.

DEFINITION 4.20. Let $\text{ShRPP}_Q(\lambda/\mu)$ be the set of shifted reverse plane partitions of *shape* λ/μ *, and let* ShRPP_{*P*}(λ/μ) *be the subset of* $T \in \text{ShRPP}_Q(\lambda/\mu)$ *whose diagonal entries are all primed. The* dual *K*-theoretic Schur *P*- and *Q*-functions *are*

$$
gp_{\lambda / \mu}^{(\beta)} := \sum_{T \in \mathrm{ShRPP}_P(\lambda / \mu)} (-\beta)^{|\lambda / \mu| - |\mathrm{wt}_{\mathrm{RPP}}(T)|} x^{\mathrm{wt}_{\mathrm{RPP}}(T)}
$$

and

$$
gq^{(\beta)}_{\lambda/\mu}:=\sum_{T\in\operatorname{ShRPP}_Q(\lambda/\mu)}(-\beta)^{|\lambda/\mu|-|\operatorname{wt}_{\operatorname{RPP}}(T)|}x^{\operatorname{wt}_{\operatorname{RPP}}(T)}.
$$

When $\mu = \emptyset$ *is the empty partition we write* $gp_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ $g_{\lambda}^{(\beta)} := gp_{\lambda/\varnothing}^{(\beta)}$ and $g_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ $g_{\lambda}^{(\beta)} := g q_{\lambda/\varnothing}^{(\beta)}$ *. We will adopt a similar convention for all later notations indexed by skew shapes* λ/μ *.*

By [\[26,](#page-33-7) Thm. 1.4], $gp_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ $g_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ and $g_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ *λ* are special cases of the *dual universal factorial Schur P- and Q-functions* that Nakagawa and Naruse characterize in [\[35,](#page-33-6) Def. 3.2] via a general Cauchy identity. The skew versions $gp_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)}$ and $g_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)}$ of these functions were first considered in [\[12,](#page-32-2) §6].

If we set $deg(\beta) = deg(x_i) = 1$, then $gp_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)}$ and $g_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)}$ are both homogeneous of (bounded) degree $|\lambda/\mu|$. If instead deg(β) = 0 and deg(x_i) = 1, then the terms of highest degree in $gp_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)}$ and $g_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)}$ are $P_{\lambda/\mu}$ and $Q_{\lambda/\mu}$, so $\{gp_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}\}$ $\{gq_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}\}$ and $\{gq_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}\}$ *λ* } are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$.

DEFINITION 4.21. *Define* \mathfrak{MSym}_P *and* \mathfrak{MSym}_Q *to be the free* $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ *-modules with the* $sets\{gp_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}\}$ $\{gq_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}\}$ *and* $\{gq_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}\}$ $\lambda^{(P)}$ } *(where* λ *ranges over all strict partitions) as respective bases.*

The functions $gp_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)}$ and $g_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)}$ satisfy the following Cauchy identity. Let **x** = (x_1, x_2, \dots) and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$ be commuting variables and set $\overline{x}_i = \frac{-x_i}{1+\beta x_i}$. Then

(4.14)
$$
\sum_{\lambda} GP_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}(\mathbf{x}) g q_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}(\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{\lambda} G Q_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}(\mathbf{x}) g p_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}(\mathbf{y}) = \prod_{i,j \geqslant 1} \frac{1 - \overline{x}_i y_j}{1 - x_i y_j}
$$

by [\[26,](#page-33-7) Thm. 1.4] via [\[35,](#page-33-6) Conj. 5.1].^{[\(2\)](#page-22-0)} Since the right hand side of (4.14) is invariant under permutations of the **y** variables, the power series $gp_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ $\chi_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ and $gq_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ $\lambda^{(\rho)}$ are symmetric. This implies that

(4.15)

$$
gp_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{\mu} gp_{\mu}^{(\beta)}(\mathbf{x}) gp_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)}(\mathbf{y}) \text{ and}
$$

$$
gq_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{\mu} gq_{\mu}^{(\beta)}(\mathbf{x}) gq_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)}(\mathbf{y}),
$$

where $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is the power series $f(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, ...)$ for $f \in \mathbb{Z}[\beta][\![x_1, x_2, ...]\!]$. The sums here are over all strict partitions μ , setting $gp_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)} = g q_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)} = 0$ when $\mu \not\subseteq \lambda$. Both sides of [\(4.15\)](#page-22-2) are symmetric under all permutations of the **y** variables, so $gp_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ *λ/µ* and $gq_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)}$ are also symmetric.

REMARK 4.22. The continuous $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ -linear map with $f(\mathbf{x})g(\mathbf{y}) \mapsto f \otimes g$ for all power series $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ is a bijection

$$
\mathbb{Z}[\beta][\![x_1,y_1,x_2,y_2,\ldots]\!] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}[\beta][\![x_1,x_2,\ldots]\!] \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}[\beta][\![x_1,x_2,\ldots]\!].
$$

^{(2)&}lt;sup>[35</sup>, Conj. 5.1] asserts that the power series $gq_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ and $gp_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ defined by [\(4.14\)](#page-22-1) have the generating function formulas in Definition [4.20,](#page-22-3) and [\[26,](#page-33-7) Thm. 1.4] proves this conjecture.

Composing this map with $f \mapsto f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ gives an operation

$$
\mathbb{Z}[\beta][\![x_1, x_2, \ldots] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\beta][\![x_1, x_2, \ldots] \!] \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}[\beta][\![x_1, x_2, \ldots]
$$

which restricts to the coproduct of $mSym$ and $MSym$ [\[16,](#page-33-0) §2.1]. Thus we can rewrite the formula [\(4.15\)](#page-22-2) as

(4.16)
$$
\Delta(g p_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}) = \sum_{\mu} g p_{\mu}^{(\beta)} \otimes g p_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)} \text{ and } \Delta(g q_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}) = \sum_{\mu} g q_{\mu}^{(\beta)} \otimes g q_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)}
$$

where the sums are over all strict partitions.

4.4. Finite expansions and duality. To identify the algebraic structure of \mathfrak{M} Sym_{*P*} and \mathfrak{M} Sym_{*Q*} we need a short digression. Suppose $\lambda \subseteq \nu$ are strict partitions. A box $(i, j) \in SD_\lambda$ is a *removable corner* of λ if $SD_\lambda - \{(i, j)\} = SD_\mu$ for a strict partition $\mu \subsetneq \lambda$. Let $RC(\lambda)$ be the set of all such boxes and define

(4.17)
$$
GP_{\nu/\!\!/\lambda}^{(\beta)} := \sum_{\mu} \beta^{|\lambda| - |\mu|} GP_{\nu/\mu}^{(\beta)} \text{ and } GQ_{\nu/\!\!/\lambda}^{(\beta)} := \sum_{\mu} \beta^{|\lambda| - |\mu|} GQ_{\nu/\mu}^{(\beta)}
$$

where both sums are over all strict partitions $\mu \subseteq \lambda$ with $SD_{\lambda/\mu} \subseteq RC(\lambda)$. For strict partitions $\lambda \nsubseteq \nu$ set $GP_{\nu/\!\!/ \lambda}^{(\beta)} = GQ_{\nu/\!\!/ \lambda}^{(\beta)} := 0$. These functions arise in the identities

(4.18)

$$
GP_{\nu}^{(\beta)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{\lambda} GP_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}(\mathbf{x})GP_{\nu/\!\!/ \lambda}^{(\beta)}(\mathbf{y}),
$$

$$
GQ_{\nu}^{(\beta)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{\lambda} GQ_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}(\mathbf{x})GQ_{\nu/\!\!/ \lambda}^{(\beta)}(\mathbf{y}),
$$

which by Remark [4.22](#page-22-4) can be restated as the coproduct formulas

(4.19)
$$
\Delta(GP_{\nu}^{(\beta)}) = \sum_{\lambda} GP_{\lambda}^{(\beta)} \otimes GP_{\nu/\!\!/ \lambda}^{(\beta)} \text{ and } \Delta(GQ_{\nu}^{(\beta)}) = \sum_{\lambda} GQ_{\lambda}^{(\beta)} \otimes GQ_{\nu/\!\!/ \lambda}^{(\beta)}.
$$

The sums here are over all strict partitions λ , but the terms indexed by $\lambda \not\subseteq \nu$ are all zero.

