

ALGEBRAIC COMBINATORICS

Eric Nathan Stucky, Marko Thiel & Nathan Williams Strange expectations in affine Weyl groups Volume 7, issue 5 (2024), p. 1551-1574. <https://doi.org/10.5802/alco.383>

© The author(s), 2024.

 $\left($ ce) BY This article is licensed under the CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION (CC-BY) 4.0 LICENSE. <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Algebraic Combinatorics is published by The Combinatorics Consortium and is a member of the Centre Mersenne for Open Scientific Publishing <www.tccpublishing.org> <www.centre-mersenne.org>

e-ISSN: 2589-5486

Strange expectations in affine Weyl groups

Eric Nathan Stucky, Marko Thiel & Nathan Williams

Abstract Our main result is a generalization, to all affine Weyl groups, of P. Johnson's proof of D. Armstrong's conjecture for the expected number of boxes in a simultaneous core. This extends earlier results by the second and third authors in simply-laced type. We do this by modifying and refining the appropriate notion of the "size" of a simultaneous core. In addition, we provide combinatorial core-like models for the coroot lattices in classical type and type *G*2.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Motivation. Macdonald's celebrated affine denominator formula

$$
\prod_{\alpha \in \widetilde{\Phi}^+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})^{\text{mult}(\alpha)} = \sum_{w \in \widetilde{W}} (-1)^{\ell(w)} e^{w(\rho) - \rho}
$$

specializes to many famous identities, including Euler's pentagonal number theorem, Jacobi's triple product identity, and Dyson's identity for Ramanujan's *τ* -function [\[17,](#page-23-0) [7\]](#page-23-1). One such specialization— for simply-laced types— is the equality

(1)
$$
\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} c(x^i) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-x^{hi}}\right)^n \sum_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} x^{\langle \frac{h}{2}q - \rho, q \rangle},
$$

where *h* is the *Coxeter number* and $c(x)$ is the characteristic polynomial of a *Coxeter element*. There is a version for all types, which Macdonald refers to but omits in $[17]^{(1)}$ $[17]^{(1)}$ $[17]^{(1)}$ $[17]^{(1)}$:

$$
\sum_{q\in\mathcal{Q}} x^{\langle \frac{h}{2}q-\rho,q\rangle} = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[(1-x^i)^{n_s} (1-x^{ri})^{n_\ell} \prod_{\alpha\in\Phi_s} (1-x^i\omega^{\operatorname{ht}(\alpha)}) \prod_{\alpha\in\Phi_\ell} (1-x^{ri}\omega^{\operatorname{ht}(\alpha)}) \right],
$$

where n_s and n_ℓ count the number of short and long roots, ω is a primitive h^{th} root of unity, *r* is the ratio of the length of a long to short root, Φ_s and Φ_ℓ are the sets of short and long roots, and $ht(\alpha)$ is the height of the root α .

Manuscript received 5th October 2023, revised 16th May 2024, accepted 18th June 2024.

KEYWORDS. affine Weyl groups, core partitions, Ehrhart theory, root systems.

Acknowledgements. The first author was partially supported by NSF grant 1601961, NSF grant 1745638, and Czech Science Foundation grant 21-00420M. The third author was partially supported by NSF grant 2246877.

 (1) At the end of [\[17,](#page-23-0) Section 8], Macdonald writes "When *R* contains roots of different lengths, the formula corresponding to [Equation [\(1\)](#page-1-1)] is more complicated, and we shall not reproduce it here."

1.2. Partitions. Recall that an *integer partition* is a sequence of non-increasing positive integers $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_k)$. The *Ferrers diagram* of an integer partition λ (under the English convention) is a top-left justified subset of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ with λ_i boxes in the *i*-th row (counting from the top). A *hook* of a given box in a Ferrers diagram is the collection of boxes to the right and below the given box. An example is given in Figure [1.](#page-2-0)

FIGURE 1. The partition $\lambda = (5, 3, 1, 1)$, with boxes labeled by the lengths of their hooks. It is a 3-core (since it has no hooks of size 3) but not a 4-core.

An *a-core* is an integer partition with no hook of length *a*. For example, in type *A*, Equation [\(1\)](#page-1-1) can be interpreted as the beautiful combinatorial formula

(2)
$$
\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-x^i} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-x^{ai}}\right)^a \sum_{q \in \text{core}(a)} x^{\text{size}(q)}.
$$

An (*a, b*)*-core* is a partition that is simultaneously an *a*-core and a *b*-core. For *a* and b relatively prime, it turns out that there are only finitely many (a, b) -cores:

$$
|\mathsf{core}(a,b)| = \frac{1}{a+b} \binom{a+b}{b}.
$$

For λ a partition, write λ^{\dagger} for its conjugate and size(λ) for the number of its boxes. The starting point for a number of recent investigations has been Armstrong's conjecture on the average number of boxes in an (a, b) -core, and in a self-conjugate (a, b) -core [\[1,](#page-23-2) [2\]](#page-23-3), which can be thought of as a sort of finite version of Equation [\(2\)](#page-2-1).

THEOREM 1.1 ([\[14\]](#page-23-4)). *For* $gcd(a, b) = 1$,

$$
\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\lambda \in \mathrm{core}(a,b)}(\mathrm{size}(\lambda)) = \frac{(a-1)(b-1)(a+b+1)}{24} = \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathrm{core}(a,b) \\ \lambda = \lambda^{\mathsf{T}}}}(\mathrm{size}(\lambda)).
$$

Both equalities in Theorem [1.1](#page-2-2) were proven by Johnson using weighted Ehrhart theory [\[14\]](#page-23-4); the second equality was first proven by Chen, Huang, and Wang [\[5\]](#page-23-5).

In [\[20\]](#page-23-6), we generalized Armstrong's conjecture and Johnson's proof of the first equality to all *simply-laced* affine Weyl groups, thereby giving a sort of finite analogue of Equation [\(1\)](#page-1-1). In the present paper, we find and— in the words of Macdonald *reproduce* the generalization to all affine Weyl groups, giving a finite version of the formula for all types.

1.3. Combinatorial models of coroot lattices. The set of *a*-cores under the action of the affine symmetric group $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_a$ is a well-studied combinatorial model for the coroot lattice \mathcal{Q}_{a}^{\vee} of type A_{a-1} . Indeed, for all affine Weyl groups $W = W(X_n) :=$ $W \ltimes \mathcal{Q}_{X_n}^{\vee}$, there is a well-known \widetilde{W} -equivariant map from the group to the coroot lattice $W \to \mathcal{Q}_{X_n}^{\vee}$ given by $\widetilde{w} \mapsto \widetilde{w}(0)$, which restricts to a *W*-equivariant bijection on the cosets W/W . Thus, combinatorial models for $\mathcal{Q}_{X_n}^{\vee}$ also give models for W/W , representatives usually taken to be dominant affine elements. In type A_{a-1} , these correspondences give $\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_a$ -equivariant bijections

(3)
$$
\operatorname{core}(a) \leftrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{A_{a-1}}^{\vee} \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_a/\mathfrak{S}_a
$$

$$
\lambda \leftrightarrow q_{\lambda} \leftrightarrow \widetilde{w}_{\lambda}.
$$

We describe the first of these bijections $(\lambda \leftrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{A_{a-1}}^{\vee})$ in detail in Section [3.1.](#page-6-0)

REMARK 1.2. Here and throughout, all actions of \widetilde{W} on various sets are left-actions. In particular, this means that given a reduced expression $a_1 \cdots a_k$, the corresponding simple transpositions act in *decreasing* order of the indices (that is, we "read" right to left).

Similar combinatorial models may be produced for the quotients \widetilde{W}/W of other classical types $(X_n \in \{A_n, B_n, C_n, D_n\})$, by embedding $\mathcal{Q}_{X_n}^{\vee}$ into an appropriate type *A* coroot lattice. Figure [2](#page-3-0) illustrates these models in rank 2, as well as a similar model for $X_n = G_2$.

FIGURE 2. 3-cores in types A_2 and G_2 , and self-conjugate 4-cores in type *C*2. (See Section [5](#page-11-0) for further details.)

Under the correspondence between *a*-cores and \mathcal{Q}_{a}^{\vee} of Equation [\(3\)](#page-3-1), the set of (a, b) -cores turn out to be exactly those coroot points that sit inside of a certain affine transformation of the fundamental alcove $S(b)$, which includes a *b*-fold dilation, called the *b*-Sommers region (see Definition [6.1\)](#page-16-0). The natural generalization of $\text{core}(a, b)$ to any affine Weyl group is therefore the intersection of the coroot lattice $\mathcal{Q}_{X_n}^{\vee}$ with its *b*-Sommers region, so that $\text{core}(a, b) = \text{core}(A_{a-1}, b)$. In other words,

(4)
$$
\mathsf{core}(X_n, b) := \mathcal{Q}_{X_n}^{\vee} \cap \mathcal{S}_{X_n}(b).
$$

1.4. Previous work. Under the bijections of Equation [\(3\)](#page-3-1), we noticed in [\[20\]](#page-23-6) that the number of boxes in λ could be computed from the coroot q_{λ} as described above, or the inversion set of $\widetilde{w}_{\lambda}^{-1}$, where $\text{inv}(\widetilde{w}) = \widetilde{\Phi}^+ \cap \widetilde{w}(-\widetilde{\Phi}^+)$. More precisely:

PROPOSITION 1.3 ([\[20,](#page-23-6) Proposition 6.4 & Corollary 6.7]). Let λ be an a-core and ρ^{\vee} *be the sum of the fundamental coweights in type* A_{a-1} *. Then*

$$
\mathrm{size}(\lambda) = \sum_{\alpha + k\delta \in \mathrm{inv}(\widetilde{w}_\lambda^{-1})} k = \left\langle \frac{a}{2} q_\lambda - \rho^\vee, q_\lambda \right\rangle.
$$

It was natural to consider the corresponding statistic in *any* affine Weyl group $\widetilde{W}(X_n)$ acting on *V*, restricting to a certain finite set of coroots core (X_n, b) (defined below in Equation (4) , in analogy with simultaneous (a, b) -cores). The latter two authors showed that for simply-laced Weyl groups, the result mirrored Theorem [1.1.](#page-2-2)

Theorem 1.4 ([\[20,](#page-23-6) Theorem 1.10]). *Let Xⁿ be a simply-laced Cartan type with Coxeter number h, and let b be coprime to h. Then*

$$
\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{q \in \mathop{\mathrm{core}}(X_n,b)}(\mathop{\mathrm{size}}(q)) = \frac{n(b-1)(h+b+1)}{24}.
$$

When applied to $X_n = A_{a-1}$ (so that $n = a - 1$ and $h = a$), this result gives a proof of the left equality of Theorem [1.1](#page-2-2) for the expected size of simultaneous (*a, b*)-cores. But since self-conjugate cores are a combinatorial model for coroots in the *non-simply-laced* type C_n , we were unable to similarly specialize Theorem [1.4](#page-3-3) to conclude the right equality of Theorem [1.1](#page-2-2) for the expected size of a self-conjugate simultaneous core.

1.5. Improved size statistic. In this paper, we describe a modification of the size statistic to incorporate the lengths of the roots. This appears advantageous over the original statistic of [\[20\]](#page-23-6); we are able to apply the Ehrhart-theoretic techniques of P. Johnson outside of simply-laced type. Normalize root systems so that the highest root has length 2, and write *r* for the ratio of the length of a long to a short root. For $\widetilde{w} \in W/W$, define

(5)
$$
\operatorname{size}^{\vee}(\widetilde{w}) := \left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha + k\delta \in \operatorname{inv}(\widetilde{w}^{-1})\\ \alpha \text{ long}}} k \right) + r \left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha + k\delta \in \operatorname{inv}(\widetilde{w}^{-1})\\ \alpha \text{ short}}} k \right)
$$

This recovers the original statistic size in simply-laced type, but disagrees in nonsimply-laced type when $r > 1$. A similar statistic was independently considered in [\[4\]](#page-23-7).

Using a bijection analogous to those of Equation (3) , we interpret size α as statistics on the combinatorial models of Section [5.](#page-11-0) For instance, we shows that size^{\vee} in type C_n corresponds to the number of boxes in the corresponding self-conjugate $2n$ -core (see Figure [2\)](#page-3-0).

Following the same strategy as in [\[20\]](#page-23-6), we find an affine Weyl group element that maps $S(b)$ to a *b*-fold dilation of the fundamental alcove (correctly modifying the size statistic), and then apply Ehrhart theory to compute the expected value of size^{$\check{ }$} on $\mathsf{core}(X_n, b)$.