Because mSym*^P* and mSym*^Q* are LC-Hopf algebras, and because the pseudobases ${GP_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}}$ and ${GQ_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}}$ consist of homogeneous elements if we set deg(β) = −1, there are unique integers $a_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}, b_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}, \hat{a}_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}, \hat{b}_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}$ indexed by strict partitions λ , μ , ν with

(4.20)
\n
$$
GP_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}GP_{\mu}^{(\beta)} = \sum_{\nu} a_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} \beta^{|\nu|-|\lambda|-|\mu|} GP_{\nu}^{(\beta)},
$$
\n
$$
GQ_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}GQ_{\mu}^{(\beta)} = \sum_{\nu} b_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} \beta^{|\nu|-|\lambda|-|\mu|} GQ_{\nu}^{(\beta)},
$$
\n
$$
GP_{\nu/\!\!/ \lambda}^{(\beta)} = \sum_{\mu} \hat{b}_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} \beta^{|\lambda|+|\mu|-|\nu|} GP_{\mu}^{(\beta)},
$$
\n
$$
GQ_{\nu/\!\!/ \lambda}^{(\beta)} = \sum_{\mu} \hat{a}_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} \beta^{|\lambda|+|\mu|-|\nu|} GQ_{\mu}^{(\beta)}.
$$

The following result is a special case of [\[35,](#page-33-6) Prop. 3.2] since $gp_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ $g_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ and $g_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ $\lambda^{(\rho)}$ are special cases of [\[35,](#page-33-6) Def. 3.2]. We outline a self-contained proof for completeness.

PROPOSITION 4.23 ([\[35,](#page-33-6) Prop. 3.2]). *For all strict partitions* λ *,* μ *,* ν *it holds that*

$$
\begin{aligned} \label{eq:genB} &g p^{(\beta)}_\lambda g p^{(\beta)}_\mu = \sum_\nu \widehat{a}^\nu_{\lambda\mu} \beta^{|\lambda|+|\mu|-|\nu|} g p^{(\beta)}_\nu &\qquad g p^{(\beta)}_{\nu/\lambda} = \sum_\mu b^\nu_{\lambda\mu} \beta^{|\nu|-|\lambda|-|\mu|} g p^{(\beta)}_\mu \\ &g q^{(\beta)}_\lambda g q^{(\beta)}_\mu = \sum_\nu \widehat{b}^\nu_{\lambda\mu} \beta^{|\lambda|+|\mu|-|\nu|} g q^{(\beta)}_\nu &\qquad \qquad \text{and} \qquad \\ &g q^{(\beta)}_{\nu/\lambda} = \sum_\mu a^\nu_{\lambda\mu} \beta^{|\nu|-|\lambda|-|\mu|} g q^{(\beta)}_\mu. \end{aligned}
$$

Proof. One can derive these identities from (4.14) by introducing a third sequence of variables $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots)$ and then extracting coefficients. For example, we have

$$
\sum_{\nu} G Q_{\nu}^{(\beta)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) g p_{\nu}^{(\beta)}(\mathbf{z}) = \prod_{i,j} \frac{1 - \bar{x}_i z_j}{1 - x_i z_j} \prod_{i,j} \frac{1 - \bar{y}_i z_j}{1 - y_i z_j}.
$$

The left side is

$$
\sum_{\lambda,\mu,\nu}\hat{a}_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}\beta^{|\lambda|+|\mu|-|\nu|}GQ^{(\beta)}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x})GQ^{(\beta)}_{\mu}(\mathbf{y})gp^{(\beta)}_{\nu}(\mathbf{z})
$$

while the right side is

$$
\sum_{\lambda,\mu}GQ^{(\beta)}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x})GQ^{(\beta)}_{\mu}(\mathbf{y})gp^{(\beta)}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{z})gp^{(\beta)}_{\mu}(\mathbf{z}),
$$

which leads to the first formula. \Box

Let $\ell(\lambda)$ be the number of parts in a partition λ . Given strict partitions $\mu \subset \lambda$, define $\text{cols}(\lambda/\mu) = |\{j : (i,j) \in \text{SD}_{\lambda/\mu}\}|$ to be the number of columns occupied by $SD_{\lambda/\mu}$. A subset of $\mathbb{Z}_{>0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is a *vertical strip* if it contains at most one position in each row. Then it holds by [\[12,](#page-32-2) Thm. 1.1] that

(4.21)
$$
GQ_{\mu}^{(\beta)} = \sum_{\lambda} 2^{\ell(\mu)} (-1)^{\cos(\lambda/\mu)} (-\beta/2)^{|\lambda/\mu|} G P_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}
$$

and by [\[12,](#page-32-2) Cor. 6.2] that

(4.22)
$$
gq_{\lambda}^{(\beta)} = \sum_{\mu} 2^{\ell(\mu)} (-1)^{\text{cols}(\lambda/\mu)} (-\beta/2)^{|\lambda/\mu|} gp_{\mu}^{(\beta)}
$$

where both sums are over strict partitions $\lambda \supseteq \mu$ with $\ell(\lambda) = \ell(\mu)$ such that $SD_{\lambda/\mu}$ is a vertical strip. For example $GQ_{(3,2)}^{(\beta)} = 4GP_{(3,2)}^{(\beta)} + 2\beta GP_{(4,2)}^{(\beta)} - \beta^2 GP_{(4,3)}^{(\beta)}$ and $gq_{(3,2)}^{(\beta)} =$ $4g p^{(\beta)}_{(3,2)} + 2\beta g p^{(\beta)}_{(3,1)} - \beta^2 g p^{(\beta)}_{(2,1)}.$

We use the following notation from [\[22\]](#page-33-17) just in the next result. Let *GΓ* be the linearly compact $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ -module with $\{GP_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}\}$ as a pseudobasis (where λ ranges over all strict partitions) and let $g \Gamma$ be the free $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ -module with $\{gp_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}\}$ $\chi^{(\rho)}$ } as a basis. The identities [\(4.21\)](#page-24-0) and [\(4.22\)](#page-24-1) imply that ${GQ_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}}$ is another pseudobasis for *GΓ* while ${gq_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}}$ $\chi^{(\beta)}$ } is another basis for *gΓ*.

PROPOSITION 4.24. *There is a unique bilinear form* $[\cdot, \cdot] : g\Gamma \times GT \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\beta)$, *continuous in the second coordinate, with* $[gp_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}]$ $[\, (a)$, $GQ_{\mu}^{(\beta)} \,] = [gq_{\lambda}^{(\beta)} \,]$ $\delta_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$, $GP_{\mu}^{(\beta)}$] = $\delta_{\lambda\mu}$ for all strict $partitions \lambda$ *and* μ *.*

Proof. Let (\cdot, \cdot) be the bilinear form, continuous in the second coordinate, with $(g p_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ $\chi^{(\beta)}$, $GP^{(\beta)}_{\mu}$ $) = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$. Write ϕ and ψ for the (continuous) linear maps with

> $\phi(gq_\lambda^{(\beta)}$ $g_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$) = $gp_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ $\psi(GQ_{\mu}^{(\beta)}) = GP_{\mu}^{(\beta)}$.

The identities [\(4.21\)](#page-24-0) and [\(4.22\)](#page-24-1) imply that $(\phi(f), g) = (f, \psi(g))$ for all $f \in g\Gamma$ and $g \in GT$. Then the form $[f, g] := (\phi(f), g) = (f, \psi(g))$ has the desired properties. \Box

REMARK. The module *GΓ* coincides with the ring considered in [\[22,](#page-33-17) §5.2], which Iwao defines by a certain *K-theoretic Q-cancellation property*; [\[21,](#page-33-5) Thm. 3.1] shows that the infinite linear span of the $GP_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$'s is characterized by the same property. Comparing the Cauchy identity [\(4.14\)](#page-22-1) with the one in [\[22,](#page-33-17) §8.2] shows that *gΓ* similarly coincides with the ring defined in $[22, §8.1]$ $[22, §8.1]$, and that the form in Proposition [4.24](#page-24-2) is equal to the one in [\[22,](#page-33-17) Eq. (30)].

Putting everything together leads to this theorem:

Theorem 4.25. *Both* MSym*^P and* MSym*^Q are Hopf subalgebras of* MSym*. In particular,* MSym*^P (respectively,* MSym*Q) is the Hopf algebra dual to* mSym*^Q (respectively,* $mSym_P$ *) via* $[\cdot, \cdot]$ *.*

Proof. It is clear from [\(4.16\)](#page-23-1) and Proposition [4.23](#page-23-2) that \mathfrak{MSym}_P and \mathfrak{MSym}_Q are the sub-bialgebras of \mathfrak{M} Sym dual to \mathfrak{mSym}_O and \mathfrak{mSym}_P via [*·*, *·*]. The fact that these bialgebras are preserved by the antipode of \mathfrak{M} Sym follows by duality as \mathfrak{m} Sym_{O} and mSym_{*P*} are LC-Hopf subalgebras of mSym. □

As mentioned in Section [3.5,](#page-10-2) the Hopf algebras MSym and mSym have another pair of dual bases for $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ besides the Schur functions, given by the (dual) stable Grothendieck polynomials $\{g_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}\}$ $\{G_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}\}$ and $\{G_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}\}$ *λ* }. We need to quote two results involving these functions. First, one has

(4.23)
$$
gp_{(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{(i,1^{n-i})}^{(\beta)}
$$

for all positive integers *n* by [\[35,](#page-33-6) Prop. 5.3]; see the proof of [\[12,](#page-32-2) Prop. 7.5] for another derivation. Second, if λ is a partition with k parts and $\Theta^{(\beta)}$: mQSym \rightarrow mHSym is the map [\(4.7\)](#page-13-1) then

(4.24)
$$
\Theta^{(\beta)}(G^{(\beta)}_{\lambda}) = GQ^{(\beta)}_{(\lambda+\delta)/\delta} \text{ for } \delta := (k-1,\ldots,2,1,0), \text{ by } [8, \$4.6].
$$

Taking $k = 1$ gives $\Theta^{(\beta)}(G^{(\beta)}_{(n)})$ $\binom{\beta}{n}$ = $GQ_{(n)}^{(\beta)}$ for all $n > 0$. Thus $\Theta^{(\beta)}$ restricts to a map $mSym \rightarrow mSym_O$, which is surjective by [\[8,](#page-32-3) Cor. 5.17]. The following result reduces to [\[42,](#page-34-0) (A.9)] when $\beta = 0$:

THEOREM 4.26. *If* $f \in g\Gamma$ *and* $g \in \mathfrak{mSym}$ *then* $[f, \Theta^{(\beta)}(g)] = \langle f, g \rangle$ *.*

Proof. Write $gp_n^{(\beta)} := gp_{(n)}^{(\beta)}$ $g_{(n)}^{(\beta)}$ and $g_{n}^{(\beta)} := g_{(n)}^{(\beta)}$ $\binom{(\beta)}{(n)}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Define $G_n^{(\beta)}$ and $GQ_n^{(\beta)}$ analogously. For compositions $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k)$ let $G(\alpha) := \prod_{i \in [k]} G_{\alpha_i}^{(\beta)}, GQ(\alpha) :=$ $\Theta^{(\beta)}(G(\alpha)) = \prod_{i \in [k]} GQ_{\alpha_i}^{(\beta)}$, and $gp(\alpha) := \prod_{i \in [k]} gp_{\alpha_i}^{(\beta)}$. The Pieri rule in [\[25,](#page-33-18) Thm. 3.4] implies that every element of $mSym$ is possibly infinite $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ -linear combination of $G(\alpha)$'s. The analogous Pieri rule in [\[26,](#page-33-7) Prop. 2.7] with [\(4.22\)](#page-24-1) implies that every element of $g\Gamma$ is a finite $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ -linear combination of $gp(\alpha)$'s. Thus we just need to check that $[qp(\alpha), GQ(\gamma)] = \langle qp(\alpha), G(\gamma) \rangle$ for all compositions α and γ .

To show this, let $k = \ell(\alpha)$ and $l = \ell(\gamma)$ be the lengths of two arbitrary compositions. $\text{Recall that we denote iterated coproducts by } \Delta^{(k)} := (1 \otimes \Delta^{(k-1)}) \circ \Delta = (\Delta^{(k-1)} \otimes 1) \circ \Delta$ where $\Delta^{(1)} := \Delta$. Since $[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{M} \mathsf{Sym}_P \times \mathfrak{m} \mathsf{Sym}_Q \to \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathfrak{M} \mathsf{Sym} \times \mathfrak{m} \mathsf{Sym} \to$ $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ induce Hopf algebra dualities and since coproducts in Hopf algebras are algebra morphisms, we have

$$
[gp(\alpha), GQ(\gamma)] = \left[\Delta^{(l-1)}(gp(\alpha)), \bigotimes_{j \in [l]} GQ_{\gamma_j}^{(\beta)}\right]
$$

=
$$
\left[\bigotimes_{i \in [k]} \Delta^{(l-1)}(gp_{\alpha_i}^{(\beta)}), \bigotimes_{j \in [l]} \Delta^{(k-1)}(GQ_{\gamma_j}^{(\beta)})\right]
$$

and similarly

$$
\langle gp(\alpha), G(\gamma) \rangle = \left\langle \Delta^{(l-1)}(gp(\alpha)), \bigotimes_{j \in [l]} G_{\gamma_j}^{(\beta)} \right\rangle
$$

=
$$
\left\langle \bigotimes_{i \in [k]} \Delta^{(l-1)}(gp_{\alpha_i}^{(\beta)}), \bigotimes_{j \in [l]} \Delta^{(k-1)}(G_{\gamma_j}^{(\beta)}) \right\rangle
$$

E. MARBERG

where we appropriately reorder the *kl* tensor factors in $\bigotimes_{i \in [k]} \Delta^{(l-1)}(gp_{\alpha_i}^{(\beta)})$ to evaluate the two rightmost expressions.

It is clear from [\(4.16\)](#page-23-1) that $\Delta(g p_n^{(\beta)}) = \sum_{i=0}^n gp_i^{(\beta)} \otimes gp_{n-i}^{(\beta)}$ and from [\(4.19\)](#page-23-3) that

$$
\Delta(GQ_n^{(\beta)}) = \sum_{i=0}^n GQ_i^{(\beta)} \otimes GQ_{n-i}^{(\beta)} + \beta \sum_{i=1}^n GQ_i^{(\beta)} \otimes GQ_{n+1-i}^{(\beta)}
$$

where $gp_0^{(\beta)} = GQ_0^{(\beta)} := 1$. It follows similarly from the well-known set-valued tableau generating function for G_λ (see e.g. [\[8,](#page-32-3) Eq. (3.9)]) that

$$
\Delta(G_n^{(\beta)}) = \sum_{i=0}^n G_i^{(\beta)} \otimes G_{n-i}^{(\beta)} + \beta \sum_{i=1}^n G_i^{(\beta)} \otimes G_{n+1-i}^{(\beta)}.
$$

We deduce from these formulas that the equality $[gp(\alpha), GQ(\gamma)] = \langle gp(\alpha), G(\gamma) \rangle$ will hold if we can just show that $[gp_m^{(\beta)}, GQ_n^{(\beta)}] = \delta_{mn} = \langle gp_m^{(\beta)}, G_n^{(\beta)} \rangle$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$. This simpler identity is immediate from (4.23) .

 ${\rm Recall\,\, the\,\, elements}\,\, \pi p_n^{(\beta)} \,\in\, {\mathfrak M}$ Peak $_P$ and $\pi q_n^{(\beta)} \,\in\, {\mathfrak M}$ Peak $_Q$ for $n\,\in\, {\mathbb Z}_{>0}$ from Section [4.2.](#page-15-0)

THEOREM 4.27. *The map* \mathfrak{M} Peak $_P \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$ Sym_P *adjoint to* \mathfrak{m} Sym_Q $\hookrightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ IISym *relative to the forms* [·*,* ·] *in Theorems* [4.7](#page-15-2) *and* [4.25](#page-25-2) *is the unique algebra morphism with* $\pi p_n^{(\beta)} \mapsto gp_n^{(\beta)}$ and $\pi q_n^{(\beta)} \mapsto gq_n^{(\beta)}.$ This morphism restricts to the map $\mathfrak M$ Peak $_Q \to$ \mathfrak{M} Sym_{O} *adjoint to* \mathfrak{m} Sym_{*P*} $\hookrightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ $\overline{\Pi}$ Sym.