THEOREM 1.5. For X_n an irreducible rank *n* Cartan type with root system Φ ,

$$
\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{q \in \mathsf{core}(X_n,b)}(\mathsf{size}^{\vee}(q)) = \frac{rg^{\vee}}{h} \frac{n(b-1)(h+b+1)}{24},
$$

where h is the Coxeter number of X_n , g^{\vee} *is the dual Coxeter number for* Φ^{\vee} *, and r is the ratio of the length of a long root to the length of a short root in* Φ*.*

The extra factor of $\frac{rg^{\vee}}{h}$ is *invisible* in the simply-laced case, where $\Phi^{\vee} = \Phi$, $g^{\vee} = h$, and $r = 1$. As an immediate application of Theorem [1.5,](#page-4-0) we conclude both equalities in Theorem [1.1](#page-2-2) by specializing to these types. Interestingly, although the expected number of boxes in a simultaneous core and in a self-conjugate simultaneous core happen to be the same, the formulas have quite different interpretations: the factor of $a-1$ corresponds to the dimension *n* for ordinary simultaneous cores, but to g^{\vee} in the self-conjugate case.

We prove Theorem [1.5](#page-4-0) for non-simply-laced types in Section [7,](#page-19-0) after some setup, including a careful definition of $\mathcal{S}(b)$. Along the way, we briefly generalize other results from [\[20\]](#page-23-6), including in particular Theorem [6.3](#page-17-0) concerning the maximum size.

2. Background

We give a brief account of most of the notation used in the remainder of the paper for objects associated to affine root systems. For definitions and greater detail, we refer the reader to standard references (e.g. [\[12\]](#page-23-8)) or to the previous paper of the second and third author [\[20,](#page-23-6) Section 2].

2.1. ROOT SYSTEMS. Let *V* be a Euclidean space of dimension *n*, and $\Phi \subseteq V$ be an irreducible crystallographic root system in *V* of type X_n . We often suppress the X_n notation when there is only one root system under consideration. Denote a system of simple roots by $\Delta = {\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n}$, and the corresponding positive roots by Φ^+ .

For any $\alpha \in \Phi$, we may write α in the basis of simple roots as $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \alpha_i$, where the coefficients a_i are either all nonnegative or all nonpositive. The *height* of α is the sum of the coefficients: $\text{ht}(\alpha) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i$. Notice that $\text{ht}(\alpha) > 0$ if and only if $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ and $\text{ht}(\alpha) = 1$ if and only if $\alpha \in \overline{\Delta}$. There is a unique root $\tilde{\alpha}$ of maximal height called the *highest root* of Φ , and we denote its coefficients by c_i , that is, $\tilde{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \alpha_i \in \Phi$. In addition, the *Coxeter number* of Φ is $h := 1 + \text{ht}(\tilde{\alpha}) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i$.

For a root $\alpha \in \Phi$, define its *coroot* as $\check{\alpha} := \frac{2\alpha}{\|\alpha\|^2}$. Define the *dual root system* of Φ as $\Phi^{\vee} := {\alpha^{\vee}} : \alpha \in \Phi$. It is itself an irreducible crystallographic root system, and hence also has a highest root $\tilde{\gamma}$; note that although $\tilde{\gamma}$ is by definition the coroot of some $\alpha \in \Phi$, this α is typically not the highest root α . Writing $\widetilde{\gamma} = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i \alpha_i^{\vee}$ as a sum of the simple coroots in Φ^{\vee} then we define the *dual Coroter number* $\alpha^{\vee} = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n d_i$ the simple coroots in $\Phi^{\check{v}}$, then we define the *dual Coxeter number* $g^{\check{v}} := 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i$.

Define the *coroot lattice* Q^{\vee} of Φ as the lattice in *V* generated by Φ^{\vee} . Finally, let $(\omega_1^{\vee}, \omega_2^{\vee}, \ldots, \omega_n^{\vee})$ be the basis that is dual to the basis $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ of *V* consisting of the simple roots, so that $\langle \omega_i^{\vee}, \alpha_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$. Then $\omega_1^{\vee}, \omega_2^{\vee}, \dots, \omega_n^{\vee}$ are the *fundamental coweights*. They are a basis of the *coweight lattice*

$$
\Lambda^{\vee} := \{ x \in V : \langle x, \alpha \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Phi \}
$$

of Φ , which contains Q^{\vee} as a sublattice. The sum of these basis elements, $\rho^{\vee} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_i^{\vee}$, will be of particular importance. For notational convenience, we define $\omega_0^{\vee} := 0$.

NOTATION 2.1. We normalize the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on *V* so that $\langle \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\alpha} \rangle = 2$ and call $\alpha \in \Phi$ a *long root* if $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 2$.

In particular, all long roots are their own coroots. A *short root* is a root with $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$ < 2. If the system has short roots α , then $\alpha^{\vee} = r\alpha$ for an integer $r \in \{2,3\}$ independent of *α*. If the system does not have short roots, it is called *simply-laced*. Note that Φ^{\vee} is itself a root system, but not subject to Notation [2.1.](#page-5-0)

2.2. Affine Weyl groups and affine root systems. The *Weyl group W* associated to a root system Φ is the subgroup of $GL(V)$ generated by the *simple reflections*

$$
s_i = s_{\alpha_i} : x \mapsto x - 2 \frac{\langle \alpha_i, x \rangle}{\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_i \rangle} \alpha_i
$$

for $\alpha_i \in \Delta$. The corresponding *affine Weyl group* \widetilde{W} is the subgroup of distancepreserving transformations on *V* generated by the simple reflections $\{s_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ together with the additional *affine simple reflection*

$$
s_0: x \mapsto x - (\langle \widetilde{\alpha}, x \rangle - 1)\widetilde{\alpha}.
$$

One readily checks that the affine Weyl group *W* acts on both Q^{\vee} and Λ^{\vee} . For any $y \in V$, there is an associated translation $t_y: x \mapsto x + y$. If we identify \mathcal{Q}^{\vee} with the corresponding group of translations acting on *V*, then \widetilde{W} may be written as the semidirect product $\widetilde{W} = W \ltimes \mathcal{Q}^{\vee}$. For $\widetilde{w} \in \widetilde{W}$ we will use the notation $\widetilde{w} = w \cdot t_q$ to denote this semidirect product decomposition. This decomposition gives a bijection

 $W\backslash W \to \mathcal{Q}^{\vee}$ given by $\widetilde{w} \mapsto q$, but we will make frequent use of the following more interesting bioction. interesting bijection:

THEOREM 2.2. The map $\widetilde{W} = W \times Q^{\vee} \to Q^{\vee}$ defined by $\widetilde{w} \mapsto \widetilde{w}(0)$ descends to a \widetilde{W} *-equivariant bijection on the cosets* \widetilde{W}/W *.*

Proof. Evidently the first map is \widetilde{W} -equivariant, and because $g \in W$ implies $g(0) = 0$, we have that \tilde{w} and $\tilde{w}g$ have the same image. Hence we have a well-defined equivariant map on cosets, and evidently $q \mapsto t_s W$ is its inverse, as desired. map on cosets, and evidently $q \mapsto t_qW$ is its inverse, as desired.

The *(real)*^{[\(2\)](#page-6-1)} *affine root system* is defined by $\widetilde{\Phi} = \Phi \times \mathbb{Z}$, and— writing δ for a formal variable to keep track of the coefficient of \mathbb{Z} — we use the notation $\alpha + k\delta$ for a typical element of Φ . The root system Φ embeds in Φ by writing α_i as $\alpha_i + 0 \cdot \delta$, and we define $\alpha_0 := -\tilde{\alpha} + \delta$. The affine Weyl group \widetilde{W} acts on $\widetilde{\Phi}$ by

$$
\widetilde{w} \cdot (\alpha + k\delta) := w(\alpha) + (k - \langle \alpha, q \rangle)\delta,
$$

where $\widetilde{w} = w \cdot t_a$.

DEFINITION 2.3. *Given a reduced word* $\widetilde{\mathbf{w}} = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$ for $\widetilde{w} \in \widetilde{W}$ *, we define its*
inversion sequence inversion sequence

 $inv(\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}) = \beta_1 + k_1 \delta, \beta_2 + k_2 \delta, \dots, \beta_\ell + k_\ell \delta,$

where $\beta_j + k_j \delta$ *are the affine roots* $(s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{j-1}})(\alpha_{i_j}).$

There may be many reduced expressions— and hence many inversion sequences for a given $\tilde{w} \in W$, but these differ only by a reordering: they record the affine hyperplanes that separate $w(\mathcal{A})$ from the fundamental alcove \mathcal{A} .

3. Core partitions and the Type A coroot lattice

As discussed in the introduction, there is a close relation between the coroot lattice for type A_n and certain kinds of partitions. Much of the work in this section is wellknown $[2, 9, 20]$ $[2, 9, 20]$ $[2, 9, 20]$ $[2, 9, 20]$ $[2, 9, 20]$, with the exception of the $size_i^{\vee}$ -refinement of Proposition [3.4.](#page-8-0) We also refer the reader to the recent preprint [\[4\]](#page-23-7) and to our previous FPSAC abstract on this work [\[19\]](#page-23-10).

3.1. COROOTS AND CORES. In type A_{a-1} , one choice of simple roots is $\alpha_i := e_{i+1} - e_i$ for each $1 \leq i < a$. Then the highest root is $\tilde{\alpha} = e_a - e_1$, and the coroot lattice^{[\(3\)](#page-6-2)} is $\mathcal{Q}_{a}^{\vee} = \mathcal{Q}_{A_{a-1}}^{\vee} := \{q = (q_1, q_2 \dots, q_a) \in \mathbb{Z}^a : \sum_{i=1}^a q_i = 0\}.$

An integer partition λ can be characterized by its *Maya diagram*— a bi-infinite sequence of *beads*, which are either •s or ○s, that begins with an infinite sequence of only •s and ends with an infinite sequence of only ◦s. This diagram encodes the boundary of λ (in English notation) by detailing the steps taken when traversing from bottom left to top right: •s representing steps up and ◦s representing steps right. For example, the Maya diagram of the partition on the left of Figure [3](#page-7-0) is read from south-west to north-east as $\dots \bullet \bullet \circ \bullet \bullet \circ \circ \bullet \circ \circ \bullet \circ \circ \cdots$.

Partitioning the Maya diagram into consecutive subsequences of length *a* and stacking them vertically gives the *a*-*abacus* representation of λ . This is illustrated in the middle of Figure [3.](#page-7-0) Finally, an *a*-abacus is called *balanced* if we can draw a horizontal line between two rows with as many \circ s above the line as •s below; every partition has a unique representation as a balanced *a*-abacus.

⁽²⁾In the context of Kac–Moody algebras, the affine root system also contains the *imaginary* roots $0 + \mathbb{Z}\delta$; but here we follow the convention that ignores these extra roots, see e.g. [\[17\]](#page-23-0).

⁽³⁾For safety— even though roots and coroots can be identified in type *A*— we already throw in the distinguishing check.

An integer partition λ is an *a*-core if and only if its *a*-abacus representation is *flush*— that is, if each of the vertical "runners" of the abacus consists of an infinite sequence of only •s followed by an infinite sequence of only ∘s. A flush, balanced *a*abacus encodes a *coroot* as the *a*-tuple of signed distances from beneath the lowest • in each runner to the line witnessing the balanced condition— the balanced condition ensures that these distances sum to zero. We will say that a bead is at *level ℓ* if the distance from beneath the bead to the line witnessing the balanced condition is *ℓ*; note that this means that levels increase when reading down the abacus. This is illustrated on the right of Figure [3.](#page-7-0)

Figure 3. An example of the bijection between *a*-cores, abaci, and $Q^{\vee}_{A_{a-1}}$ (for $a = 3$).

By the discussion above, \mathcal{Q}_{a}^{\vee} is in bijection with the set of *a*-cores core (a) .