Proof. We first claim that $[\pi p_n^{(\beta)}, GQ_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}] = \delta_{(n),\lambda}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$ and strict partitions *λ*. This follows from the discussion in [\[8,](#page-32-3) §4.6] which gives the $K_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ -decomposition of $GQ_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$. In detail, define a *standard shifted set-valued tableau* of shape λ to be a semistandard shifted set-valued tableau of shape λ whose entries are pairwise disjoint nonempty sets, never containing any consecutive integers, with union $\{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$ for some $N \geq |\lambda|$. Suppose *T* is such a tableau and set $|T| := N$. The *peak set* of *T* is the set $Peak(T)$ of integers $1 < i < N$ such that *i* appears in a column of T strictly after *i* − 1 and in a row of *T* strictly before *i* + 1. Then $GQ_{\lambda}^{(\beta)} = \sum_{\alpha} k_{\lambda}^{\alpha} \cdot \beta^{|\alpha| - |\lambda|} \cdot K_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ where the sum is over all peak compositions of α and k^{α}_{λ} is the number of standard shifted set-valued tableaux *T* with $|T| = |\alpha|$ and Peak $(T) = I(\alpha)$ [\[8,](#page-32-3) Eq. (4.14)]. Equivalently, $[\pi p_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}, GQ_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}] = k_{\lambda}^{\alpha} \cdot \beta^{|\alpha|-|\lambda|}$.

Now observe that if $\ell(\lambda) > 1$, then every standard shifted set-valued tableau T of shape λ has a nonempty peak set, since if $i + 1$ is the smallest number in box (2, 2) of *T* then $i \in \text{Peak}(T)$. On the other hand, if $\ell(\lambda) \leq 1$ then there is exactly one standard shifted set-valued tableau *T* of shape λ , and this tableau has $|T| = |\lambda|$ and Peak $(T) = \emptyset$. Thus if $\alpha = (n)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$ so that $I(\alpha) = \emptyset$, then we have $k_{\lambda}^{\alpha} = \delta_{(n),\lambda}$ and $[\pi p_n^{(\beta)}, GQ_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}] = \delta_{(n),\lambda}$ as desired.

 $\text{Our claim shows that } [\pi p_n^{(\beta)}, GQ_\lambda^{(\beta)}] = [gp_n^{(\beta)}, GQ_\lambda^{(\beta)}] \text{ so the adjoint map}$ \mathfrak{M} Peak $_P$ \to \mathfrak{M} Sym $_P$ must send $\pi p_n^{(\beta)}$ \mapsto $g p_n^{(\beta)}$ for all $n~\in~\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}.$ There is at most one algebra morphism with this property since $\{\pi p_n^{(\beta)} : n = 1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ freely generates $\mathfrak{M}\mathsf{Peak}_P$ by Proposition [4.11.](#page-16-2) The adjoint map also sends $\pi q_n^{(\beta)} \mapsto g q_n^{(\beta)}$ since we have

$$
\pi q_1^{(\beta)} = 2\pi p_1^{(\beta)}
$$
 and $g q_1^{(\beta)} = 2gp_1^{(\beta)}$

as well as

 $\pi q_n^{(\beta)} = 2\pi p_n^{(\beta)} + \beta \pi p_{n-1}^{(\beta)}$ and $g_q^{(\beta)} = 2gp_n^{(\beta)} + \beta gp_{n-1}^{(\beta)}$ for $n > 1$ by [\(4.13\)](#page-19-0) and [\(4.22\)](#page-24-1).

The maps in Theorem [4.27](#page-26-0) are shifted analogues of the morphism \mathfrak{M} NSym \rightarrow \mathfrak{MS} ym in [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1). As noted earlier, Lam and Pylyavskyy show that the image of $R_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ under this map is a specific dual stable Grothendieck polynomial $g_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)}$ [\[24,](#page-33-2) Thm. 9.13]. We do not know if this result has a shifted version. When α is a peak composition, the images of $\pi p_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ and $\pi q_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ under the adjoint maps \mathfrak{M} Peak $_P \to \mathfrak{M}$ Sym_P and $\mathfrak{M}\mathsf{Peak}_Q \to \mathfrak{M}\mathsf{Sym}_Q$ typically are not of the form $gp_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)}$ or $g_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)}$.

4.5. Antipode formulas. We gave the general definition of the antipode for a Hopf algebra or LC-Hopf algebra in Section [2.](#page-4-0) Here we describe some specific antipode formulas for the objects in [\(1.5\)](#page-3-0).

If α is a finite sequence then we write α^r for its reversal. Given $\alpha \models n$, let α^c be the unique composition of *n* with $I(\alpha^c) = [n-1] \setminus I(\alpha)$, and define $\alpha^t := (\alpha^c)^r = (\alpha^r)^c$. For example, we have $(3, 2)^{r} = (2, 3), (3, 2)^{c} = (1, 1, 2, 1),$ and $(3, 2)^{t} = (1, 2, 1, 1).$

Recall from Remark [3.8](#page-9-2) that the homogeneous functions

$$
L_{\alpha} := L_{\alpha}^{(0)} = \sum_{\gamma \in |\alpha|, I(\gamma) \supseteq I(\alpha)} M_{\gamma}
$$

form another basis for QSym. Write ω : QSym \rightarrow QSym for the linear map with $\omega(L_{\alpha}) := L_{\alpha^{\mathbf{t}}}$. This map is a Hopf algebra automorphism which preserves Sym, acting on Schur functions as $\omega(s_\lambda) = s_{\lambda^\top}$ where λ^\top is the transpose of a partition λ . The antipode of QSym is the linear map S with

(4.25)
$$
\mathbf{S}(L_{\alpha}) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} L_{\alpha^{\text{t}}} = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \omega(L_{\alpha}) \text{ and}
$$

$$
\mathbf{S}(s_{\lambda}) = (-1)^{|\lambda|} s_{\lambda^{\top}} = (-1)^{|\lambda|} \omega(s_{\lambda})
$$

for all compositions α and partitions λ [\[28,](#page-33-8) §3.6]. We can extend ω to a continuous automorphism of mQSym. The antipode of mQSym is the continuous extension of the antipode of QSym.

A *multiset* is a set allowing repeated elements. Given a peak composition α = $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k)$ let $\alpha^{\flat} := (\alpha_k + 1, \alpha_{k-1}, \ldots, \alpha_2, \alpha_1 - 1)$ when $k > 1$ and set $\alpha^{\flat} := \alpha$ if $k \leq 1$. The following statement is equivalent to identities in [\[8\]](#page-32-3), and reduces to [\[42,](#page-34-0) Prop. 3.5] when $\beta = 0$.

Proposition 4.28 ([\[8,](#page-32-3) Prop 6.5 and Obs. 6.9]). *If α is a peak composition then*

$$
\mathsf{S}\left(K^{(\beta)}_{\alpha^\flat}\right)=\sum_S (-\beta)^{|S|-N}x^S\quad\text{and}\quad \mathsf{S}\left(\bar{K}^{(\beta)}_{\alpha^\flat}\right)=\sum_S (-\beta)^{|S|-N}x^S
$$

where both sums are over N-tuples $S = (S_1 \leq \cdots \leq S_N)$ *satisfying the same respective conditions* [\(4.1\)](#page-12-1) *and* [\(4.2\)](#page-12-2) *as in Definition* [4.1](#page-12-3)*, but with each Sⁱ a finite nonempty* multi-subset of $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

PROPOSITION 4.29. *The antipode* S of $\mathfrak{M}\text{Peak}_Q \supset \mathfrak{M}\text{Peak}_P$ *is the algebra antiautomorphism with*

(a)
$$
\mathbf{S}\left(\pi q_n^{(\beta)}\right) = (-1)^n \sum_{k \in [n]} \binom{n-1}{k-1} \cdot \beta^{n-k} \cdot \pi q_k^{(\beta)} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \text{ and}
$$

(b)
$$
\mathbf{S}\left(\pi p_n^{(\beta)}\right) = (-1)^n \sum_{k \in [n]} \binom{n}{k} \cdot \beta^{n-k} \cdot \pi p_k^{(\beta)} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}.
$$