DEFINITION 3.1. *For* $q \in \mathcal{Q}_a^{\vee}$, we write λ_q for the a-core obtained by building the flush, *balanced a-abacus with levels of the lowest* • *in each runner given by the coordinates of q,* and then reading this as the Maya diagram of a partition. For $\lambda \in \text{core}(a)$ *, we write* q_λ *for the corresponding coroot in* \mathcal{Q}^{\vee}_a *obtained by reading the Maya diagram of λ, producing the corresponding a-core, and then reading off the levels of the lowest* • *in each runner.*

The action of the affine symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_a = W(A_{a-1})$ on \mathcal{Q}'_a is generated by the usual simple reflections s_i interchanging the i^{th} and $(i+1)^{\text{st}}$ positions, along with the additional affine simple reflection s_0 :

$$
s_i(q_1, \ldots, q_i, q_{i+1}, \ldots, q_a) = (q_1, \ldots, q_{i+1}, q_i, \ldots, q_a), \text{ and}
$$

$$
s_0(q_1, \ldots, q_a) = (q_a + 1, \ldots, q_1 - 1).
$$

We can translate this action of $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_a$ to the set of *a*-cores [\[13,](#page-23-11) Section 2.7] [\[16\]](#page-23-12). We think of a partition as an order ideal in $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ (top-left justified), where each $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is indexed by its *content* $(i - j) \mod a$. For $0 \leq i \leq a$, let the simple reflection s_i act on a partition by toggling all possible boxes with content *i* mod $n-$ that is, adding all possible missing boxes with content i which produce a valid Young diagram, or removing all possible present boxes with content *i* which produce a valid Young diagram. This extends to an action of the full affine symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_a on *a*-cores.

THEOREM 3.2. The action of the affine symmetric group $\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_a$ is preserved under the *bijection between* Q_a^{\vee} *and* $\text{core}(a)$ *of Definition [3.1.](#page-7-1) That is, for* $0 \le i \le a$, $q \in Q_a^{\vee}$, $and \lambda \in \mathsf{core}(a), we have$

$$
s_i(q) = s_i(\lambda_q) \text{ and } s_i(\lambda) = s_i(q_\lambda).
$$

3.2. Two size statistics. For λ a partition, write: λ^{\dagger} for its conjugate; size_i(λ) for the the number of boxes in λ with content *i* mod *a*; and size(λ) for the total number of its boxes. Under the bijection between coroots and *a*-cores, we can interpret these definitions in the language of the coroot lattice. For $q = (q_1, \ldots, q_a) \in \mathcal{Q}_a^{\vee}$, write $q^{\mathsf{T}} := (-q_a, \ldots, -q_1)$ and define

$$
\mathsf{size}^\vee_i(q):=\left\langle\frac{1}{2}q-\omega_i^\vee,q\right\rangle\text{ and } \mathsf{size}^\vee(q):=\sum_{i=1}^{a-1}\mathsf{size}^\vee_i(q)=\left\langle\frac{a}{2}q-\rho^\vee,q\right\rangle.
$$

Recall that in type A_{a-1} (up to the usual normalization that the sum of the entries ought to be zero), we have for $0 \leq i \leq a$:

$$
\omega_i^{\vee} = \sum_{j=1}^i e_i = (\underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_{i \text{ ones}}, 0, 0, \dots, 0)
$$

$$
\rho^{\vee} = \sum_{i=1}^{a-1} \omega_i^{\vee} = (a - 1, a - 2, \dots, 1, 0).
$$

Recall that we previously defined $\omega_0 = 0$, which agrees with this description. Note that we are able to safely ignore the normalization on ω_i^{\vee} and ρ^{\vee} because it is still enforced on the coroot q when computing $size_i^{\vee}$. For instance,

$$
\left\langle \frac{1}{2}q - (\omega_i^\vee + t(1,\ldots,1)), q \right\rangle = \mathsf{size}_i^\vee(q) - t \langle (1,\ldots,1), q \rangle = \mathsf{size}_i^\vee(q).
$$

EXAMPLE 3.3. Continuing the example from Figure [3,](#page-7-0) the coroot $q = (0, 2, -2) \in \mathcal{Q}_3^{\vee}$ 3 corresponds to the 3-core $\lambda =$ $0 \,|\, 1 \,|\, 2 \,|\, 0 \,|\, 1$ $2\,|\,0\,|\,1$ 1 0 . This λ has four boxes with content 0 mod 3, four with content 1 mod 3, and two with content 2 mod 3. We compute

$$
\mod 3, \text{ four with content 1} \mod 3, \text{ and two with content 2} \mod 3. We compute
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\text{size}_0^{\vee}(q) &= \left\langle \frac{1}{2}(0, 2, -2), (0, 2, -2) \right\rangle = 4 = \text{size}_0(\lambda), \\
\text{size}_1^{\vee}(q) &= \left\langle \frac{1}{2}(0, 2, -2) - (1, 0, 0), (0, 2, -2) \right\rangle = 4 = \text{size}_1(\lambda), \\
\text{size}_2^{\vee}(q) &= \left\langle \frac{1}{2}(0, 2, -2) - (1, 1, 0), (0, 2, -2) \right\rangle = 2 = \text{size}_2(\lambda).\n\end{aligned}
$$

This correspondence holds in general, as follows.

PROPOSITION 3.4. *For any a-core* λ *and* $q = q_{\lambda}$ *, then* $\lambda^{\mathsf{T}} = \lambda_{q^{\mathsf{T}}}$ *and* size^{\vee}(λ) = size(*q*). *In fact, for any* $0 \leq i < a$, $\text{size}_i(\lambda) = \text{size}_i^{\vee}(q)$.

Proof. The statement about conjugation follows by observing that the Maya diagram of a partition and its conjugate are related by reversing and interchanging $\bullet \leftrightarrow \circ$.

Write $q = (q_1, \ldots, q_a)$ and $\lambda = \lambda_q$. We compute directly that

$$
\left\langle \frac{1}{2}q - \omega_i^{\vee}, q \right\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{i} \left(\frac{1}{2}q_j - 1 \right) q_j + \sum_{j=i+1}^{a} \frac{1}{2}q_j^2
$$

=
$$
\sum_{j=1}^{i} \left(\frac{(q_j - 1)q_j}{2} - \frac{q_j}{2} \right) + \sum_{j=i+1}^{a} \left(\frac{(q_j + 1)q_j}{2} - \frac{q_j}{2} \right)
$$

=
$$
\sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{q_j(q_j - 1)}{2} + \sum_{j=i+1}^{a} \frac{(q_j + 1)q_j}{2}.
$$

Observing that this is a sum over runners of certain triangular numbers, it would suffice to show the boxes of content i in λ may be partitioned so each bead on runner *j* at level ℓ corresponds to:

$$
\begin{cases} \ell \text{ boxes } & \ell > 0 \text{, black bead, } j \leqslant i, \\ -\ell \text{ boxes } & \ell \leqslant 0 \text{, white bead, } j \leqslant i, \\ \ell - 1 \text{ boxes } & \ell > 0 \text{, black bead, } j > i, \\ -\ell + 1 \text{ boxes } & \ell \leqslant 0 \text{, white bead, } j > i. \end{cases}
$$

To do this, begin by partitioning the diagram beneath the main diagonal; that is, into the boxes with negative content and non-negative content. A black bead on runner *j* at level $\ell > 0$ represents a vertical edge on the boundary of λ , and every box not beneath the main diagonal is in the same row as some such edge. In that row, on or above the main diagonal, there is one box each of content $0, 1, 2, \ldots, (\ell-1)a+j-1$. Counting the number of such boxes with content $i \mod a$, we see there are $\ell - 1$ of them if $j \leq i$, or ℓ if $j > i$.

Similarly, a white bead on runner *j* at level $\ell \leq 0$ represents a horizontal edge on the boundary of λ , and every box beneath the main diagonal is in the same row as some such edge. In that row, beneath the main diagonal, there is one box each of content $-1, -2, \ldots, (\ell-1)a + j$. Counting the number of such boxes with content *i* mod *a*, we see there are $-\ell$ of them if $j \leq i$, or $-\ell + 1$ if $j > i$. □

4. SIZE STATISTICS IN GENERAL TYPE

We now turn to the general definition of the size statistic for affine Weyl groups note that when we leave type *A*, we do not have a uniform combinatorial interpretation (although see the next Section [5](#page-11-0) for interpretations in the other classical types B_n, C_n, D_n and in type G_2).

DEFINITION 4.1. *Fix* $\widetilde{w} \in \widetilde{W}$ and a reduced word $\widetilde{w}^{-1} = a_1 \cdots a_\ell$ for \widetilde{w}^{-1} , with inver-

sign acquance $\text{inv}(\widetilde{w}^{-1}) = \beta_{\ell+1} + \delta_{\ell} \beta_{\ell+1} + \delta_{\ell} \delta_{\ell}$. Let δ_{ℓ} For any $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots,$ *sion sequence* $\text{inv}(\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}^{-1}) = \beta_1 + k_1 \delta, \beta_2 + k_2 \delta, \dots, \beta_\ell + k_\ell \delta$. For any $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ with corresponding simple reflection ε , and simple root α , define *with corresponding simple reflection* s_i *and simple root* α_i *, define*

$$
\mathsf{size}^\vee_i(\widetilde{\mathsf{w}}) = \frac{2}{\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_i \rangle} \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant j \leqslant \ell \\ a_j = s_i}} k_j.
$$

EXAMPLE 4.2. Continuing Example [3.3,](#page-8-1) the coroot $q = (0, 2, -2) = -2\alpha_2^{\vee}$ corresponds to the coset containing $\tilde{w} = s_1 s_0 s_1 s_2 s_1 s_0 \in \tilde{A}_2$. We compute the inversion sequence for the reduced word $\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}^{-1} = s_0 s_1 s_2 s_1 s_0 s_1$ representing \widetilde{w}^{-1} :

$$
-\widetilde{\alpha}+1\cdot\delta, -\alpha_2+1\cdot\delta, -\widetilde{\alpha}+2\cdot\delta, -\alpha_1+1\cdot\delta, -\widetilde{\alpha}+3\cdot\delta, -\alpha_2+2\cdot\delta.
$$

We observe that $\text{size}_0(\widetilde{w}) = 1 + 3 = 4$, $\text{size}_1(\widetilde{w}) = 1 + 1 + 2 = 4$, and $\text{size}_2(\widetilde{w}) = 2$, agreeing with the previously-computed size_{*i*}(*q*) and size_{*i*}(λ_q).

Definition [4.1](#page-9-0) turns out to not depend on the reduced word chosen for \tilde{w}^{-1} , or on the choice of coset representative.

PROPOSITION 4.3. Let \tilde{w} , \tilde{w}' represent the same coset of \widetilde{W} , and let \tilde{w} and \tilde{w}' be any
two reduced words for those elements. Then $\sin^{\circ}(\tilde{w})$ *–* $\sin^{\circ}(\tilde{w}')$ *two reduced words for those elements. Then* $size_i^{\vee}(\widetilde{w}) = size_i^{\vee}(\widetilde{w}')$ *.*

Proof. We first show that size is constant when $\tilde{w} = \tilde{w}'$. Since the set of reduced words of \tilde{w} are connected under braid moves it suffices to show this when \tilde{w} and \tilde{w}' . words of \tilde{w} are connected under braid moves, it suffices to show this when \tilde{w} and \tilde{w}' differences and proves to the state of t differ by a single braid move. In that case, we have one of

- (i) $\widetilde{\mathbf{w}} = \cdots (s_i s_j s_i) \cdots$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}' = \cdots (s_j s_i s_j) \cdots$,
(ii) $\widetilde{\mathbf{w}} = \cdots (s_i s_i s_j) \cdots$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}' = \cdots (s_i s_i s_j)$
- (ii) $\widetilde{w} = \cdots (s_i s_j s_i s_j) \cdots$ and $\widetilde{w}' = \cdots (s_j s_i s_j s_i) \cdots$, or
- (iii) $\widetilde{\mathsf{w}} = \cdots (s_i s_j s_i s_j s_i s_j) \cdots$ and $\widetilde{\mathsf{w}}' = \cdots (s_j s_i s_j s_i s_j s_i) \cdots$

corresponding to a braid move of type A_2, B_2 , or G_2 . In each case, the order of the corresponding roots in the rank two parabolic subgroup is reversed; since the positions of the s_i are also reversed in cases (ii) and (iii), these are immediate. And in case (i), the statement follows because the roots in the rank two parabolic (of type *A*2) are of the form $\alpha, \alpha + \beta, \beta$.

Finally, if $\tilde{w} = \tilde{v}s_i$ for $i \neq 0$, then the affine roots $inv(\tilde{w}^{-1})$ are simply α_i and β_i , $j \in \mathbb{R}$ for $\beta_i + k_i \delta \in inv(\tilde{w}^{-1})$. By induction, the size of \tilde{w} is invariant under $s_i(\beta_i) + k_i\delta$ for $\beta_i + k_i\delta \in \text{inv}(\tilde{v}^{-1})$. By induction, the size of \tilde{w} is invariant under right-multiplication by *W*-elements, as needed. $□$

For any coset representative \tilde{w} , we may therefore define the statistic size^{\vee} on W/W as

$$
\operatorname{\mathsf{size}}^{\vee}(\widetilde{w}) := \sum_{i=0}^n \operatorname{\mathsf{size}}_i^{\vee}(\widetilde{w}) = \left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha + k\delta \in \operatorname{inv}(\widetilde{w}^{-1}) \\ \alpha \operatorname{long}}} k \right) + r \left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha + k\delta \in \operatorname{inv}(\widetilde{w}^{-1}) \\ \alpha \operatorname{ short}}} k \right).
$$

DEFINITION 4.4. *Recall that we expand the highest root as a sum of simples as* $\tilde{\alpha} = \sum_{n=0}^{n} a_n \alpha_n$ and set $a_n := 1$. For $a \in \tilde{\Omega}^{\vee}$ define $\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \alpha_i$, and set $c_0 := 1$ *. For* $q \in \mathcal{Q}^{\vee}$, define

$$
\mathsf{size}^\vee_i(q) = \Big\langle \frac{c_i}{2}q - \omega_i^\vee, q \Big\rangle.
$$

Because $\frac{2}{\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_i \rangle} = 1$ if α_i is long, and is *r* if α_i is short, and because $\sum_{i=0}^n c_i = h$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{n} \omega_i^{\vee} = \rho^{\vee}$, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{\mathsf{size}}^\vee(q) = \sum_{i=0}^n \operatorname{\mathsf{size}}^\vee_i(q) = \left\langle \frac{h}{2}q - \rho^\vee, q \right\rangle.
$$

THEOREM 4.5. *For* $\widetilde{w} = wt_q \in \widetilde{W} = W \ltimes \mathcal{Q}^{\vee}$, we have size $\widetilde{e}_i(\widetilde{w}) = \text{size}^{\vee}(w(q))$ and $size^{\vee}(\widetilde{w}) = size^{\vee}(w(q)).$

Note that $w(q) = wt_q(0)$, and so this theorem states that size^{\check{z}} and size^{\check{z}} are preserved under the equivariant bijection defined in Theorem [2.2.](#page-6-3)

Proof. It suffices to prove the statement for $size_i^{\vee}$. Let $j \neq 0$ and let $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$. We compute $\mathsf{size}^\vee_i(s_jw(q))$:

$$
\begin{aligned} \mathsf{size}^\vee_i(s_j w(q))&=\left\langle \frac{c_i}{2} s_j w(q)-\omega_i^\vee, s_j w(q) \right\rangle\\ &=\left\langle \frac{c_i}{2}\left[w(q)-\langle \alpha_j^\vee,w(q)\rangle \alpha_j\right]-\omega_i^\vee,\left[w(q)-\langle \alpha_j^\vee,w(q)\rangle \alpha_j\right]\right\rangle\\ &=\left\langle \frac{c_i}{2}q-\omega_i^\vee,w(q)\right\rangle+\left\langle \alpha_j^\vee,w(q)\right\rangle\cdot \langle \omega_i^\vee,\alpha_j\rangle\\ &=\mathsf{size}^\vee_i(w(q))+\left\{\begin{aligned} \left\langle \alpha_j^\vee,w(q)\right\rangle&\text{ if } i=j\\ 0&\text{ if } i\neq j \end{aligned}\right. \end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we compute $\textsf{size}_{i}^{\vee}(s_0w(q)) = \textsf{size}_{i}^{\vee}(w(q)) + \begin{cases} 1 - \langle \widetilde{\alpha}, w(q) \rangle & \text{if } i = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq 0 \end{cases}$

We now argue by induction on the length of an affine element \tilde{w} , with base case coming from the identity $e \leftrightarrow 0$ giving $\text{size}_{i}^{\vee}(e) = \text{size}_{i}^{\vee}(0) = 0$. Consider now an affine element $\widetilde{w} = w \cdot t_{-q}$ of length $\ell - 1$, a reduced expression $\widetilde{w} = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{\ell-1} = w \cdot t_q$, and simple transposition *s^j* .

The result follows by comparing $\text{size}_{i}^{\vee}(s_i\widetilde{w})$ to the computation above. First note
that the inversion sequence for $(s_i\widetilde{w})^{-1}$ agrees with that of \widetilde{w}^{-1} with an additional that the inversion sequence for $(s_j\widetilde{w})^{-1}$ agrees with that of \widetilde{w}^{-1} with an additional
last orthogonal sequence $\widetilde{w}(s_0) = w(s_0) + (s_0, s)$ while if $s = 0$, then last entry, $\widetilde{w}(\alpha_j)$. For $j \neq 0$, we have $\widetilde{w}(\alpha_j) = w(\alpha_j) + \langle \alpha_j, q \rangle \delta$, while if $j = 0$, then $\widetilde{w}(-\widetilde{\alpha}+\delta)=w(-\widetilde{\alpha})+(1-\langle \widetilde{\alpha}, q \rangle)\delta.$

When $j \neq i$ this last entry does not affect the computation of $size_i^{\vee}$. Otherwise $\text{size}_{i}^{\vee}(s_{j}\tilde{w})$ includes the above coefficient of δ in the sum, but with α_{j} instead of α_{j}^{\vee} .
This distinction does not matter if α_{j} is a long root since $\alpha_{j} = \alpha^{\vee}$ and (similarly for This distinction does not matter if α_j is a long root since $\alpha_j = \alpha_j^{\vee}$ and (similarly for $\tilde{\alpha}$). But if α_j is short, then difference between the root and coroot in the formulas properly introduces the required scaling factor of r properly introduces the required scaling factor of *r*.

5. Combinatorial models

In this section we describe combinatorial models for the affine Weyl groups of classical type, as well as G_2 , recovering and extending some results in [\[11,](#page-23-13) [6\]](#page-23-14). In each case, the models are obtained by exhibiting a suitable equivariant embedding from $Q^{\vee}_{X_n}$ into a type-A coroot lattice \mathcal{Q}_m^{\vee} . Hence, the objects of these models are partitions, and we interpret the statistic $size^{\vee}$, as well as its refinements $size^{\vee}$, in terms of the partitions. Unfortunately, we do not know of such an embedding for the remaining (exceptional) types F_4 , E_6 , E_7 , and E_8 , and so we leave open the problem of finding similar combinatorial models for them.

Figure 4. The affine Dynkin diagrams, with vertex *i* corresponding to the affine simple reflection *sⁱ* .

5.1. TYPE C. The simple roots for C_n are $\alpha_i := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ \overline{z} ^{(*e_i* – *e_{i+1}*) for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and} $\alpha_n := \sqrt{2}e_n$. Hence, the coroot lattice is $\mathcal{Q}_{C_n}^{\vee}$ = $\sqrt{2}\mathbb{Z}^n$. The action of $\widetilde{W}(C_n)$ on $\mathcal{Q}_{C_n}^{\vee}$ is given explicitly by

$$
s_i(x_1, \ldots, x_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n) = (x_1, \ldots, x_{i+1}, x_i, \ldots, x_n) \text{ for } 1 \le i < n,
$$
\n
$$
s_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (x_1, \ldots, -x_n), \text{ and}
$$
\n
$$
s_0(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (\sqrt{2} - x_1, \ldots, x_n).
$$

We embed the type C_n coroot lattice into the coroot lattice for $\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{2n}$ by

$$
\iota: \mathcal{Q}_{C_n}^{\vee} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{2n}^{\vee},
$$

$$
(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (x_1, \ldots, x_n, -x_n, \ldots, -x_1).
$$

Evidently, $\iota(\mathbf{x}) = \iota(\mathbf{x})^{\mathsf{T}}$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{Q}_{C_n}^{\vee}$, and therefore self-conjugate 2*n*-cores serve as a combinatorial model for the cores of type C_n . It is a particularly well-behaved model because *ι* is an isometry (that is, $\langle \iota(\mathbf{x}), \iota(\mathbf{y}) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$) and also our definition of size^V agrees with the number of boxes of the corresponding partitions, as we show in Theorem [5.2.](#page-12-0) Moreover, it is straightforward to check that the simple reflections of $W(C_n)$ agree with the following \mathfrak{S}_{2n} -elements acting on the *ι*-embedded coroot lattice:

$$
s_i \Leftrightarrow s_i^A s_{2n-i}^A \text{ for } 1 \leq i < n, \text{ while}
$$
\n
$$
s_n \Leftrightarrow s_n^A, \text{ and}
$$
\n
$$
s_0 \Leftrightarrow s_0^A.
$$

EXAMPLE 5.1. The inversion sequence for $\widetilde{W}(C_2)$ and $\widetilde{w}^{-1} = s_1s_0s_2s_1s_0$ (so that $\widetilde{w} - t - \nu(s_1)$ is $\widetilde{w} = t_{-\alpha_1^{\vee}} s_2$) is

$$
-\widetilde{\alpha} + \delta, -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + \delta, -\widetilde{\alpha} + 2\delta, -\alpha_2 + \delta, -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + 2\delta
$$

and because α_1 is short and $r = 2$, we have that $\text{size}_{1}^{\vee}(\widetilde{\mathsf{w}}) = 2 \cdot (1 + 2) = 6$.
On the other hand, observe that $\widetilde{\mathsf{w}}$ corresponds to $\alpha = \sqrt{2}(-1, 1) \in \mathcal{C}$.

On the other hand, observe that \tilde{w} corresponds to $q = \sqrt{2}(-1, 1) \in \mathcal{Q}_{C_2}^{\vee}$. Since $\omega_1^{\vee} = \sqrt{2(1,0)}$ and $c_1 = 2$, we compute √ √

$$
\mathsf{size}_1^{\vee}(q) = \left\langle \sqrt{2}(-1,1) - \sqrt{2}(1,0), \sqrt{2}(-1,1) \right\rangle = 2 \left\langle (-1,1) - (1,0), (-1,1) \right\rangle = 6.
$$

Moreover, the corresponding 4-core has 6 boxes with content 1 or 3 mod 4:

$$
\lambda_{(-1,1,1,-1)} = \frac{\begin{array}{|c|c|} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 3 & 0 & 1 & \\ \hline 2 & 3 & & \\ \hline 1 & & & \end{array}}.
$$

THEOREM 5.2. The map $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \lambda_{\iota(\mathbf{x})}$ is a $\widetilde{W}(C_n)$ -equivariant bijection between the C_n *coroot lattice and self-conjugate* (2*n*)-*cores. Moreover, for any* $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{Q}_{C_n}^{\vee}$,

$$
\mathsf{size}^\vee_i(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \mathsf{size}^\vee_i(\lambda_{\iota(\mathbf{x})}) + \mathsf{size}^\vee_{2n-i}(\lambda_{\iota(\mathbf{x})}) & \text{if } 1 \leqslant i < n \\ \mathsf{size}^\vee_i(\lambda_{\iota(\mathbf{x})}) & \text{if } i = 0, n \end{cases},
$$

 $and hence size^{\vee}(\mathbf{x}) = size^{\vee}(\lambda_{\iota(\mathbf{x})}).$

Proof. Note that the map is well-defined because by definition, $\iota(\mathbf{x})^{\mathsf{T}} = \iota(\mathbf{x})$ and thus by Proposition [3.4](#page-8-0) we have $\lambda_{\iota(\mathbf{x})}$ is self-conjugate. It is evidently injective, and it is easy to see that every self-conjugate partition is in the image as well. Equivariance follows from the straightforward check above and Theorem [3.2.](#page-7-2) Thus it remains to prove that it preserves the statistic size **<**.

Begin by observing the following:

$$
\iota(\omega_i^{\vee}) = ((\omega_i^A)^{\vee} + (\omega_{2n-i}^A)^{\vee}), \quad 1 \leq i < n, \quad \text{and} \quad \iota(\omega_i^{\vee}) = (\omega_i^A)^{\vee}, \quad i = 0, n.
$$

where $(\omega_i^A)^\vee$ is the *i*th fundamental coweight in type A_{2n-1} (and $(\omega_0^A)^\vee = 0$ as always).