Proof. The antipode of any Hopf algebra is an anti-endomorphism [\[16,](#page-33-0) Prop. 1.4.10] and is invertible when the Hopf algebra is cocommutative [\[16,](#page-33-0) Rem. 1.4.13]. One has $\nabla \circ (\mathbf{S} \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta = \iota \circ \epsilon$ by definition, so by Proposition [4.17](#page-19-1) we deduce that $\mathbf{S}(\pi q_n^{(\beta)}) = -\pi q_n^{(\beta)} - \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \mathbf{S}(\pi q_m^{(\beta)}) \pi q_{n-m}^{(\beta)}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Checking that the formula in part (a) satisfies this recurrence, using Proposition [4.15,](#page-18-2) is a somewhat involved

but completely elementary computation, requiring only sum manipulations and the identity $\binom{m}{k} = \binom{m-1}{k-1} + \binom{m-1}{k}$. Part (b) follows from part (a) by [\(4.13\)](#page-19-0), since one can similarly check that the formula for $S(\pi p_n^{(\beta)})$ is the unique solution to the recurrence with $S(\pi q_1^{(\beta)}) = 2 \cdot S(\pi p_1^{(\beta)})$ and $S(\pi q_n^{(\beta)}) = 2 \cdot S(\pi p_n^{(\beta)}) + \beta \cdot S(\pi p_{n-1}^{(\beta)})$ for $n > 1$. \Box

Patrias [\[38,](#page-33-19) Thms. 33 and 35] computes explicit antipode formulas for mQSym and \mathfrak{M} NSym in the (pseudo)bases $\{L_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}\}$ and $\{R_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}\}$, using slightly different notation.^{[\(3\)](#page-28-0)} We have only given partial formulas for the antipodes of the shifted analogues of these Hopf algebras. It may be possible to extend our results along the lines of [\[38\]](#page-33-19).

Now we turn to the shifted versions of stable Grothendieck polynomials. Choose strict partitions $\mu \subseteq \lambda$. A *shifted multiset-valued tableau* of shape λ/μ is a map T assigning nonempty finite multi-subsets of $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ to the boxes in $\text{SD}_{\lambda/\mu}$. We write T_{ij} to denote the multiset assigned by *T* to position (*i, j*). The definition of a *semistandard* shifted multiset-valued tableau is identical to the set-valued case.

Let $\text{ShMVT}_O(\lambda/\mu)$ denote the set of all semistandard shifted multiset-valued tableaux of shape λ/μ , and let $\text{ShMVT}_P(\lambda/\mu)$ be the subset of such tableaux with no primed numbers appearing in diagonal positions. Then define

(4.26)
$$
JP_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)} := \sum_{T \in \text{ShMVT}_P(\lambda/\mu)} (-\beta)^{|T| - |\lambda/\mu|} x^T,
$$

$$
JQ_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)} := \sum_{T \in \text{ShMVT}_Q(\lambda/\mu)} (-\beta)^{|T| - |\lambda/\mu|} x^T,
$$

where as usual $|T| := \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathsf{SD}_{\lambda/\mu}} |T_{ij}|$ and $x^T := \prod_{(i,j) \in \mathsf{SD}_{\lambda/\mu}} \prod_{k \in T_{ij}} x_{\lceil k \rceil}$. The functions $JP_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)}$ become the *weak shifted stable Grothendieck polynomials* from [\[17,](#page-33-20) §3] when $\beta = -1$ and $\mu = \emptyset$.

PROPOSITION 4.30 ([\[8\]](#page-32-3)). *If* $\mu \subseteq \lambda$ *are strict partitions then*

$$
\mathsf{S}\left(GP^{(\beta)}_{\lambda/\mu}\right) = (-1)^{|\lambda/\mu|} J P^{(\beta)}_{\lambda/\mu} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathsf{S}\left(GQ^{(\beta)}_{\lambda/\mu}\right) = (-1)^{|\lambda/\mu|} J Q^{(\beta)}_{\lambda/\mu}.
$$

Proof. This holds since $\omega\left(GP^{(\beta)}_{\lambda/\mu}\right) = JP^{(-\beta)}_{\lambda/\mu}$ and $\omega\left(GQ^{(\beta)}_{\lambda/\mu}\right) =JQ^{(-\beta)}_{\lambda/\mu}$ by [\[8,](#page-32-3) Cor. 6.6]. \Box

A partition of a set *S* is a set Π of disjoint nonempty blocks $B \subseteq S$ with $S =$ F *^B*∈^Π *B*. Choose strict partitions *µ* ⊆ *λ*. A *semistandard shifted bar tableau* of shape λ/μ is a pair $T = (V, \Pi)$, where *V* is a semistandard shifted tableau^{[\(4\)](#page-28-1)} of shape λ/μ and Π is a partition of $SD_{\lambda/\mu}$ into subsets of adjacent positions containing the same entry in V . One might draw this as a picture like

$$
(4.27)
$$

to represent the pair

$$
(V, \Pi) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 2 & 2 & 2 & 3' \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 3' & 3 \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 3 \end{array} \right)
$$

⁽³⁾To convert the functions \tilde{L}_{α} and \tilde{R}_{α} in [\[38\]](#page-33-19) to our notation, set $\tilde{L}_{\alpha} = \beta^{|\alpha|} L_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ and $\beta^{|\alpha|} \tilde{R}_{\alpha} =$ $R_\alpha^{(\beta)}$.

 (4) That is, a semistandard shifted set-valued tableau whose entries are all sets with exactly one element.

when $\lambda = (6, 4)$ and $\mu = (2)$. Let $|T| := |\Pi|$ and $x^T := \prod_{i \geqslant 1} x_i^{b_i}$ where b_i is the number of blocks in Π containing *i* or *i'*. Our example [\(4.27\)](#page-28-2) has $|T| = 5$ and $x^T = x_1^2 x_2 x_3^2$.

Let $\text{ShBT}_Q(\lambda/\mu)$ be the set of semistandard shifted bar tableaux of shape λ/μ and let $\text{ShBT}_P(\lambda/\mu)$ be the subset of such tableaux with no primed entries in diagonal positions. Then define

$$
jp^{(\beta)}_{\lambda/\mu}:=\sum_{T\in\mathsf{ShBT}_P(\lambda/\mu)}\beta^{|\lambda/\mu|-|T|}x^T\quad\text{and}\quad jq^{(\beta)}_{\lambda/\mu}:=\sum_{T\in\mathsf{ShBT}_Q(\lambda/\mu)}\beta^{|\lambda/\mu|-|T|}x^T.
$$

These generating functions were first considered as part of some conjectural formulas in [\[12,](#page-32-2) §7].

PROPOSITION 4.31 ([\[26\]](#page-33-7)). *If* $\mu \subseteq \lambda$ *are strict partitions then*

$$
\mathsf{S}\left(g p_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)}\right) = (-1)^{|\lambda/\mu|} j p_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathsf{S}\left(g q_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)}\right) = (-1)^{|\lambda/\mu|} j q_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)}.
$$

Proof. This holds since $\omega\left(gp_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)}\right) = jp_{\lambda/\mu}^{(-\beta)}$ and $\omega\left(gq_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)}\right) = jq_{\lambda/\mu}^{(-\beta)}$ by [\[26,](#page-33-7) Thms. 1.4 and 1.5].

There are similar formulas in the unshifted case for $S(G_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)})$ and $S(g_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)})$; see [\[38,](#page-33-19) §8].

COROLLARY 4.32. For all strict partitions $\mu \subseteq \lambda$ one has

 $jp_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)} \in \mathfrak{M}$ Sym_{*P}*, $jq_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)} \in \mathfrak{M}$ Sym_{*Q}*, $JP_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)} \in \mathfrak{m}$ Sym_{*P*}, and $JQ_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)} \in \mathfrak{m}$ Sym_{*Q*}.</sub></sub> *Moreover, the form in Proposition* [4.24](#page-24-2) *has* $[jp_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}, JQ_{\mu}^{(\beta)}] = [jq_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}, JP_{\mu}^{(\beta)}] = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$ for $all \lambda, \mu.$

Proof. The containments hold since $\mathfrak{M}Sym_{P}$, $\mathfrak{M}Sym_{Q}$, $\mathfrak{m}Sym_{P}$, and $\mathfrak{m}Sym_{Q}$ are all closed under S. The antipode of any commutative Hopf algebra is an involution [\[16,](#page-33-0) Cor. 1.4.12] so Theorem [4.25](#page-25-2) implies

$$
\begin{aligned} (-1)^{|\lambda|+|\mu|} [jp_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}, JQ_{\mu}^{(\beta)}] &= [\mathbf{S}(gp_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}), \mathbf{S}(GQ_{\mu}^{(\beta)})] \\ &= [\mathbf{S}^2(gp_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}), GQ_{\mu}^{(\beta)}] \\ &= [gp_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}, GQ_{\mu}^{(\beta)}] = \delta_{\lambda\mu}. \end{aligned}
$$

One derives the identity $[jq_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}, JP_{\mu}^{(\beta)}]=\delta_{\lambda\mu}$ similarly. \Box

Let $\mathbf{GP} \subsetneq \mathfrak{mSym}_P$ and $\mathbf{GQ} \subsetneq \mathfrak{mSym}_Q$ denote the proper $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ -submodules with ${G}P_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ and ${GQ_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}}$ as bases, rather than pseudobases, where λ ranges over all strict partitions.