Write λ_i for the number of boxes in $\lambda_i(\mathbf{x})$ with content equal to *i* mod 2*n*. The content of Proposition [3.4](#page-8-0) is that $\lambda_i = \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \iota(\mathbf{x}) - (\omega_i^A)^\vee, \iota(\mathbf{x}) \right\rangle$. Thus, for all $1 \leq i < n$, we have

$$
\begin{split} \text{size}^{\vee}_{i}(\mathbf{x}) &= \left\langle \frac{c_{i}}{2} \mathbf{x} - \omega_{i}^{\vee}, \mathbf{x} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \mathbf{x} - \omega_{i}^{\vee}, \mathbf{x} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \iota(\mathbf{x}) - (\omega_{i}^{A})^{\vee} - (\omega_{2n-i}^{A})^{\vee}, \iota(\mathbf{x}) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \iota(\mathbf{x}) - (\omega_{i}^{A})^{\vee}, \iota(\mathbf{x}) \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \iota(\mathbf{x}) - (\omega_{2n-i}^{A})^{\vee}, \iota(\mathbf{x}) \right\rangle \\ &= \lambda_{i} + \lambda_{2n-i}, \end{split}
$$

and for $i = 0$ or $i = n$ the calculation is similar, but because $c_i = 1$, we obtain

$$
\mathsf{size}^{\vee}_i(\mathbf{x}) = \left\langle \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x} - \omega_i^{\vee}, \mathbf{x} \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{2}\iota(\mathbf{x}) - (\omega_i^A)^{\vee}, \iota(\mathbf{x}) \right\rangle = \lambda_i.
$$

Finally, summing over all *i* yields the claim for $size^{\vee}$.

$$
\qquad \qquad \Box
$$

5.2. TYPE B. The simple roots for B_n are $\alpha_i := e_i - e_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\alpha_n := e_n$. Hence, the coroot lattice is

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{B_n}^{\vee} = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \equiv 0 \mod 2 \right\}.
$$

The action of $W(B_n)$ on $\mathcal{Q}_{B_n}^{\vee}$ is given explicitly by

$$
s_i(x_1, \ldots, x_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n) = (x_1, \ldots, x_{i+1}, x_i, \ldots, x_n) \text{ for } 1 \leq i < n,
$$
\n
$$
s_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (x_1, \ldots, -x_n), \text{ and}
$$
\n
$$
s_0(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = (1 - x_2, 1 - x_1, \ldots, x_n).
$$

We may embed the B_n coroot lattice into the coroot lattice for $\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{2n}$ using essentially the same *ι* as for C_n , namely $\iota : (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1, \ldots, x_n, -x_n, \ldots, -x_1)$. However, because we no longer have the normalization factor, this ι fails to be an isometry; rather, $\langle \iota(\mathbf{x}), \iota(\mathbf{y}) \rangle = 2\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$. Nevertheless, it is again straightforward to mimic the action of $\widetilde{W}(B_n)$ using $\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{2n}$ by

$$
s_i \mapsto s_i^A s_{2n-i}^A \text{ for } 1 \leq i < n, \text{ while}
$$

\n
$$
s_n \mapsto s_n^A, \text{ and}
$$

\n
$$
s_0 \mapsto s_0^A s_1^A s_{2n-1}^A s_0^A.
$$

We thus obtain a combinatorial model for $Q_{B_n}^{\vee}$.

THEOREM 5.3. The map $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \lambda_{\iota(\mathbf{x})}$ is a $\widetilde{W}(B_n)$ -equivarant bijection between the B_n *coroot lattice and self-conjugate* 2*n-cores with an even number of boxes on the main diagonal.* For $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{Q}_{B_n}^{\vee}$,

$$
\text{size}^\vee_i(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{cases} \text{size}^\vee_i(\lambda_{\iota(\mathbf{x})}) + \text{size}^\vee_{2n-i}(\lambda_{\iota(\mathbf{x})}) & \text{if } 1 < i \leqslant n \\ \text{size}^\vee_1(\lambda_{\iota(\mathbf{x})}) + \text{size}^\vee_{2n-1}(\lambda_{\iota(\mathbf{x})}) - \text{size}^\vee_0(\lambda_{\iota(\mathbf{x})}) & \text{if } i = 1 \\ \text{size}^\vee_0(\lambda_{\iota(\mathbf{x})}) & \text{if } i = 0 \end{cases},
$$

 $and \ hence \ \text{size}^{\vee}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\text{size}^{\vee}(\lambda_{\iota(\mathbf{x})}) - \text{size}^{\vee}_{0}(\lambda_{\iota(\mathbf{x})}) \right).$

Proof. As in Theorem [5.2](#page-12-0) we have that $\lambda_{\iota(\mathbf{x})}$ is self-conjugate. Moreover, for any partition λ , the side length of its Durfee square is the number of black beads that lie below the line in its abacus diagram that witnesses the fact that it is balanced. By definition of $\lambda_{\iota(\mathbf{x})}$, this must be $|x_1| + |x_2| + \cdots + |x_n|$, and since $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{Q}_{B_n}^{\vee}$, this number must be even. Thus $\lambda_{\iota(\mathbf{x})}$ has an even number of elements along its main diagonal, as desired.

This shows that the map is well-defined. Bijectivity and equivariance follow in a manner analogous to Theorem [5.2.](#page-12-0) It remains to prove that it has the claimed effect on size^V. We begin as before with the following observation:

$$
\iota(\omega_i^{\vee}) = ((\omega_i^A)^{\vee} + (\omega_{2n-i}^A)^{\vee}), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad \text{and} \quad \iota(\omega_0^{\vee}) = (\omega_0^A)^{\vee}.
$$

Write λ_i for the number of boxes in $\lambda_i(x)$ with content equal to *i* mod 2*n*. By Proposition [3.4,](#page-8-0) this is $\left\langle \frac{1}{2} \iota(\mathbf{x}) - (\omega_i^A)^\vee, \iota(\mathbf{x}) \right\rangle$. Thus, for all $2 \leq i < n$,

$$
\begin{split} \mathsf{size}^{\vee}_i(\mathbf{x}) &= \langle \mathbf{x} - \omega_i^{\vee}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \iota(\mathbf{x}) - (\omega_i^A)^{\vee} - (\omega_{2n-i}^A)^{\vee}, \iota(\mathbf{x}) \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \iota(\mathbf{x}) - (\omega_i^A)^{\vee}, \iota(\mathbf{x}) \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \iota(\mathbf{x}) - (\omega_{2n-i}^A)^{\vee}, \iota(\mathbf{x}) \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{\lambda_i + \lambda_{2n-i}}{2}. \end{split}
$$

We obtain the calculations for $size_0^{\vee}(\mathbf{x})$ and $size_n^{\vee}(\mathbf{x})$ in a manner analogous to type C_n . But when $i = 1$, we observe somewhat different behavior because $c_1 = 1$:

$$
\begin{split} \mathsf{size}^{\vee}_{i}(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \iota(\mathbf{x}) - (\omega_{1}^{A})^{\vee} - (\omega_{2n-1}^{A})^{\vee}, \iota(\mathbf{x}) \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\left\langle \frac{1}{2} \iota(\mathbf{x}) - (\omega_{1}^{A})^{\vee}, \iota(\mathbf{x}) \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \iota(\mathbf{x}) - (\omega_{2n-1}^{A})^{\vee}, \iota(\mathbf{x}) \right\rangle \\ &- \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \iota(\mathbf{x}) - (\omega_{0}^{A})^{\vee}, \iota(\mathbf{x}) \right\rangle \right) \\ &= \frac{\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2n-1} - \lambda_{0}}{2} .\end{split}
$$

As usual, the last equality follows by applying Proposition [3.4.](#page-8-0)

By comparing the results of these calculations to the definition of $size_i^{\vee}(\lambda_i(\mathbf{x}))$ and summing over i , we obtain the desired equalities.

5.3. TYPE D. The simple roots for D_n are $\alpha_i := e_i - e_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $a_n := e_{n-1} + e_n$. The highest root is $\tilde{a} := e_1 + e_2$, and the coroot lattice is the same as for B_n , namely

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{D_n}^{\vee} = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0 \mod 2 \right\}.
$$

The action of $W(D_n)$ on $\mathcal{Q}_{D_n}^{\vee}$ is given explicitly by

$$
s_i^D(x_1, \ldots, x_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n) = (x_1, \ldots, x_{i+1}, x_i, \ldots, x_n) \text{ for } 1 \le i < n,
$$
\n
$$
s_n^D(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_n) = (x_1, \ldots, -x_n, -x_{n-1}), \text{ and }
$$
\n
$$
s_0^D(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = (1 - x_2, 1 - x_1, \ldots, x_n).
$$

We again embed the D_n coroot lattice into the coroot lattice for $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{2n}$ using the same *ι* as in type *B* and mimic the action of $\widetilde{W}(D_n)$ using $\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_{2n}$ by

$$
s_i \mapsto s_i^A s_{2n+1-i}^A \text{ for } 1 \leq i < n, \text{ while}
$$

\n
$$
s_n \mapsto s_n^A s_{n-1}^A s_{n+1}^A s_n^A, \text{ and}
$$

\n
$$
s_0 \mapsto s_0^A s_1^A s_{2n-1}^A s_0^A.
$$

We obtain a combinatorial model for $\mathcal{Q}_{D_n}^{\vee}$.

THEOREM 5.4. The map $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \lambda_{\iota(\mathbf{x})}$ is a $\widetilde{W}(D_n)$ -equivarant bijection between the D_n *coroot lattice and self-conjugate* 2*n-cores with an even number of boxes on the main diagonal.* For $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{Q}_{D_n}^{\vee}$,

$$
\text{size}^\vee_i(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{cases} \text{size}^\vee_{i}(\lambda_{\iota(\mathbf{x})}) + \text{size}^\vee_{2n-i}(\lambda_{\iota(\mathbf{x})}) & \text{if } 1 < i < n-1 \\ \text{size}^\vee_{n-1}(\lambda_{\iota(\mathbf{x})}) + \text{size}^\vee_{n+1}(\lambda_{\iota(\mathbf{x})}) - \text{size}^\vee_{n}(\lambda_{\iota(\mathbf{x})}) & \text{if } i = n-1 \\ \text{size}^\vee_1(\lambda_{\iota(\mathbf{x})}) + \text{size}^\vee_{2n-1}(\lambda_{\iota(\mathbf{x})}) - \text{size}^\vee_0(\lambda_{\iota(\mathbf{x})}) & \text{if } i = 1 \\ \text{size}^\vee_i(\lambda_{\iota(\mathbf{x})}) & \text{if } i = 0, n \end{cases},
$$

 $and \ hence \ \ \text{size}^{\vee}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\text{size}^{\vee}(\lambda_{\iota(\mathbf{x})}) - \text{size}^{\vee}_{0}(\lambda_{\iota(\mathbf{x})}) - \text{size}^{\vee}_{n}(\lambda_{\iota(\mathbf{x})}) \right).$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{Q}_{B}^{\vee} = \mathcal{Q}_{D}^{\vee}$, the first statement is automatic from Theorem [5.3.](#page-13-0) As usual, to prove the map has the claimed effect on size^V, we begin with the following observation:

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\iota(\omega_i^\vee) &= (\omega_i^A)^\vee + (\omega_{2n-i}^A)^\vee & 1 \leqslant i < n-1 \\
\iota((\omega_{n-1}^A)^\vee) &= (\omega_{n-1}^A)^\vee - (\omega_n^A)^\vee + (\omega_{n+1}^A)^\vee \\
\iota(\omega_n^\vee) &= (\omega_n^A)^\vee.\n\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the computation of $size_i^{\vee}$ is identical to the type B_n for all *i* except for $i = n - 1, n$. When $i = n$ it is analogous to the type C_n computation, and when $i = n - 1$ we compute:

$$
\begin{split} \mathsf{size}_{n-1}^{\vee}(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \iota(\mathbf{x}) - (\omega_{n-1}^A)^{\vee} + (\omega_n^A)^{\vee} - (\omega_{n+1}^A)^{\vee}, \iota(\mathbf{x}) \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\left\langle \frac{1}{2} \iota(\mathbf{x}) - (\omega_{n-1}^A)^{\vee}, \iota(\mathbf{x}) \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \iota(\mathbf{x}) - (\omega_n^A)^{\vee}, \iota(\mathbf{x}) \right\rangle \\ &+ \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \iota(\mathbf{x}) - (\omega_{n+1}^A)^{\vee}, \iota(\mathbf{x}) \right\rangle \right) \\ &= \frac{\lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_n + \lambda_{n+1}}{2} .\end{split}
$$

where as usual λ_i is the number of boxes in $\lambda_i(\mathbf{x})$ with content *i* mod 2*n*. □

5.4. TYPE G_2 . Following the usual construction, we consider G_2 as acting on the orthogonal complement of the line $\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(1,1,1)$ in \mathbb{R}^3 . The simple roots for G_2 can be taken to be

$$
\alpha_1 := (1, -1, 0)
$$
 and $\alpha_2 := \frac{1}{3}(-1, 2, -1)$.

With these conventions, the coroot lattice is $\mathcal{Q}_{G_2}^{\vee} = \mathcal{Q}_3^{\vee}$. That is, the type G_2 coroot lattice coincides with the coroot lattice for $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_3$. Therefore, the map $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \lambda_{\mathbf{x}}$ gives a bijection between $Q_{G_2}^{\vee}$ and 3-cores. The action of $W(G_2)$ on $Q_{G_2}^{\vee}$ is given explicitly by

$$
s_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_2, x_1, x_3),
$$

\n
$$
s_2(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (-x_3, -x_2, -x_1),
$$
 and
\n
$$
s_0(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_3 + 1, x_2, x_1 - 1).
$$

We may therefore emulate the action of \widetilde{G}_2 using $\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_3$ by

$$
s_1(\mathbf{x}) = s_1^A(\mathbf{x}),
$$

\n
$$
s_2(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^\mathsf{T}, \text{ and}
$$

\n
$$
s_0(\mathbf{x}) = s_0^A(\mathbf{x}).
$$

As in [\[6\]](#page-23-14), we obtain a combinatorial model for $Q_{G_2}^{\vee}$.

THEOREM 5.5. The map $q \mapsto \lambda_q$ is a $\widetilde{W}(G_2)$ -equivarant bijection between the G_2 *coroot lattice and* 3*-cores:* s_1^G *acts on a 3-core by adding or removing all boxes of content* 1*,* s_0^G *acts similarly on boxes of content* 0*, and* s_2^G *acts by conjugation. For* $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{Q}^\vee_{G_2},$

$$
\text{size}^\vee_i(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \text{size}^\vee_0(\lambda_\mathbf{x}) & \text{if } i=0 \\ \text{size}^\vee_0(\lambda_\mathbf{x}) + \text{size}^\vee_1(\lambda_\mathbf{x}) + \text{size}^\vee_2(\lambda_\mathbf{x}) & \text{if } i=1 \\ 3 \cdot \text{size}^\vee_0(\lambda_\mathbf{x}) - \text{size}^\vee_0(\lambda_\mathbf{x}) & \text{if } i=2 \end{cases},
$$

 $and \ hence \ \text{size}_{i}^{\vee}(q) = \text{size}^{\vee}(\lambda_q) + 3 \cdot \text{size}_{2}^{\vee}(\lambda_q).$

Proof. The bijectivity and equivariance of the map is the content of Theorem [3.2.](#page-7-2) It remains to prove its effect on size^{\vee}. Because

$$
\omega_1^{\vee} = (\omega_1^A)^{\vee} + (\omega_2^A)^{\vee} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_2^{\vee} = 3(\omega_2^A)^{\vee},
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\text{size}_{0}^{\vee}(\mathbf{x}) &= \left\langle \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x} - \omega_{0}^{\vee}, \mathbf{x} \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \right\rangle \\
&= \lambda_{0} \\
\text{size}_{1}^{\vee}(\mathbf{x}) &= \left\langle \frac{3}{2}\mathbf{x} - \omega_{1}^{\vee}, \mathbf{x} \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{3}{2}\iota(\mathbf{x}) - (\omega_{1}^{A})^{\vee} - (\omega_{2}^{A})^{\vee}, \iota(\mathbf{x}) \right\rangle \\
&= \lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \lambda_{0} \\
\text{size}_{2}^{\vee}(\mathbf{x}) &= \left\langle \mathbf{x} - \omega_{2}^{\vee}, \mathbf{x} \right\rangle = \left\langle \iota(\mathbf{x}) - 3(\omega_{2}^{A})^{\vee}, \iota(\mathbf{x}) \right\rangle \\
&= 3\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{0},\n\end{aligned}
$$

where λ_i is as usual the number of boxes in $\lambda_{\mathbf{x}}$ with content *i* mod 3. □

6. Simultaneous cores

Recall that an (*a, b*)-core is a partition which is both *a*-core and *b*-core. In [\[14,](#page-23-4) Lemma 3.1], Johnson showed that among the set of *a*-cores, the (*a, b*)-cores are precisely those that satisfy certain simple inequalities on the heights of their runners. Thus, when considering them as elements of $\mathcal{Q}_{A_{a-1}}^{\vee}$, these inequalities imply that they are lattice points of a polytope in \mathbb{R}^a . In fact this polytope is a simplex, previously been considered by Sommers [\[18\]](#page-23-15), which we describe now. Recall that $\Phi = \Phi(X_n)$ is a root system with irreducible Cartan type X_n and Coxeter number h . For $1 \leq i \leq h$, write Φ*ⁱ* to denote the set of (positive) roots of height *i*.

DEFINITION 6.1. *For b coprime to h*, write $b = t_b h + r_b$ with $t_b, r_b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $0 < r_b <$ *h. We define the b*-Sommers region

$$
\mathcal{S}_{X_n}(b) := \left\{ x \in V : \begin{matrix} \langle x, \alpha \rangle \geqslant -t_b \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Phi_{r_b} \text{ and } \\ \langle x, \alpha \rangle \leqslant t_b + 1 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Phi_{h-r_b} \end{matrix} \right\}
$$

(We write S(*b*) *when the root system is clear from context.)*

Algebraic Combinatorics, Vol. 7 #5 (2024) 1566

.

As in Equation [\(4\)](#page-3-2), a natural generalization of $\mathsf{core}(a, b)$ to any affine Weyl group is the intersection of the coroot lattice $\mathcal{Q}_{X_n}^{\vee}$ with $\mathcal{S}_{X_n}(b)$, so that $\text{core}(a, b)$ = $core(A_{a-1}, b)$.

6.1. The Sommers region and the fundamental alcove. We would like to perform the size^{\vee}-weighted enumeration of $\mathsf{core}(X_n, b)$ using Ehrhart theory. Unfortunately, the family

$$
\{\mathcal{S}_{X_n}(b) : \gcd(b, h) = 1\}
$$

does not consist of dilations of a fixed polytope— but this difficulty can be circumvented. Define, for any $x \in V$, the statistic

(6)
$$
\operatorname{\textsf{size}}^{(b)}(x) := \frac{h}{2} \left(\left\| x - \frac{b}{h} \rho^{\vee} \right\|^2 - \left\| \frac{1}{h} \rho^{\vee} \right\|^2 \right).
$$

Notice that when $q \in \mathcal{Q}^{\vee}$, $w \in W$, and $b = 1$, we have $\text{size}^{(1)}(w(q)) = \text{size}^{\vee}(w(q)) =$ $size^{\vee}(wt_a)$ (recalling Theorem [4.5\)](#page-10-0).

We recall from [\[20,](#page-23-6) §4] that there is a unique element $\widetilde{w}_b \in \widetilde{W}$ such that $\frac{h}{h} \rho^{\vee} =$ $\widetilde{w}_b(\frac{1}{h}\rho^{\vee})$, and that left-multiplication by this element maps $\mathcal{S}(b)$ onto the *b*-fold dilation of the fundamental algove $A := \{x \in V : |x| \ge 0\}$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$ and $\langle x, \tilde{\alpha} \rangle < 1$. of the fundamental alcove $\mathcal{A} := \{x \in V : \langle x, \alpha \rangle \geqslant 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta \text{ and } \langle x, \tilde{\alpha} \rangle \leqslant 1\}.$ It also respects the lattice points in the following sense:

Theorem 6.2. *For b coprime to h, the following holds as an equality of multisets:*

$$
\left\{\text{size}^{\vee}(q): q \in \text{core}(X_n, b)\right\} = \left\{\text{size}^{(b)}(q): q \in b\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{Q}_{X_n}^{\vee}\right\}.
$$

Proof. We first note that since \tilde{w}_b maps $\mathcal{S}(b)$ onto *bA*, and also $\tilde{w}_b \in \widetilde{W}$ and thus is a \mathcal{Q}^{\vee} -preserving bijection, it restricts to a bijection $\mathsf{core}(X_n, b) \to b\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{Q}^{\vee}$. Write $\widetilde{w}_b = wt_{q_0}$; then $\widetilde{w}_b = t_{\frac{b}{h}} \rho^{\vee} wt_{-\frac{1}{h} \rho^{\vee}}$. Since $\|\cdot\|$ is W-invariant, for $q \in \text{core}(X_n, b)$:

$$
\begin{split} \mathsf{size}^{(b)}(\widetilde{w}_b(q)) &= \frac{h}{2} \left(\left\| t_{\frac{b}{h}\rho^\vee} w t_{\frac{1}{h}\rho^\vee}(q) - \frac{b}{h}\rho^\vee \right\|^2 - \left\| \frac{1}{h}\rho^\vee \right\|^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{h}{2} \left(\left\| w \left(q - \frac{1}{h}\rho^\vee \right) \right\|^2 - \left\| \frac{1}{h}\rho^\vee \right\|^2 \right) = \mathsf{size}^\vee(q). \end{split} \qquad \qquad \Box
$$

6.2. Maximum size. Theorem [6.2](#page-17-1) is a primary tool in computing the expected size of simultaneous cores in the next section. As a simpler application, we proceed as in [\[20\]](#page-23-6) to determine the maximum size **<** of a simultaneous core (extending that result to the non-simply-laced types).

THEOREM 6.3. For $\widetilde{W} = \widetilde{W}(X_n)$ an irreducible affine Weyl group with $gcd(h, b) = 1$,

$$
\max_{q \in \text{core}(X_n, b)}(\text{size}^{\vee}(q)) = \frac{rg^{\vee}}{h} \frac{n(b^2 - 1)(h + 1)}{24}.
$$

Moreover, this maximum is attained by a unique point $q_* \in S(b)$ *.*

Proof. We claim that the maximum is obtained at $q_* = \tilde{w}_b^{-1}(0)$, where $\tilde{w}_b \in \tilde{W}$ is the same element as used in Theorem 6.2. First note that since \tilde{w}_b , mans $S(b)$ bijectively same element as used in Theorem [6.2.](#page-17-1) First note that since \widetilde{w}_b maps $\mathcal{S}(b)$ bijectively to *bA*, this q_* is indeed in $\text{core}(X_n, b) = \mathcal{S}(b) \cap \mathcal{Q}$. Since \widetilde{w}_b maps size^{\vee} to size^(*b*), we will show the equivalent statement that 0 is the unique element of $b\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{Q}^{\vee}$ of maximum size(*b*) .

Since $\left\| \frac{1}{h} \rho^{\vee} \right\|$ ² is a constant, it suffices to maximize $Q(\mathbf{x}) = \|x - \frac{b}{h}\rho\|$ 2 . But the fact that 0 maximizes $Q(\mathbf{x})$ over $b\mathcal{A}$ is known; it follows for instance from the "very strange" formula of Kac (cf. [\[15,](#page-23-16) Equation (0.9)]). Moreover, $Q(\mathbf{x})$ is a strictly convex function, so it can only be maximized at a vertex of the convex polytope $b\mathcal{A}$. However, no other vertices of bA are in \mathcal{Q}^{\vee} , which implies that 0 is the only point in $bA \cap \mathcal{Q}^{\vee}$ of maximum size(*b*) .

Moreover, we may explicitly compute $size(q_*)$ as follows.

size^(b)(0) =
$$
\frac{h}{2} \left\| \frac{b}{h} \rho \right\|^2 - \frac{h}{2} \left\| \frac{1}{h} \rho \right\|^2 = (b^2 - 1) \frac{1}{2h} \langle \rho^{\vee}, \rho^{\vee} \rangle
$$
.

The desired formula then follows from the explicit computation of $\langle \rho^{\vee}, \rho^{\vee} \rangle$, a dual version of the "strange formula" of Freudenthal and de Vries:

THEOREM 6.4 (see [\[3,](#page-23-17) Section 4]). For \widetilde{W} *an irreducible affine Weyl group,*

$$
\langle \rho^{\vee}, \rho^{\vee} \rangle = rg^{\vee} \frac{n(h+1)}{12}.
$$

Although Theorem [6.3](#page-17-0) proves that $\text{size}^{\vee}(\widetilde{w}_b^{-1}(0))$ is the maximum that the statistic \widetilde{w}_b can take on $\text{cone}(X, b)$ more is true in type 4, where I Vandebey shows size^{\vee} can take on core (X_n, b) , more is true in type A_n , where J. Vandehey shows that the largest (a, b) -core contains all other (a, b) -cores as subdiagrams (see [\[21,](#page-23-18) [8\]](#page-23-19)). Previously the second and third authors conjectured [\[20,](#page-23-6) Conjecture 6.14] that the inversion set of \tilde{w}_b contains the inversion sets of all other affine elements corresponding to elements of $\operatorname{core}(X_n, b)$. We here extend that conjecture to the non-simply-laced types as well.