Proposition 4.33. *Both* **GP** *and* **GQ** *are sub-bialgebras of* mSym *but not Hopf algebras.*

Proof. We already know that ${G P_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}}$ and ${G Q_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}}$ are pseudobases for LC-Hopf subalgebras of mSym. This result makes three nontrivial additional claims. First, the products $GP_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}GP_{\mu}^{(\beta)}$ and $GQ_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}GQ_{\mu}^{(\beta)}$ always expand as finite linear combinations of $GP^{(\beta)}$ - and $GQ^{(\beta)}$ -functions. For the $GP^{(\beta)}$ -functions, this was first shown in [\[13\]](#page-33-21); for other proofs, see [\[17,](#page-33-20) §4], [\[32,](#page-33-22) §1.2], or [\[39,](#page-33-23) §8]. For the $GQ^{(\beta)}$ -functions, the desired finiteness property is [\[26,](#page-33-7) Thm 1.6].

Second, the coproducts $\Delta(GP_{\nu}^{(\beta)})$ and $\Delta(GQ_{\nu}^{(\beta)})$ are always finite linear combinations of tensor products of the form $GP_{\lambda}^{(\beta)} \otimes GP_{\mu}^{(\beta)}$ and $GQ_{\lambda}^{(\beta)} \otimes GQ_{\mu}^{(\beta)}$. By [\(4.19\)](#page-23-3) and [\(4.20\)](#page-23-4) this is equivalent to the numbers $\hat{a}^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}$ and $\hat{b}^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}$ being nonzero for only finitely

many pairs (λ, μ) when ν is fixed. This holds since both numbers are zero if $\lambda \nsubseteq \nu$ (by definition) or if $\mu \not\subseteq \nu$ (since $\hat{a}^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu} = \hat{a}^{\nu}_{\mu\lambda}$ and $\hat{b}^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu} = \hat{b}^{\nu}_{\mu\lambda}$ as mSym is cocommutative).

To show that **GP** and **GQ** are not Hopf algebras, it suffices to check that $JP_{\lambda}^{(\beta)} =$ $\pm S(GP_{\lambda}^{(\beta)})$ and $JQ_{\lambda}^{(\beta)} = \pm S(GQ_{\lambda}^{(\beta)})$ may fail to be finite linear combinations of $GP^{(\beta)}$ and $GQ^{(\beta)}$ -functions. This can already be seen for $\lambda = (1)$ by setting $x_i = 0$ for all *i* > 1. Under this specialization one has $JP_{(1)}^{(\beta)} = \frac{-x_1}{1+\beta x_1}$, $JQ_{(1)}^{(\beta)} = \frac{-2x_1+\beta x_1^2}{1+\beta x_1}$, $GP_{(n)}^{(\beta)} = x_1^n$, and $GQ_{(n)}^{(\beta)} = (2 + \beta x_1)x_1^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, while $GP_{\mu}^{(\beta)} = GQ_{\mu}^{(\beta)} = 0$ whenever $\ell(\mu) > 1$, so the relevant expansions are clearly infinite. \Box

REMARK 4.34. The span of the stable Grothendieck polynomials ${G_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}}$ $\binom{(\beta)}{\lambda}$ is a bialgebra by [\[9,](#page-32-1) Cor. 6.7]. A similar argument shows that this bialgebra is also not a Hopf algebra, as $G_{(1)}^{(\beta)} = GP_{(1)}^{(\beta)}$.

It is often of interest to derive cancellation-free antipode formulas. We should point out that the results in this section are mostly not of this form, as we do not know how to expand $JP_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)}$, $JQ_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)}$, $jp_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)}$, and $jq_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)}$ in the respective $\{GP_{\nu}^{(\beta)}\}, \{GQ_{\nu}^{(\beta)}\},\$ $\{gp_{\nu}^{(\beta)}\}$, and $\{gq_{\nu}^{(\beta)}\}$ bases.

We have also not discussed the multi-Malvenuto-Reutenauer Hopf algebras mMR and MMR. The problem of finding cancellation-free antipode formulas for these Hopf algebras appears to be open. Progress on this question would give *K*-theoretic generalizations of the results in [\[4,](#page-32-0) §5].

4.6. Positivity properties. To conclude this article, we collect some open problems and conjectures related to positivity properties of our various symmetric functions. Let G^+ and g^+ denote the respective (finite) $\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}[\beta]$ -linear spans of the stable Grothendieck polynomials $\{G_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}\}$ $\{g_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}\}$ and their dual versions $\{g_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}\}$ $\lambda^{(\rho)}$, with λ ranging over all partitions. Buch [\[9,](#page-32-1) Cors. 5.5 and 6.7] derives Littlewood-Richardson rules for (co)products of stable Grothendieck polynomials, which imply that $G_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}G_{\mu}^{(\beta)} \in \mathbf{G}^+$ and $\Delta(G_{\lambda}^{(\beta)})$ \mathbf{G}^{+} \otimes **G**⁺ for all partitions λ and μ .

Similarly, let \mathbf{GP}^+ , \mathbf{GQ}^+ , \mathbf{gp}^+ , and \mathbf{gq}^+ be the respective (finite) $\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}[\beta]$ -linear spans of $\{GP_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}\}, \{GQ_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}\}, \{gp_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}\}$ $\{gq_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}\},\$ and $\{gq_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}\}$ $\{\lambda^{(p)}\}$, with λ ranging over all strict partitions. It is known that $GP_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}GP_{\mu}^{(\beta)} \in \mathbf{GP}^+$ and $GQ_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}GG_{\mu}^{(\beta)} \in \mathbf{GQ}^+$ for all strict partitions λ and μ , or equivalently that the integers $a_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}$ and $b_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}$ in [\(4.20\)](#page-23-4) are always nonnegative [\[26,](#page-33-7) Thm. 1.6]. By Proposition [4.23,](#page-23-2) this implies that we always have $gp_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)} \in \mathbf{gp}^+$ and $gq_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)} \in \mathbf{g}\mathbf{q}^+.$

Computations support some other conjectural positivity properties:

CONJECTURE 4.35. One has $GP_{\lambda/\!\!/ \mu}^{(\beta)} \in \mathbf{GP}^+$ and $gq_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ $\mathbf{g}_{\lambda}^{(\beta)} g q_{\mu}^{(\beta)} \in \mathbf{g}\mathbf{q}^+$ for all strict parti*tions* λ *,* μ *.*

CONJECTURE 4.36. One has $GQ_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)} \in \mathbf{GQ}^+$ and $gp_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ $\int_{\lambda}^{(\beta)} g p_{\mu}^{(\beta)} \in \mathbf{gp}^+$ *for all strict* $partitions \lambda, \mu.$

These conjectures are equivalent to the inequalities $\hat{b}^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu} \geq 0$ and $\hat{a}^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu} \geq 0$, or via [\(4.19\)](#page-23-3) to the coproduct identities $\Delta(GP_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}) \in \mathbf{GP}^+ \otimes \mathbf{GP}^+$ and $\Delta(GQ_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}) \in$ $\mathbf{GQ}^+ \otimes \mathbf{GQ}^+$. We do not know how to leverage the geometric interpretation of $GP_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ and $GQ_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ in [\[21,](#page-33-5) §8.3] to prove these properties.

Littlewood-Richardson rules are known for the coefficients $a_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}$; see [\[13,](#page-33-21) Thm. 1.2] or [\[39,](#page-33-23) §8]. Outside some special cases considered in [\[11,](#page-32-10) [26\]](#page-33-7), the following problem is open:

PROBLEM 4.37. Find combinatorial interpretations of the integers $b^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}$, $\hat{a}^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}$, and $\hat{b}^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}$ *in* [\(4.20\)](#page-23-4)*.*

REMARK. As noted in [\[8,](#page-32-3) Conj. 5.15], it also seems to hold that $GP_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)} \in \mathbf{GP}^+$ and $GQ_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)} \in \mathbf{GQ}^+$. By [\(4.17\)](#page-23-5), these containments would imply Conjectures [4.35](#page-30-1) and [4.36.](#page-30-2) The analogous property for stable Grothendieck polynomials $G_{\lambda/\mu}^{(\beta)}$ indexed by skew shapes follows from [\[9,](#page-32-1) Thm. 6.9].

The *conjugate (dual) stable Grothendieck polynomials* are given by

$$
J^{(\beta)}_{\lambda}:=(-1)^{|\lambda|}\mathsf{S}\left(G^{(\beta)}_{\lambda}\right)=\omega\left(G^{(-\beta)}_{\lambda}\right)\quad\text{and}\quad j^{(\beta)}_{\lambda}:=(-1)^{|\lambda|}\mathsf{S}\left(g^{(\beta)}_{\lambda}\right)=\omega\left(g^{(-\beta)}_{\lambda}\right)
$$

for partitions λ . The second equalities in these definitions hold by [\[44,](#page-34-2) Thm. 4.6]. Setting $\beta = -1$ turns $J_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ into the *weak set-valued tableau* generating function J_{λ} in *λ* [\[24,](#page-33-2) §9.7]. Setting $\beta = 1$ turns $j_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ $\lambda^{(p)}$ into the *valued-set tableau* generating function j_{λ} in [\[24,](#page-33-2) §9.8]. It follows from [\[24,](#page-33-2) §9] that

(4.28)
$$
J_{\lambda}^{(\beta)} = (-\beta)^{-|\lambda|} J_{\lambda}(-\beta x_1, -\beta x_2, \dots),
$$

$$
j_{\lambda}^{(\beta)} = \beta^{|\lambda|} j_{\lambda}(\beta^{-1} x_1, \beta^{-1} x_2, \dots).
$$

The power series $\{J_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}\}$ $\{j_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}\}$ and $\{j_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}\}$ *λ* } are another pair of dual bases for mSym and \mathfrak{M} Sym relative to the form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, since this inner product is S-invariant.

Below, we use the term *Schur positive* to refer to any element of mSym that can be expressed as a possibly infinite linear combination of Schur functions with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[\beta]$.

THEOREM 4.38 ([\[24,](#page-33-2) [25\]](#page-33-18)). For each partition λ , both $G_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ λ ^(β) **and** $j_\lambda^{(\beta)}$ *λ are Schur positive, while* s_{λ} *is both a finite* $\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}[\beta]$ *-linear combination of* $g_{\mu}^{(\beta)}$ *'s and an infinite* $\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}[\beta]$ *linear combination of* $J_{\mu}^{(\beta)}$ *'s.*

Proof. A few algebraic manipulations are needed to derive this statement from [\[24,](#page-33-2) [25\]](#page-33-18). First, [\[25,](#page-33-18) Thm. 2.8] expresses s_λ as an infinite $\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$ -linear combination of $G^{(-1)}_\mu$ functions. On substituting $x_i \mapsto \beta x_i$, dividing both sides by $\beta^{|\lambda|}$, and applying ω , this becomes a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}[\beta]$ -linear expansion of s_λ into $J_\mu^{(\beta)}$ functions. By duality $j_\lambda^{(\beta)}$ $\lambda^{(\rho)}$ is Schur positive; in view of [\(4.28\)](#page-31-0), this also follows from [\[24,](#page-33-2) Thm. 9.8], which gives the Schur expansion of $g_{\lambda} = \omega(j_{\lambda})$. Finally, [\[25,](#page-33-18) Thm. 2.2] gives a positive combinatorial interpretation of the Schur expansion of $G_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ $\alpha^{(\beta)}$, and by duality we have $s_{\lambda} \in \mathbf{g}^+$. \Box

It is known that $GP_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ and $GQ_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ are both in G^+ and hence Schur positive, for any strict partition *λ* [\[30,](#page-33-24) Thms. 3.27 and 3.40]. Combining [\[33,](#page-33-25) Cor. 4.7] and [\[34,](#page-33-26) Thm. 4.17] with the results in [\[10\]](#page-32-11) gives an algorithm to compute the $G_{\mu}^{(\beta)}$ terms appearing in $GP_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$. The only known algorithm to do the same for $GQ_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ is to expand the right side of (4.21) , which may involve cancellations.

Computations suggest some other instances of Schur positivity:

CONJECTURE 4.39. If λ *is a strict partition then* $jp_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ and $jq_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ are Schur positive.

The more interesting open problem implicit in this conjecture is the following:

PROBLEM 4.40. Find combinatorial interpretations of the coefficients in the expan*sions of* $GP_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$, $GQ_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$, $jp_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$, and $jq_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ into Schur functions and stable Grothendieck *polynomials.*

E. Marberg

The *canonical (dual) stable Grothendieck functions* $G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ $\lambda^{\alpha,\beta}$ and $g_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ $\lambda^{\left(\alpha,\rho\right)}$ are generalizations of stable Grothendieck polynomials introduced in [\[43\]](#page-34-3). In our notation, they satisfy $G_{\lambda}^{(0,\beta)} = G_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ $G_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$, $G_{\lambda}^{(-\beta,0)} = J_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ $g_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$, $g_{\lambda}^{(0,-\beta)} = g_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ $g_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$, and $g_{\lambda}^{(\beta,0)} = j_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ ^(β). Both G ^(α,β) *λ* and $g_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ $\lambda^{\alpha,\beta}$ are Schur positive by [\[18,](#page-33-27) Thm. 4.6] and [\[43,](#page-34-3) Thm. 9.8]. This suggests another open problem:

PROBLEM 4.41. *Describe the shifted analogues* $GP_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ and $GQ_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ (respectively, *gp* (*α,β*) $\int_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ *and* $gq_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ $\binom{(\alpha,\beta)}{\lambda}$ *of the power series* $G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ $\chi^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ *(respectively,* $g^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{\lambda}$ *λ) and prove similar positivity results.*

Theorem [4.38](#page-31-1) implies that $G_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ $\chi^{(\beta)}$ is an infinite $\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}[\beta]$ -linear combination of $J^{(\beta)}_{\mu}$'s and that $j_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}$ ^(β) is a finite $\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}[\beta]$ -linear combination of $g_{\mu}^{(\beta)}$'s. Patrias gives an explicit description of the coefficients in these expansions in [\[38,](#page-33-19) Thm. 59] using the notation $G_{\lambda} := G_{\lambda}^{(-1)}$ $\lambda_{\lambda}^{(-1)}$ and $\tilde{j}_{\lambda} := j_{\lambda}^{(-1)}$ *λ* .

There appears to be a shifted analogue of this result. Here, we write \widehat{JP}^+ and \widehat{JQ}^+ for the respective sets of infinite $\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}[\beta]$ -linear combinations of $JP^{(\beta)}$ - and $JQ^{(\beta)}$ functions.

CONJECTURE 4.42. *If* λ *is a strict partition then* $GP_{\lambda}^{(\beta)} \in \mathbf{\widehat{IP}^+}$ *and* $jq_{\lambda}^{(\beta)} \in \mathbf{g}\mathbf{q}^+$.

CONJECTURE 4.43. *If* λ *is a strict partition then* $GQ_{\lambda}^{(\beta)} \in \mathbf{JQ}^+$ *and* $jp_{\lambda}^{(\beta)} \in \mathbf{gp}^+$.

As usual, beyond simply proving these conjectures, the following is of interest:

Problem 4.44. *Find combinatorial interpretations of the coefficients appearing in the positive expansions suggested by Conjectures* [4.42](#page-32-12) *and* [4.43](#page-32-13)

Acknowledgements. We thank Joel Lewis for many helpful discussions and for his hospitality during several productive visits to George Washington University.