CONJECTURE 6.5. The element \widetilde{w}_b is maximal in the weak order on \widetilde{W}/W among all *dominant elements* $\{\tilde{w} \in W/W : \tilde{w}^{-1}(0) \in S(b)\}.$

6.3. Simultaneous combinatorial models. One of the major advantages to our improvement from simply-laced to general affine Weyl groups is the ability to incorporate type C_n , whose cores lying in $\mathcal{S}_{C_n}(b)$ also have a natural combinatorial model:

THEOREM 6.6. The map $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \lambda_i(\mathbf{x})$ of Theorem [5.2](#page-12-0) restricts to a bijection from $\mathcal{S}_{C_n}(b) \cap \mathcal{Q}_{C_n}^{\vee}$ to self-conjugate $(2n, b)$ -cores.

Proof. Recall that a 2*n*-core is self-conjugate if and only if $q_{\lambda} = (q_{\lambda})^{\dagger}$. In type C_n , the roots of height $r = 2k - 1$ are $\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}$ $\frac{1}{2}(e_i - e_{i+r})$ for all $i \leq n-r$, as well as $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ $\frac{1}{2}(e_{n-i+1} +$ e_{n-r+i}) for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Write $\iota(\mathbf{x}) = (y_1, \ldots, y_{2n})$.

Thus $\langle \mathbf{x}, \alpha \rangle \geq -t$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi_r(C_n)$ if and only if

By definition of ι_C we have that $y_j = -y_{2n+1-j}$ for all *j*. Thus under the assumption that **y** = **y**^T, the above inequalities are precisely the system $y_i - y_{i+r} \geq -t$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 2n - r$, which is to say, $\langle \iota(\mathbf{x}), \alpha \rangle \geq -t$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi_r(A_{2n-1})$. In a similar way, under the same assumption $\langle \mathbf{x}, \alpha \rangle \leq t+1$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi_{n-r}(C_n)$ is equivalent to $\langle \iota_C(\mathbf{x}), \alpha \rangle \leq t + 1$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi_{n-r}(A_{2n-1})$.

Therefore, the target of our map, restricted to $\mathcal{S}_{C_n}(b) \cap \mathcal{Q}_{C_n}^{\vee}$, is indeed $\mathcal{S}_{A_n}(b) \cap$ $\mathcal{Q}_{A_{2n-1}}^{\vee}$. Moreover, the map $(y_1, \ldots, y_{2n}) \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ $\overline{z}(y_1,\ldots,y_n)$ is a well-defined inverse. □

The above theorem permits us to understand the left-hand side of Theorem [6.3](#page-17-0) and Theorem [1.5](#page-4-0) as the maximum and expected size^{$\check{ }$} of self-conjugate simultaneous cores. In particular, this thus recovers "half" of the Chen–Huang–Wang result (that is, the case when *a* is even).

Unfortunately, this combinatorial interpretation appears to be limited to type C_n . Even for the other classical types, the maps ι from Section [4](#page-9-1) do not map the Sommers regions into type-*A* Sommers regions. Since there are many possible embeddings of $\mathcal{Q}_{X_n}^{\vee}$ into \mathcal{Q}_m^{\vee} for various *m*, it is possible that such a deficiency may be overcome. It would already be interesting to understand combinatorial conditions on the 3-cores that do lie in the image of the G_2 Sommers region.

7. Expected size of simultaneous cores

We are now ready to prove Theorem [1.5:](#page-4-0)

THEOREM 7.1. *For* X_n *an irreducible rank n Cartan type with root system* Φ *,*

$$
\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{q \in \mathop{\mathrm{core}}(X_n,b)}(\mathop{\mathrm{size}}^{\vee}(q)) = \frac{rg^{\vee}}{h} \frac{n(b-1)(h+b+1)}{24},
$$

where h is the Coxeter number of X_n , g^{\vee} *is the dual Coxeter number for* Φ^{\vee} *, and r is the ratio of the length of a long root to the length of a short root in* Φ*.*

We do this by computing the left-hand side explicitly for each type X_n , which by Theorem [6.2](#page-17-1) is

$$
\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{q \in \mathrm{core}(X_n,b)}(\mathrm{size}^{\vee}(q)) = \frac{1}{|\mathrm{core}(X_n,b)|} \sum_{q \in \mathrm{core}(X_n,b)} \mathrm{size}^{\vee}(q) = \frac{1}{|b\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{Q}^{\vee}|} \sum_{q \in b\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{Q}^{\vee}} \mathrm{size}^{(b)}(q).
$$

The denominator was explicitly and nearly-uniformly calculated by Haiman [\[10\]](#page-23-20). To compute the sum, we first record the vertices of the fundamental alcove A : they are $\Gamma := \{0\} \cup \left\{\frac{\omega_i^{\vee}}{c_i} : 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n\right\},\$ where we recall that the c_i are defined by $\widetilde{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \alpha_i.$ As in [\[20\]](#page-23-6), we proceed by translating the problem to the coweight lattice. Define the *extended affine Weyl group* by $\widetilde{W}_{ex} := W \ltimes \Lambda^{\vee}$, and write the group of automorphisms for *bA* as $b\Omega := {\widetilde{w} \in W_{ex} : \widetilde{w}(bA) = bA}$. These groups are isomorphic for all *b*, and in particular have constant order that we denote by *f*.

Proposition 7.2 ([\[20,](#page-23-6) Theorem 2.5 & Lemma 6.11]). *For any b coprime to the Coxeter number* h *in type* X_n *:*

- (a) *The action of* $b\Omega$ *on* $b\mathcal{A} \cap \Lambda^{\vee}$ *is free.*
- (b) *Each b* Ω *orbit of* $bA \cap \Lambda^{\vee}$ *has exactly one element of* $bA \cap \mathcal{Q}^{\vee} = \text{core}(X_n, b)$ *.*
- (c) *For any* $\widetilde{w} \in b\Omega$ *and any* $\omega \in \Lambda^{\vee}$, $\text{size}^{(b)}(\omega) = \text{size}^{(b)}(\widetilde{w} \cdot \omega)$

Using this, we finish the translation from Q^{\vee} to Λ^{\vee} :

(7)
$$
\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{q \in \text{core}(X_n, b)}(\text{size}^{\vee}(q)) = \frac{1}{|b\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{Q}^{\vee}|} \cdot \frac{1}{f} \sum_{q \in b\mathcal{A} \cap \Lambda^{\vee}} \text{size}^{(b)}(q).
$$

We now recall the relevant Ehrhart-theoretic tools. For any degree-*r* polynomial $F: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, its *weighted lattice point enumerator* over Λ^{\vee} is $\mathcal{A}_F(b) := \sum_{q \in b\mathcal{A}\cap\Lambda^{\vee}} F(q)$.

This $\mathcal{A}_F(b)$ is a quasipolynomial in *b*, of degree $n + r$ and period $c = c(\widetilde{X}_n) :=$ $\textsf{lcm}(c_1,\ldots,c_n)$, where the c_i are again the denominators of the vertices of A. As size^(b) changes with b , Ehrhart theory appears to be inapplicable— however, a judicious rewriting shows that this is not the case.

PROPOSITION 7.3. The weighted lattice point enumerator $\mathcal{A}_{\text{size}}(b)(b)$ is a quasipolyno*mial in b of degree* $n + 2$ *and period* $c(\widetilde{X}_n) := \text{lcm}(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$ *.*

Proof. Notice that $\text{size}_{b}^{\vee}(x) = \frac{h}{2} ||x||^2 - b\langle x, \rho^{\vee}\rangle + (b^2 - 1) \frac{||\rho^{\vee}||^2}{2h}$ $\frac{\sigma_{\parallel}}{2h}$. Thus we find that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{size}^{(b)}}(b) = \frac{h}{2}\mathcal{A}_{\|\cdot\|^2}(b) - b\mathcal{A}_{\langle \cdot, \rho^\vee \rangle}(b) + (b^2-1)\mathcal{A}_{\frac{\|\rho^\vee\|^2}{2h}}(b)$

is a quasipolynomial in *b* of degree $n+2$ and period $c(\widetilde{X}_n)$.

Therefore, to complete the proof of Theorem [1.5,](#page-4-0) we may compute the quasipolynomial on for all components that contain a residue $b \mod c_{X_n}$ that is coprime to *h*. For the exceptional types, this is already a finite and computationally feasible calculation.

Types *A* and *D* are simply-laced, and so their calculation was already completed in [\[20\]](#page-23-6). Thus, we proceed along similar lines for types *B* and *C*. In what follows, we write $\mathcal{A}_{\text{size}(b)}(b)_i$ to mean the polynomial which agrees with $\mathcal{A}_{\text{size}(b)}(b)$ for all $b \equiv$ *i* mod $c(X_n)$. Relevant data to complete these computations for the irreducible root systems is provided in Figure [5.](#page-20-0)

X_n	\hbar	g^\vee	e_i	c_i			$ r m(X_n) $
A_n	$n+1$	$n+1$	$1, 2, \ldots, n$	$1, 1, \ldots, 1, 1$	$\frac{n+1}{1}$		
B_n	2n	$n+1$	$1, 3, \ldots, 2n-1$	$1, 2, \ldots, 2, 2$	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	\mathfrak{D}
$ C_n $	2n	$ 2n-1 $	$1, 3, \ldots, 2n-1$	$2, 2, \ldots, 2, 1$	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	\mathfrak{D}
		$D_n 2n-2 2n-2 $	$1, 3, \ldots, 2n-3, n-1$	$1, 2, \ldots, 2, 1, 1$	4		\mathfrak{D}
E_{6}	12	12	1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 11	1, 2, 2, 3, 2, 1	3		6
E_7	18	18	1, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 17	2, 2, 3, 4, 3, 2, 1	2		12
E_8	30	30	$\vert 1, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29 \vert 2, 3, 4, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2 \vert$		1		60
F_4	12	9	1, 5, 7, 11	2, 3, 4, 2		2	12
$ G_2 $	6	4	1,5	3, 2		3	6

FIGURE 5. The type X_n , Coxeter number *h*, dual Coxeter number g^{\vee} , exponents e_i , coefficients of the highest root c_i , index of connection f , ratio of long to short root r , and gcd of the c_i for the irreducible root systems.

7.1. TYPES B AND C. For either $X_n = B_n$ or $X_n = C_n$ we have $c(\widetilde{X}_n) = 2$ and exponents $1, 3, \ldots, 2n - 1$. Since all *b* in the theorem statement are coprime to *h*, they must be odd, and so it suffices to compute only the polynomial $\mathcal{A}_{\epsilon; \mathbf{z}^{(b)}}(b)_1$. This polynomial has degree $n+2$. Recall the fact that the interior of $e_i\mathcal{A}$ contains no points of $\Lambda_{X_n}^{\vee}$ for all exponents e_i , because they are strictly less than *h* (see e.g. [\[20,](#page-23-6) Section 7.4]). Thus, by Ehrhart reciprocity we conclude that $\mathcal{A}_{size^{(b)}}(-e_i) = 0$, and because in types B_n and C_n the exponents are the odd integers $1, 3, \ldots, 2n-1$, we thus we have *n* roots of our desired polynomial.