REFERENCES

- [1] Marcelo Aguiar, Nantel Bergeron, and Kathryn Nyman, *The peak algebra and the descent algebras of types B and D*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **356** (2004), no. 7, 2781–2824.
- [2] Marcelo Aguiar, Nantel Bergeron, and Frank Sottile, *Combinatorial Hopf algebras and generalized Dehn-Sommerville relations*, Compos. Math. **142** (2006), no. 1, 1–30.
- [3] Marcelo Aguiar, Kathryn Nyman, and Rosa Orellana, *New results on the peak algebra*, J. Algebraic Combin. **23** (2006), no. 2, 149–188.
- [4] Marcelo Aguiar and Frank Sottile, *Structure of the Malvenuto-Reutenauer Hopf algebra of permutations*, Adv. Math. **191** (2005), no. 2, 225–275.
- [5] Nantel Bergeron, Florent Hivert, and Jean-Yves Thibon, *The peak algebra and the Hecke-Clifford algebras at* $q = 0$, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **107** (2004), no. 1, 1–19.
- [6] Nantel Bergeron, Stefan Mykytiuk, Frank Sottile, and Stephanie van Willigenburg, *Shifted quasisymmetric functions and the Hopf algebra of peak functions*, Discrete Math. **246** (2002), no. 1-3, 57–66.
- [7] Louis J. Billera, Samuel K. Hsiao, and Stephanie van Willigenburg, *Peak quasisymmetric functions and Eulerian enumeration*, Adv. Math. **176** (2003), no. 2, 248–276.
- [8] Joel Brewster Lewis and Eric Marberg, *Enriched set-valued P-partitions and shifted stable Grothendieck polynomials*, Math. Z. **299** (2021), no. 3-4, 1929–1972.
- [9] Anders Skovsted Buch, *A Littlewood-Richardson rule for the K-theory of Grassmannians*, Acta Math. **189** (2002), no. 1, 37–78.
- [10] Anders Skovsted Buch, Andrew Kresch, Mark Shimozono, Harry Tamvakis, and Alexander Yong, *Stable Grothendieck polynomials and K-theoretic factor sequences*, Math. Ann. **340** (2008), no. 2, 359–382.
- [11] Anders Skovsted Buch and Vijay Ravikumar, *Pieri rules for the K-theory of cominuscule Grassmannians*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **668** (2012), 109–132.
- [12] Yu-Cheng Chiu and Eric Marberg, *Expanding K-theoretic Schur Q-functions*, Algebr. Comb. **6** (2023), no. 6, 1419–1445.
- [13] Edward Clifford, Hugh Thomas, and Alexander Yong, *K-theoretic Schubert calculus for* OG(*n,* 2*n* + 1) *and jeu de taquin for shifted increasing tableaux*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **690** (2014), 51–63.
- [14] J. Dieudonné, *Introduction to the theory of formal groups*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 20, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1973.
- [15] Sergey Fomin and Anatol N. Kirillov, *Grothendieck polynomials and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Formal power series and algebraic combinatorics/Séries formelles et combinatoire algébrique, DIMACS, Piscataway, NJ, 1994, pp. 183–189.
- [16] D. Grinberg and V. Reiner, *Hopf algebras in combinatorics*, 2014, [https://arxiv.org/abs/](https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.8356v7) [1409.8356v7](https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.8356v7).
- [17] Zachary Hamaker, Adam Keilthy, Rebecca Patrias, Lillian Webster, Yinuo Zhang, and Shuqi Zhou, *Shifted Hecke insertion and K-theory of* $OG(n, 2n + 1)$, Sém. Lothar. Combin. **78B** (2017), article no. 14 (12 pages).
- [18] Graham Hawkes and Travis Scrimshaw, *Crystal structures for canonical Grothendieck functions*, Algebr. Comb. **3** (2020), no. 3, 727–755.
- [19] Samuel K. Hsiao, *Structure of the peak Hopf algebra of quasi-symmetric functions*, preprint, 2002.
- [20] Samuel K. Hsiao and T. Kyle Petersen, *Colored posets and colored quasisymmetric functions*, Ann. Comb. **14** (2010), no. 2, 251–289.
- [21] Takeshi Ikeda and Hiroshi Naruse, *K-theoretic analogues of factorial Schur P- and Q-functions*, Adv. Math. **243** (2013), 22–66.
- [22] Shinsuke Iwao, *Neutral-fermionic presentation of the K-theoretic Q-function*, J. Algebraic Combin. **55** (2022), no. 2, 629–662.
- [23] Naihuan Jing and Yunnan Li, *A lift of Schur's Q-functions to the peak algebra*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **135** (2015), 268–290.
- [24] Thomas Lam and Pavlo Pylyavskyy, *Combinatorial Hopf algebras and K-homology of Grassmannians*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2007), no. 24, article no. rnm125 (48 pages).
- [25] Cristian Lenart, *Combinatorial aspects of the K-theory of Grassmannians*, Ann. Comb. **4** (2000), no. 1, 67–82.
- [26] Joel Lewis and Eric Marberg, *Combinatorial formulas for shifted dual stable Grothendieck polynomials*, Forum Math. Sigma **12** (2024), article no. e22 (45 pages).
- [27] Yunnan Li, *Toward a polynomial basis of the algebra of peak quasisymmetric functions*, J. Algebraic Combin. **44** (2016), no. 4, 931–946.
- [28] Kurt Luoto, Stefan Mykytiuk, and Stephanie van Willigenburg, *An introduction to quasisymmetric Schur functions: Hopf algebras, quasisymmetric functions, and Young composition tableaux*, SpringerBriefs in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2013.
- [29] Claudia Malvenuto, *Produits et coproduits des fonctions quasi-symétriques et de l'algèbre des descentes*, Ph.D. thesis, Université du Québec à Montréal, Montréal, 1993.
- [30] E. Marberg and T. Scrimshaw, *Key and Lascoux polynomials for symmetric orbit closures*, 2023, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.04226>.
- [31] Eric Marberg, *Linear compactness and combinatorial bialgebras*, Electron. J. Combin. **28** (2021), no. 3, article no. 3.9 (47 pages).
- [32] , *Shifted insertion algorithms for primed words*, Comb. Theory **3** (2023), no. 3, article no. 14 (80 pages).
- [33] Eric Marberg and Brendan Pawlowski, *K-theory formulas for orthogonal and symplectic orbit closures*, Adv. Math. **372** (2020), article no. 107299 (43 pages).
- [34] , *On some properties of symplectic Grothendieck polynomials*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **225** (2021), no. 1, article no. 106463 (22 pages).
- [35] M. Nakagawa and H. Naruse, *Universal factorial Schur P, Q-functions and their duals*, 2018, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.03328>.
- [36] Jean-Christophe Novelli and Jean-Yves Thibon, *Polynomial realizations of some trialgebras*, 18th Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics (FPSAC'06) (San Diego, USA), no. 1, 2006, pp. 243–254, <https://garsia.math.yorku.ca/fpsac06/papers/12.pdf>.
- [37] Kathryn L. Nyman, *The peak algebra of the symmetric group*, J. Algebraic Combin. **17** (2003), no. 3, 309–322.
- [38] Rebecca Patrias, *Antipode formulas for some combinatorial Hopf algebras*, Electron. J. Combin. **23** (2016), no. 4, article no. 4.30 (32 pages).
- [39] Oliver Pechenik and Alexander Yong, *Genomic tableaux*, J. Algebraic Combin. **45** (2017), no. 3, 649–685.
- [40] T. Kyle Petersen, *Enriched P-partitions and peak algebras*, Adv. Math. **209** (2007), no. 2, 561–610.

E. Marberg

- [41] Manfred Schocker, *The peak algebra of the symmetric group revisited*, Adv. Math. **192** (2005), no. 2, 259–309.
- [42] John R. Stembridge, *Enriched P-partitions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **349** (1997), no. 2, 763–788.
- [43] Damir Yeliussizov, *Duality and deformations of stable Grothendieck polynomials*, J. Algebraic Combin. **45** (2017), no. 1, 295–344.
- [44] , *Symmetric Grothendieck polynomials, skew Cauchy identities, and dual filtered Young graphs*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **161** (2019), 453–485.

Eric Marberg, Department of Mathematics, HKUST, Clear Water Bay, Hong Kong E-mail : emarberg@ust.hk