Moreover, it is easy to compute that $\mathcal{A}_{size}(1) = 0$, and therefore (c.f. [\[20,](#page-23-6) Proposition 7.5]) we also have $A_{size(b)}(-h-1) = 0$. Hence we know all $n + 2$ of our desired polynomial's roots, that is:

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\text{size}^{(b)}}(b)_1 = \kappa(b-1)(b+2n+1) \prod_{j=1}^n (b+e_j).
$$

where the leading coefficient κ depends only on *n* and whether we are in types B_n or *Cn*. Importantly, this formula holds for any odd *b*, not merely those *b* which are

coprime to $h = 2n$. Thus we can determine it by explicitly calculating $\mathcal{A}_{\text{size}(b)}(b)$ at $b = 3$, as follows:

$$
\kappa = \frac{\mathcal{A}_{\text{size}^{(3)}}(3)}{(3-1)(3+2n+1)\prod_{j=1}^{n}(3+(2j-1))} = \frac{1}{2^{n+2}(n+2)!} \mathcal{A}_{\text{size}^{(3)}}(3).
$$

From here, we recall Haiman's enumeration [\[10\]](#page-23-20): $|bA \cap \mathcal{Q}^{\vee}| = \frac{1}{|W|} \prod_{j=1}^{n} (b + e_j)$, whenever *b* and *h* are coprime. Thus, we rewrite Equation [\(7\)](#page-19-1) as the quadratic polynomial:

$$
\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{q \in \text{core}(X_n, b)}(\text{size}^{\vee}(q)) = \frac{2^n n! \kappa}{f}(b-1)(b+2n+1)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{2^n n!}{2 \cdot 2^{n+2}(n+2)!} \mathcal{A}_{\text{size}^{(3)}}(3) \cdot (b-1)(b+h-1)
$$
\n
$$
= \mathcal{A}_{\text{size}^{(3)}}(3) \cdot \frac{(b-1)(b+h-1)}{8(n+1)(n+2)}
$$

Thus, to prove the formula from Theorem [1.5,](#page-4-0) what remains to be verified is that

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\text{size}(3)}(3) = \frac{rg^{\vee}}{3h} \cdot n(n+1)(n+2) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3}(n+1)^{2}(n+2) & \text{in type } B_n, \\ \frac{1}{3}(n+1)(n+2)(2n-1) & \text{in type } C_n. \end{cases}
$$

7.1.1. Type B. In type B_n , since we have $\sum_{j=1}^n a_j \omega_j^{\vee} = (\sum_{j=1}^n a_j, \sum_{i=2}^n a_j, \dots, a_n)$ and $\widetilde{\alpha} = (1, 1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$, the coweight points in $3\mathcal{A}$ are those points for which $a_1 + 2(\sum_{j=2}^n a_j) \leq 3$, which are the $2n + 2$ points

$$
\{0,\omega_1^\vee,2\omega_1^\vee,3\omega_1^\vee\}\cup\{\omega_j^\vee,\omega_1^\vee+\omega_j^\vee\}_{j=2}^n.
$$

We compute that for $2 \leq j \leq n$

$$
\begin{split} \text{size}^{(3)}(\omega_j^{\vee}) &= \frac{n}{(2n)^2} \left(\left(\| 2n\omega_j^{\vee} - 3\rho^{\vee} \| \right)^2 - \| \rho^{\vee} \|^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^j \left(2n - 3(n+1-i) \right)^2 + \sum_{i=j+1}^n \left(3(n+1-i) \right)^2 - \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} \right) \\ &= \frac{(2n+2-3j)(2n+1-3j)}{6} .\end{split}
$$

A similar computation also shows that $size^{(3)}(\omega_1^{\vee} + \omega_j^{\vee}) = \frac{(2n+2-3j)(2n+1-3j)}{6}$ and therefore

$$
\sum_{j=2}^n \mathsf{size}^{(3)}(\omega_j^\vee) + \mathsf{size}^{(3)}(\omega_1^\vee + \omega_j^\vee) = \frac{(n-2)(n-1)^2}{3}
$$

The remaining points give contributions of the following form:

$$
\text{size}^{(3)}(k\omega_1^{\vee}) = \frac{n}{(2n)^2} \left((2kn - 3n)^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n (3(n+1-i))^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n (n+1-i)^2 \right) \\
= \frac{1 + 3n - 9kn + 3k^2n + 2n^2}{3}.
$$

We thus compute that $\sum_{k=0}^{3} \text{size}^{(3)}(k\omega_1^{\vee}) = \frac{4(1+2n^2)}{3}$ $\frac{(-2n)}{3}$. Putting these together, we obtain

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\text{size}^{(3)}}(3) = \frac{n - 2n - 1^2}{3} + \frac{4(1 + 2n^2)}{3} = \frac{1}{3}(n+1)^2(n+2)
$$

which concludes the proof of Theorem [1.5](#page-4-0) for type B_n .

Algebraic Combinatorics, Vol. 7 #5 (2024) 1571

.

7.1.2. *Type C*. Turning to type C_n , we have $\tilde{\alpha} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\frac{e}{2}e_1$ and

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j \omega_j = \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{a_n}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j, \ \frac{a_n}{2} + \sum_{j=2}^{n} a_j, \dots, \ \frac{a_n}{2} + a_{n-1}, \ \frac{a_n}{2} \right)
$$

So the coweight points in 3A are those points for which $2(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j) + a_n \leq 3$, which are the $2n + 2$ points

$$
\{0,\omega_n^{\vee},2\omega_n^{\vee},3\omega_n^{\vee}\}\cup\{\omega_j^{\vee},\omega_n^{\vee}+\omega_j^{\vee}\}_{j=1}^{n-1}.
$$

Using the fact that $\rho^{\vee} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_j^{\vee} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(2n-1, 2n-3, 2n-5, \ldots, 1)$, we compute that for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$

$$
\begin{split} \text{size}^{(3)}(\omega_j^{\vee}) &= \frac{n}{(2n)^2} \left(\left(\| 2n\omega_j^{\vee} - 3\rho^{\vee} \| \right)^2 - \| \rho^{\vee} \|^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^j 2\left(2n - \frac{3}{2} (2n + 1 - 2i) \right)^2 + \sum_{i=j+1}^n \frac{\left(3(2n + 1 - 2i) \right)^2}{2} - \frac{4n^3 - n}{6} \right) \\ &= \frac{(2n - 3j)^2 - 1}{3}. \end{split}
$$

A similar computation also shows that $\text{size}^{(3)}(\omega_n^{\vee} + \omega_j^{\vee}) = \frac{(n-3j)^2 - 1}{3}$ $\frac{3^{j}-1}{3}$ and therefore

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mathrm{size}^{(3)}(\omega_j^{\vee}) + \mathrm{size}^{(3)}(\omega_n^{\vee} + \omega_j^{\vee}) = \frac{(n-2)(n-1)(2n+1)}{3}.
$$

The remaining points give contributions of the following form:

$$
\begin{split} \text{size}^{(3)}(k\omega_n^{\vee}) &= \frac{n}{(2n)^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n 2\left(kn - \frac{3}{2}(2n+1-2i) \right)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(2n+1-i)^2}{2} \right) \\ &= \frac{-2 + 8n^2 - 9kn^2 + 3k^2n^2}{6}. \end{split}
$$

We thus compute that $\sum_{k=0}^{3} \text{size}^{(3)}(k\omega_1^{\vee}) = \frac{2(5n^2-2)}{3}$ $\frac{1}{3}$. Putting these together, we obtain

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{size}^{(b)}}(b) = \frac{1}{3}(n+1)(n+2)(2n-1),
$$

which concludes the proof of Theorem [1.5](#page-4-0) for type C_n .

7.2. TYPES F_4 AND G_2 . Finally, we return to the exceptional types. Since we had previously handled the simply-laced types E_6, E_7 , and E_8 [\[20,](#page-23-6) Section 7.6], it remains only to confirm our formula for F_4 and G_2 . Since $\mathcal{A}_{size(b)}(b)$ is a polynomial in each residue class mod $m(\tilde{X}_n)$ (here, 12 for F_4 and 6 for G_2) of degree $n+2$, we can simply compute $\mathcal{A}_{\text{size}(b)}(b)$ for enough values of *b* in each relevant residue class and perform Lagrange interpolation. For all relevant residue classes in both groups there is exactly one exponent e_j and so "enough" values of *b* means 6 for F_4 , and 4 for G_2 .

When performing this computation in SAGE, we see that the polynomials $\mathcal{A}_{\text{size}^{(b)}}(b)_i$ coincide for the relevant residue classes. Namely:

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\text{size}^{(b)}}(b) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{18432}(b-1)(b+1)(b+5)(b+7)(b+11)(b+13) & \text{in type } F_4, \\ \frac{1}{144}(b-1)(b+1)(b+5)(b+7) & \text{in type } G_2. \end{cases}
$$

for all *b* coprime to *h* (that is, in both cases, for $b \equiv 1, 5 \mod 6$). Dividing these polynomials by f and $|b\mathcal{A}\cap t_b'|$ as in Equation [\(7\)](#page-19-1), we obtain a formula for the expected size^{\vee} of a core that agrees with

$$
\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{q \in \operatorname{core}(X_n,b)}(\operatorname{size}^{\vee}(\lambda_q)) = \frac{rg^{\vee}}{h} \frac{n(b-1)(h+b+1)}{24}.
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem [1.5](#page-4-0) for *F*⁴ and *G*2, and thus for all types.

Acknowledgements. We thank Benjamin Cotton for help drawing Figure [2.](#page-3-0)

REFERENCES

- [1] Drew Armstrong, *Rational Catalan combinatorics*, 2012, [http://www.math.miami.edu/](http://www.math.miami.edu/~armstrong/Talks/RCCinDC.pdf) [~armstrong/Talks/RCCinDC.pdf](http://www.math.miami.edu/~armstrong/Talks/RCCinDC.pdf) (accessed 12th May 2015).
- [2] Drew Armstrong, Christopher R. H. Hanusa, and Brant C. Jones, *Results and conjectures on simultaneous core partitions*, European J. Combin. **41** (2014), 205–220.
- [3] John M. Burns, *An elementary proof of the "strange formula" of Freudenthal and de Vries*, Q. J. Math. **51** (2000), no. 3, 295–297.
- [4] Nathan Chapelier-Laget and Thomas Gerber, *Atomic length on Weyl groups*, 2023, [https:](https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.12359) [//arxiv.org/abs/2211.12359](https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.12359).
- [5] William Y. C. Chen, Harry H. Y. Huang, and Larry X. W. Wang, *Average size of a self-conjugate* (*s, t*)*-core partition*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **144** (2016), no. 4, 1391–1399.
- [6] Benjamin Cotton and Nathan F. Williams, *A core model for G*2, Involve **14** (2021), no. 3, 401–412.
- [7] Freeman J. Dyson, *Missed opportunities*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1972), 635–652.
- [8] Matthew Fayers, *The t-core of an s-core*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **118** (2011), no. 5, 1525– 1539.
- [9] Susanna Fishel and Monica Vazirani, *A bijection between dominant Shi regions and core partitions*, European J. Combin. **31** (2010), no. 8, 2087–2101.
- [10] Mark D. Haiman, *Conjectures on the quotient ring by diagonal invariants*, J. Algebraic Combin. **3** (1994), no. 1, 17–76.
- [11] Christopher R.H. Hanusa and Brant C. Jones, *Abacus models for parabolic quotients of affine Weyl groups*, J. Algebra **361** (2012), 134–162.
- [12] James E. Humphreys, *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 29, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [13] Gordon James, *The representation theory of the symmetric group*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Cambridge University Press, 1984.
- [14] Paul Johnson, *Lattice points and simultaneous core partitions*, Electron. J. Combin. **25** (2018), no. 3, article no. 3.47 (19 pages).
- [15] Victor G. Kac and Dale H. Peterson, *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, theta functions and modular forms*, Adv. in Math. **53** (1984), no. 2, 125–264.
- [16] Alain Lascoux, *Ordering the affine symmetric group*, in Algebraic combinatorics and applications (Gößweinstein, 1999), Springer, Berlin, 2001, pp. 219–231.
- [17] I. G. Macdonald, *Affine root systems and Dedekind's η-function*, Invent. Math. **15** (1972), 91– 143.
- [18] Eric N. Sommers, *B-stable ideals in the nilradical of a Borel subalgebra*, Canad. Math. Bull. **48** (2005), no. 3, 460–472.
- [19] Eric Nathan Stucky, Marko Thiel, and Nathan Williams, *Strange expectations in affine Weyl groups*, Sém. Lothar. Combin. **85B** (2021), Art. 36, 12.
- [20] Marko Thiel and Nathan Williams, *Strange expectations and simultaneous cores*, J. Algebraic Combin. **46** (2017), no. 1, 219–261.
- [21] Joseph Vandehey, *Containment in (s,t)-core partitions*, Undergraduate thesis, University of Oregon, 2008, <https://arxiv.org/abs/0809.2134>.

E. N. STUCKY, M. THIEL & N. WILLIAMS

- Eric Nathan Stucky, Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Department of Algebra, Sokolovska 83, 18600 Praha 8, Czech Republic E-mail : enstuckytwitch@gmail.com Url : <https://twitch.tv/enstucky>
- MARKO THIEL, Unaffiliated E-mail : thiel.marko@gmail.com
- Nathan Williams, University of Texas at Dallas, Mathematical Sciences, FO 2.402C, 800 W. Campbell Rd., Richardson, TX 75080 E-mail : nathan.f.williams@gmail.com